Study Shows Direct Correlation between 5G Networks and "Coronavirus" Outbreaks

🕐 April 24, 2020　　👤 admin　　💬 28 Comments

At last, the first study has emerged regarding the very clear relationship between "coronavirus" outbreaks and the presence of 5G networks. Thanks to Claire Edwards for making this available in English

==

Study of the correlation between cases of coronavirus and the

presence of 5G networks

Author: Bartomeu Payeras i Cifre[1]
Available at
www.tomeulamo.com/fitxers/264_CORONA-5G-d.pdf

Translated from the Spanish by Claire Edwards

Date: March-April 2020

The COVID-19 pandemic and its effects in early 2020 have surprised scientists and politicians. If any study aimed at understanding the phenomenon and which consequently may help to clarify the causes of the pandemic is carried out, it should be promoted and/or taken into consideration. The correlation between cases of coronavirus and the presence of 5G networks has been addressed in alternative media and social networks. It is noteworthy that, at least in Spain, the media have not covered the scientific studies on the subject of 5G, nor asked the government any questions about this in the daily press conferences that it conducts to report on the state of the situation. The team of scientists advising the Spanish government has also failed to raise this issue.

It is common sense that the ability to demonstrate this correlation would be very important data to contribute to the understanding of, and the solution to, the

problem.

Objective

To assess whether a correlation exists between cases of coronavirus and the presence of 5G networks. Without entering for the moment into subsequent cause-effect approaches in the case of positive results. Given that there is a sufficiently large statistical sample, it is possible for the results obtained to have a high level of reliability.

Material and methods

The study has benefited from the official statistical material published daily, which is a basic and valuable tool. It should be noted that in these publications, the methodology used for counting cases of coronavirus infections does not generally provide real data. In Spain and many other countries, it has not been calculated as there are not enough tests for such analyses. However, this does not alter the results of this study since it is based on the comparative rather than the absolute method of infection. Therefore, in order to avoid statistical error, we will compare the density value of confirmed cases of coronavirus (expressed in number of cases per 1000 inhabitants) instead of absolute values. Since the criterion for counting used by the health authorities within the same state or city is the same, the comparison of published values for different cities or regions will be equally reliable for statistics. Comparisons between different countries of confirmed

cases, excluding asymptomatic cases, will be equally reliable. The possible exception of some non-transparent country that could manipulate the publication of its data is beyond the control of this study.

The method used was to compare the incidence (no. of cases per 1000 inhabitants) between countries with and without 5G technology. Between regions of the same country with and without 5G technology. Between cities of the same state with and without 5G technology. Between different neighbourhoods of the same city with the 5G network map of that city. Comparing states with common borders with and without 5G technology. Comparing the case of one state within another, as is the case of San Marino.

– The data for each chart were taken on the same day. Graphic results and data published below:

1.
   1. **Chart of the 9 countries with the most infections worldwide.**

   2. **Chart and rates of infection of the 5 countries with the highest incidence in Europe.**

   3. **Chart and rates of infection of 4 nearby countries at the same latitude: Portugal,**

      Spain, Italy, Greece.

   4. **San Marino: comparison of rates of infection with Italy and Croatia.**

   5. **Italy: Incidence chart and 5G network.**

6. **Spain: 5G coverage chart and rates of infection.**

7. **Barcelona: Charts with 5G coverage and rates of infection.**

8. **Madrid: 5G coverage charts and rates of infection.**

9. **New York: coronavirus incidence chart and 5G network.**

10. **"Border effect" between Mexico and the USA.**

11. **Canada, USA and Mexico: chart of 5G networks and rates of infection.**

12. **Africa: 5G network chart.**

13. **Persian Gulf: 5G network and US military bases.**

14. **Chart and rates of infection of China and neighbouring countries.**

1. CHART OF THE 9 COUNTRIES WITH THE MOST INFECTIONS WORLDWIDE

2. CHART AND RATES OF INFECTION OF THE 5 COUNTRIES WITH THE HIGHEST INCIDENCE IN EUROPE

3. CHART AND RATES OF INFECTION OF 4 NEARBY COUNTRIES AT THE SAME LATITUDE: PORTUGAL, SPAIN, ITALY, GREECE

Comparison of no. of infections per 1000 inhabitants in 4 countries near the same latitude and using 5G networks

	1.02	2.79	2.08	0.15
5G NETWORK:	NO	YES	YES	NO

The average for Spain and Italy is 2.4.
The average for Portugal and Greece is 1.09.
Conclusion: the two countries with 5G have 220% more infections.
Note: data for 4 April 2020

4. SAN MARINO: COMPARISON OF

RATES OF INFECTION WITH ITALY AND CROATIA

No. of cases per 1000 inhabitants (Daily data *El Pais* 13.4.20)

ITALY	SAN MARINO	CROATIA
2.59	10.56	0.39

Result: San Marino - the first European state with 5G technology - is the state with the highest no. of cases per 1000 inhabitants.
4.07 times more than Italy and 27 times more than Croatia, which does not have 5G.

