KAREN FANN SENATE PRESIDENT FIFTY-FIFTH LEGISLATURE 1700 WEST WASHINGTON, SENATE PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2844 PHONE: (602) 926-5874 TOLL FREE: 1-800-352-8404 kfann@azleg.gov DISTRICT 1



COMMITTEES: Rules, Chairman

Arizona State Senate

May 12, 2021

Maricopa Board of Supervisors c/o Chairman Jack Sellers 301 West Jefferson Street, #10 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Chairman Sellers:

I am writing to seek your assistance and cooperation in the resolution of three (3) serious issues that have arisen in the course of the Senate's ongoing audit of the returns of the November 3, 2020 general election in Maricopa County.

I. Ongoing Non-Compliance with the Legislative Subpoenas

The first issue concerns Maricopa County's apparent intent to renege on its previous commitment to comply fully with the legislative subpoenas issued on January 13, 2021, which, as you know, Judge Thomason found were valid and enforceable.

To date, attorneys for Maricopa County have refused to produce virtual images of routers used in connection with the general election, relying on a conclusory and unsupported assertion that providing the routers would somehow "endanger the lives of law enforcement officers, their operations, or the protected health information and personal data of Maricopa County's citizens." If true, the fact that Maricopa County stores on its routers substantial quantities of citizens' and employees' highly sensitive personal information is an alarming indictment of the County's lax data security practices, rather than of the legislative subpoenas. Similarly, the County's assertion that producing the internet routers for inspection would cost up to \$6,000,000 seems at odds with Deputy County Attorney Joseph La Rue's prior representation to Audit Liaison Ken Bennett that the routers already had been disconnected from the County's network and were prepared for imminent delivery to the Senate.

Nevertheless, in an effort to resolve the dispute regarding production of the routers, we propose that agents of CyFIR, an experienced digital forensics firm and subcontractor of Cyber Ninjas, review virtual images of the relevant routers in Maricopa County facilities and in the presence of representatives of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office. Such an arrangement would permit Maricopa County to retain custody and monitor the review of router data, while ensuring that the Senate may access the information it requires—and to which it is constitutionally entitled—to successfully complete its audit. The Senate has no interest in viewing or taking possession of any information that is unrelated to the administration of the 2020 general election.

Separately, Maricopa County has refused to provide the passwords necessary to access vote tabulation devices. Its attorneys' insistence that the County does not have custody or control of this information is belied by the County's conduct of its own audits, which, if they were as comprehensive as they purported to be, almost certainly would have entailed use of the passwords to examine the tabulation devices, and it strains credulity to posit that the County has no contractual right to obtain (*i.e.*, control of) password information from Dominion.

II. <u>Chain of Custody and Ballot Organization Anomalies</u>

As the audit has progressed, the Senate's contractors have become aware of apparent omissions, inconsistencies, and anomalies relating to Maricopa County's handling, organization, and storage of ballots. We hope you can assist us in understanding these issues, including specifically the following:

- 1. The County has not provided any chain-of-custody documentation for the ballots. Does such documentation exist, and if so, will it be produced?
- 2. The bags in which the ballots were stored are not sealed, although the audit team has found at the bottom of many boxes cut seals of the type that would have sealed a ballot bag. Why were these seals placed at the bottom of the boxes?
- 3. Batches within a box are frequently separated by only a divider without any indication of the corresponding batch numbers. In some cases, the batch dividers are missing altogether. This lack of organization has significantly complicated and delayed the audit team's ballot processing efforts. What are the County's procedures for sorting, organizing, and packaging ballot batches?
- 4. Most of the ballot boxes were sealed merely with regular tape and not secured by any kind of tamper-evident seal. Is that the County's customary practice for storing ballots?
- 5. The audit team has encountered a significant number of instances in which there is a disparity between the actual number of ballots contained in a batch and the total denoted on the pink report slip accompanying the batch. In most of these instances, the total on the pink report slip is greater than the number of ballots in the batch, although there are a few instances in which the total is lower. What are the reasons for these discrepancies? For your reference, please see several illustrative (*i.e.*, not comprehensive) examples in the table below:

Pallet	Ballot Type	Batch	Pink Slip Total	Actual Total	Discrepancy
5	EV	2104	200	198	-2
5	EV	9276	200	165	-35
15	EV	9278	200	187	-13
15	EV	1643	200	218	18
7	EV	6359	197	187	-10

For your convenience, images of the corresponding pink report slips are attached in **Exhibit A**.

