

Parse Syntax, Memes, and Men



By Anna Von Reitz

Numerous people keep asking me about the late David-Wynn:Miller and about Parse Syntax and related subjects, so here's the long and short of it:

Parse was an ancient attempt by the Parthians (a group of ancient Persians related to the present day Jordanians) to control their contracts with the Babylonians by equating language to mathematical principles and relationships.

David Wynn-Miller rediscovered that ancient system and attempted to bring it back into use in the modern world for the same basic purpose-- to make it harder for the present day practitioners of Babylonian fakery to weasel out of, over, and around contracts made in the present era.

So far, so good.

I spent five days with Russell-J:Gould, David-Wynn:Miller's former partner, last year and found him to be an extraordinary man. Very earnest and bright and also one who has suffered a great deal in this entire effort. He is owed a huge debt of gratitude. However, he believes whole-heartedly that Parse is "correct" mathematically and that the interface with math and language is "the" answer.

I objected on several grounds-- first, because you can lie with math just as well as words-- any symbolic language is prey to that. (Russell knows and admits this, too.)

Second, I have analyzed it mathematically, and in fact, Parse is NOT correct. (This analysis came out of our meeting and we haven't had a chance to sit down and discuss this yet, but I am sure that Russell will bow to what is True and adapt to the new insights when they are shown to him.)

Third, Parse can be abused just as "legalese" has been abused as a "special secret language" only the experts know-- making it a good seed ground to continue the practice of bilking the public by the new Black Robes. (This is a concern Russell shares.)

Fourth, English is now and always has been the official language of this country, not Parse. So those who advocate and insist on the use of Parse are at best a tiny minority complaining about something that requires more than their opinion to change.

Russell truly believes that he captured the flag of this country and that he owns it, etc., etc.-- but unavoidably, the "Title IV Flag" he captured in 1999 was just one "officially recognized" version of our flag that was in the possession of pirates-- the Roman Catholic owned and operated "United States of America, Inc." corporation that had itself seized upon our flag by fraud.

The Maxim of Law in such situations is that possession by pirates does not change ownership. And fraud, no matter how long-standing, vitiates everything it touches.

Our flag was seized upon by the Scottish interloper and "ringer" corporation doing business as "The United States of America, Inc." in 1868, seized upon again by the Roman Catholic version doing business as "the" United States of America, Inc. circa 1925, and Russell saved it out of the aftermath of that bankruptcy settlement in 1999.

So our flag was captured in 1868 under conditions of fraud and held by two generations of pirates before Russell recaptured it.

If Russell claims to own the flag for any purpose other than to return it to the States and People it belongs to, he becomes just another pirate in possession--- and I don't think that that is what he intends to be.

He did take lawful possession of the vacated land of the Washington DC Municipality. He lawfully court-martialed the Supreme Court and the senior ranks of the military services. He has a reasonable claim to become the President of the restored Federal Government, assuming that he is elected, and there is really no reason he shouldn't be. He has done many things that make good sense and which promote peace and provide for a continuance of the Federal Government and the improvement of international business and trade.

I would certainly recommend Russell-J:Gould as President of a restored Federal Government, assuming that the issues can be clearly stated and free elections can be held.

Russell is a true American and he is very intelligent and moral and has a good heart. He has done some amazing things for this country and for the world, and he deserves thanks and honor and recognition for it.

All that said, Russell and I understand the problems involved and the nuances of the history and the law. It isn't as simple as some of his followers believe and it will all take time to resolve.

Any Nutter reactions of the "either/or" kind should be chalked up to ignorance and perhaps to foreign agents trying to get control of Russell in the same way that they have sought to control every other aspect of the prior Federal Government structures.

Let me just say that in my experience, Russell is very much a man of his own mind and he will evaluate things for himself and he will recognize it if anyone lies to him or tries to co-opt him. Those who do so, do so at their own risk.

We have a relationship with Russell that is honest and direct, and barring some unforeseen and insurmountable disagreement, we expect that relationship to continue and see no reason why it should not.

See this article and over 1300 others on Anna's website here: www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the PayPal button on this website.