III. <u>Deleted Databases</u>

We have recently discovered that the entire "Database" directory from the D drive of the machine "EMSPrimary" has been deleted. This removes election related details that appear to have been covered by the subpoena. In addition, the main database for the Election Management System (EMS) Software, "Results Tally and Reporting," is not located anywhere on the EMSPrimary machine, even though all of the EMS Clients reference that machine as the location of the database. This suggests that the main database for all election related data for the November 2020 General Election has been removed. Can you please advise as to why these folders were deleted, and whether there are any backups that may contain the deleted folders?

The image below shows the location of the files known to be deleted. In addition, the main database for "Results Tally and Reporting" is not present.

	in .				
		P 🐼 🧐 ڬ 🖆 🛱			
		Mark Find Previous Find Next File Mask Up Preview Options Stop			
Recognized1		Name R Size Bytes Created Modified Accessed			_
V Root					
> SRECYCLE.BIN		□ 😥 20200317 PPE-2019-12-18-12-08-39.idf 8,388,606 3/25/2020 4/12/2021 3/25/2020			
Databases		□ 🔯 20200317 PPE-2019-12-18-12-08-39.mdf 💿 4,294,967,296 3/25/2020 4/12/2021 3/25/2020			
> D NAS		20200804PrimaryTemplate-2020-05-14-09-41-16.r 4,294,967,296 5/14/2020 4/12/2021 5/14/2020			
System Volume I	nformation	AdjudicableBallotStore_2018_Maricopa_General_20 8,388,608 2/3/2020 1 4/12/2021 2/3/2020 1			
> Temp		AdjudicableBallotStore_2018_Maricopa_General_20 8,388,608 2/3/2020 1 4/12/2021 2/3/2020 1			
Extra Found Files		AdjudicableBallotStore_20191105_Madison_Electi 8,388,608 11/1/2019 4/12/2021 11/1/2019			
Metafiles		AdjudicableBallotStore_20191105_Madison_Elective 75,497,472 11/1/2019 4/12/2021 11/1/2019			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20191105_Madison_Elective 75,497,472_11/4/2019 4/12/2021 11/4/2019			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20191105_Madison_Elective 75,497,472 11/4/2019 4/12/2021 11/4/2019			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20200317_PPE_2020-03-1(0 75,497,472 3/10/2020 4/12/2021 3/10/2020			
		X AdjudicableBallotStore_20200317, PPE_2020-03-1(@ 209,715,200 3/10/2020 4/12/2021 3/10/2020			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20201103_General_2020-1 0 10/20/2020 4/12/2021 10/20/2020			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20201103_General_2020-1 0 10/20/2020 4/12/2021 10/20/2020			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20201103_General_2021-0 8,388,608 2/3/2021 1: 4/12/2021 2/3/2021 1:			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20201103_General_2021-0 8,388,608 2/3/2021 1: 4/12/2021 2/3/2021 1:			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20201103_General_Post_L 8,388,608 11/17/2020 4/12/2021 11/17/2020			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20201103_General_Post_L. 8,388,608 11/17/2020 4/12/2021 11/17/2020			
		AdjudicableBallotStore_20201103_General_Post_L. 8,388,608 12/10/2020 4/12/2021 12/10/2020			
		MRC Template Bilingual-2019-12-09-14-57-12.ldf 1,073,741,824 12/9/2019 4/12/2021 12/9/2019			
		MRC Template Bilingual-2019-12-09-14-57-12.mc 4,294,967,296 12/9/2019 4/12/2021 12/9/2019			
		MRC Template Monolingual New-2020-06-12-14- 1,073,741,824 6/12/2020 4/12/2021 6/12/2020			
		MRC Template Monolingual New-2020-06-12-14- 4,294,967,296 6/12/2020 4/12/2021 6/12/2020			
		MRC Template Monolingual-2019-12-09-14-57-4 0 1.073,741.824 12/9/2019 4/12/2021 12/9/2019			
		MRC Template Monolingual-2019-12-09-14-57-4/ 4,294,967,296 12/9/2019 4/12/2021 12/9/2019			
		[□ [
		X TabulationStore_2018_Maricopa_General_2020-02 8,388,608 2/3/2020 1 4/12/2021 2/3/2020 1			
		TabulationStore_2018_Maricopa_General_2020-02 8,388,608 2/3/2020 1 4/12/2021 2/3/2020 1			
					_
ed by: Real Extensions	Creation Time	Modification Time Access Time	BE Details Small Icons BB Medum Icons	Lar	15
					1
Type Date	Time	Text			
Partition 5/9/2021	11:37:28 AM	E:/AZAudit/Evidence Images/AZAud-E-089-3_EMS PRIMARY/AZAud-E-089-3_EMS.001: Partition at 2048 extends beyond disk bounds			
Partition 5/9/2021	11:38:07 AM	E/AZAudit/Evidence Images/AZAud-E-450-1_EMS PRIMARY/AZAud-E-450-1_EMS.001: Partition at 2048 extends beyond disk bounds			
artition 5/9/2021	11:38:22 AM	E:/AZAudit/Evidence Images/AZAud-E-450-1_EMS PRIMARY/AZAud-E-450-1_EMS.001: Partition at 2048 extends beyond disk bounds			
system 5/9/2021	12:06:57 PM	Search for lost partitions on drive E:/AZAudit/Evidence Images/AZAud: E-089-3_EMS PRIMARY/AZAud: E-089-3_EMS.001 has been completed in 23m 33s			
ile 5/10/2021	12:52:41 PM	[Field: 131074] Closing attribute: parsed allocated size (33554432) differ from stored one (67108864)			
iystem 5/10/2021	12:53:24 PM 12:53:30 PM	File enumeration was completed in 44 sec			
		[Fileld: 3542548495] Closing attribute: parsed allocated size (4194304) differ from stored one (8388608)			
File 5/10/2021 File 5/10/2021	12:53:41 PM	[FileId: 3542548495] Closing attribute: parsed allocated size (4194304) differ from stored one (8388608)			

I am hopeful that we can constructively resolve these issues and questions without recourse to additional subpoenas or other compulsory process. To that end, I invite you and any other officers or employees of Maricopa County (to include officials in the Elections Department) who possess knowledge or information concerning the matters set forth above to a meeting at the Arizona State Capitol on <u>Tuesday, May 18, 2021 at 1:00 p.m.</u> in Hearing Room 109. Chairman Petersen, former Secretary Bennett and I will attend the meeting, which will be live-streamed to the public.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience whether you accept my invitation and, if so, which Maricopa County personnel will attend.

Thank you for your cooperation on these important issues of public concern.

Respectfully,

Serator Koren Farm

Karen Fann, President Arizona State Senate

Exhibit A

EARL	38 10	1-0	's Date D- 人	Om.	Fact	ame f. c	ardworker #		
VOTII REPO	NG PRIMARY		ection D - 3- <u>write</u>	· · · · · ·	13	tion #	ттау.# ZJOH	197	BIN #
Series Million State	SEQ:	1 -	.50	51-10	20	101-150	151-20	Comb	
COUN	Column:		A	B	B 1	С	D	(A-I	
1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	Ċ	ĩ0	50		50	50	200	2
2	Total REJECTED	4	>	Q		0	0	0	
e Ballots	Total to DUPLICATION	2	>	0		D	0	0	
4	Total to TABULATION	5	0	S.	2	50	50	24	2
Why wou	ld I duplicate a ballot?		DU	PLICA		DUPLI	Total # of ba CATED on lin	e 3 above)	
Torn not v	iged Ballot: or cut, sticky, timing mark isible, spill that results in a overlapping timing marks , etc.	•	Column	PREC	PER AF	FIDAVIT ENVE	and a second	E Reason	Belov F
Alter Print Colo infor does	eous Ballot: mate Format (e.g., Braille,), Precinct and/or Ballot r <u>DOES NOT</u> match affidav mation. If party on affidas not match party on ballot <u>or assistant</u> .	it it							
Why we	uld I reject something?								
G. Mul Asi	tiple Ballots: <u> t for assistance</u> if affidavit itains multiple ballots.			REJI Column	ECTED		Total # of ba REJECTED on ER	line 2 above	2)
	fficlent: idavit contained no ballot lot is not from current eler		[

in 110y

.

Board		lay's Date		dworker #1	1997 B 1997 C 1997	ardworker #	2	
EARLY VOTING DIPRIMA REPORT GENER JURIST	Type: RY RAL	Election I (1-0-3-2) 	70 	Election :	*	Tray #		BIN#
4 30 4	SEQ: :	1 <i>-50</i> A	St-10 B	Sale of Sectors (Sectors)	-150 c	157 700 D	- T	nbined otals A-D)
1 Total AFFIDAN	Sector Contraction	50	50	51	Ð	50	20	∞
2 T. REJECT	otal TED	9	4	. 6	2	Θ	K	÷
3 Tota DUPLICATI	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ì	Ð	• E	7	Θ	\prec	9-
4 Tota TABULATI		50	50	B	2	50		
Vhy would I duplicate a ba	llot?	DU	PLICATIO		DUPLICA	otal # of ball ATED on line .		
E. Damaged Ballot: Torn or cut, sticky, timir not visible, spill that res stain overlapping timing tape, etc.	ults in a	Column	PRECINC	R AFFIDAVI T/DIST	T ENVELC	PARTY	Reasc	on Below F
F. Erroneous Ballot: Alternate Format (e.g., 1 Print), Precinct and/or B Color <u>DOES NOT</u> match information. If party or does not match party or <u>ask for assistant</u> .	allot affidavit affidavit							
Why would I reject someth	ing?				Entor Tat	al # of ballot		() (V +-
G. Multiple Ballots: <u>Ask for assistance</u> if aff contains multiple ballot		Col	REJECT	ED		ECTED on line	2 abo Reaso	
H. Insufficient: Affidavit contained no l ballot is not from curre		4						

EAR VOT REP	ING Election Type:	1/2	$\frac{\partial \geq \phi}{\partial t + \partial t}$	E	iection #	Boardworker #	103)
Sector Sector	SEQ: Column:	1- <u>2</u> A	25	<u>+100</u> B	1011:50 C	1512ac D	Combined Totals (A-D)
1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	50	5 3	8	50	50	200
2	Total REJECTED	Ğ		5	Ø.	Ø	8
3. 99	Total to DUPLICATION	Ø	6	9	Θ	Et	0
4	Total to TABULATION	57	2 3	0	50	51)	
E. Dama Torn not v stain tape, F. Errone Altern Print) Color inforr does	Id I duplicate a ballot? ged Ballot: or cut, sticky, timing marks; isible, spill that results in a overlapping timing marks, etc. ous Ballot: nate Format (e.g., Braille, L , Precinct and/or Ballot DGES NOT match affidavit not match party on ballot, r assistant.	в.	DUPLIC	· · · · · · · · · · ·	DUPLI FIDAVIT ENVEL	Total # of ballc CATED on line 3 LOPE 2 PARTY 4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Its to be cbove] Reason Below E F
G. Multij <u>Ask f</u> conta H. Insuffi Affida	Id I reject something? ole Ballots: or assistance if affidavit lins multiple ballots. clent: avit contained no ballot or i is not from current electic)ħ.	Column	ECTED	(Enter To be RE ID NUMBER	otal # of ballots JECTED on line	from "H" to 2 above) Reason Below G H

EARL	× 47 10	Today's Date) - 19-20	S.Stef	hen	Boardworker #2 R-TSWN	£		
VOTI REPC	NG PRIMARY	Election I	Date El	ection # :77	Tray# -1643	BIN #		
い の 日 日 日 日 の り し の り し	SEQ: Column:	1-50 A	51-100 B	101-15 C	D/57-200 D	Combined Totals (A-D)		
1	Total # of AFFIDAVITS	50	50	50	50	200		
2	Total REJECTED	Ð	ð	0	Ð	<i>0</i> -		
Ballots	Total to DUPLICATION	θ	0 -	ð	Ð	Ð	*	
4	Total to TABULATION	50	50	50	50	200	÷	
Torn not v stain tape, F. Errone Alter Print Color infor does	ged Ballot: or cut, sticky, timing mari isible, spill that results in overlapping timing marks etc. ous Ballot: nate Format (e.g., Braille,), Precinct and/or Ballot • <u>DQES NOT</u> match affidav mation. If party on affida not match party on ballot or assistant.	a 5, Lg /it	PRE A PRECINCT/D			Reason Below E F		
G. Mult. <u>Ask</u> cont H. Insufj Affic	Id I reject something? iple Ballots: for assistance if affidavit iains multiple ballots. ficient: lavit contained no ballot (ot is not from current elec	or C	REJECTED		r Total # of ballots e REJECTED on line BER			
			STREET BERGE		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			

	Write	Name for Special or J	Hisdiction		BIN# 709	J
SEQ: 1 Column:	- <i>50</i> A	51-100 B	101-19) c	151-200 D	Combined Totals (A-D)	
1 Total # of AFFIDAVITS	TQ.	50	50	50	200	
2 Total REJECTED	0	A Contraction	-0-	Ð	t	
3 10 Total to	9	l	0	12	N.	
4 Total to TABULATION	D	49	50	Total # of ballo	say 157	
Torn or cut, sticky, timing marks not visible, spill that results in a stain overlapping timing marks, tape, etc. F. Erroneous Ballot: Alternate Format (e.g., Braille, Lg. Print), Precinct and/or Ballot Color <u>DDES NOT</u> match affidavit information. If party on affidavit does not match party on ballot, ask for assistant. Why would I reject something?		PRECINCT/DI	T COLOR WH T PUR			-
 G. Multiple Ballots: <u>Ask for assistance</u> if affidavit contains multiple ballots. H. Insufficient: Affidavit contained no ballot or ballot is not from current election. 			D NUMBEI	R	2 above) Reason Below G H	
White - Place on Ballots in Tray Ye	llow - Pape	rclip to Tray Rej	port Pink –	Rubber band w	ith Affidavits	