San Marino set to become the first 5G country

engineering**pro**

by Richard Morten   19/07/2017   F Like   Follow

Following the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with Telecom Italia, San Marino is set to become the first country to go fully 5G.

Telecom Italia intend to use San Marino as a testing ground for the next generation of wireless tech.

"Why San Marino? Because the self-contained nature of the state, and its relatively few restrictions on the use of radio frequency makes it the ideal place to test the latest development in telecoms connectivity.

"Telecom Italia intends to double the number of its mobile sites within the country and will additionally install a network of small cells in downtown San Marino."
https://www.fircroft.com/blogs/san-marino-set-to-become-the-first-5g-country-72001910124

Why San Marino? Because the self-contained nature of the state, and it's relatively few restrictions on the use of radio frequency makes it the ideal place to test the latest development in telecoms connectivity.

Telecom Italia intends to double the number of its mobile sites within the country and will additionally install a network of small cells in downtown San Marino.

"Why San Marino? Because the self-contained nature of the state, and its relatively few restrictions on the use of radio frequency makes it the ideal place to test the latest development in telecoms connectivity.

"Telecom Italia intends to double the number of its mobile sites within the country and will additionally install a network of small cells in downtown San Marino." https://www.fircroft.com/blogs/san-marino-set-to-become-the- *first-5g-country-72001910124*

5. ITALY: INCIDENCE CHART AND 5G NETWORK

6. SPAIN: 5G COVERAGE CHART AND RATES OF INFECTION

Data for cities with 5G should be related to the number of infections in those cities. Since data by city are not available, the comparison has been made with data for autonomous regions. This causes the data of the capitals to be diluted with those of their region.

This is why the comparison in autonomous regions with one or two provinces is more significant: Extremadura, Murcia, Madrid, Navarra, Rioja, Balearic Islands, Asturias or the Canary Islands. Also in Euskadi since the three capital cities have 5G.


Infections per 1000 inhabitants   Spain: 2.4
Madrid: 5.4   Catalonia 3.2   Navarra 4.5   Logroño: 6.62   Aragon: 2.3   Euskadi: 3.7
Extremadura: 1.7   Balearic Islands: 1.02   Murcia: 0.8   Ceuta: 0.8   Canaries: 0.7

## 7. BARCELONA: CHARTS WITH 5G COVERAGE AND RATES OF

INFECTION

Correlation of no. of infections per 1000 inhabitants with 5G

| 5G coverage (green) (red dots refs. for curve tracing) | Cases of coronavirus - no. of infections per 1000 inhabitants |

(Data 10 April 2020)

Sectoral maps – cases per 100,000 inhabitants

Coverage maps

A
Cumulative incidence of COVID-19 x 100,000 habitants

B
Distribution of income
Level of average income (per capita) for 2018 by section of Barcelona

C
Distribution of deprivation
Distribution of socio-economic deprivation for 2011 by section of Barcelona

D
Distribution of incidence by socio-economic level

Note: the 4G coverage is general and therefore does not serve in this case to compare areas of incidence of coronavirus. However, the map of 5G is the one that best matches map A. This demonstrates that the 5G factor is decisive.

Data for 21 April 2020.
Conselleria Salut. Generalitat Cat.

8. MADRID: 5G COVERAGE CHARTS AND RATES OF

INFECTION

9. NEW YORK: CORONAVIRUS INCIDENCE CHART AND 5G NETWORK

10. "BORDER EFFECT" BETWEEN MEXICO AND THE USA

Data for 3 April 2020 – infections per 1000 inhabitants					
California	Arizona	New Mexico	Texas	Mexico	USA
0.28	0.31	0.20	0.18	0.012	0.814

The average for the 4 US states is 0.242, which is over 2,000% more than Mexico. The average for the US is 0.814 or 7.000% more than Mexico.

11. CANADA, USA AND MEXICO: CHART OF 5G NETWORKS AND RATES OF INFECTION

12. AFRICA: 5G NETWORK CHART

The African country with the most cases of coronavirus is South Africa, the only African country that has 5G.

13. PERSIAN GULF: 5G NETWORK AND US MILITARY BASES

14. CHINA AND NEIGHBOURING COUNTRIES: CHART AND RATES OF INFECTION

No. of cases per 1000 inhabitants, 13 April 2020.
The countries neighbouring China have approximately 10 times fewer cases per 1000 inhabitants.

Results and discussion

To know whether or not the result obtained is that of a random phenomenon, a statistical analysis of the results of an experiment must be carried to calculate the probability of the event occurring. The probability calculation is obtained by dividing the number of favourable cases by the number of possible cases. If the result shows that it is not a random phenomenon, it shows sufficient causal reason to analyse

the causes.

To eliminate any upward errors we will always opt for the most conservative numerical option.

Let us therefore calculate the probability of three of the examples analysed above.

(a) Probability that the 9 most contagious countries on the planet are countries with 5G networks.

There are 194 countries on the planet. As of 6 March 2020, according to GSMA, there are 24 countries with 5G technology.
Pr = 24/194 x 23/193 x 22/192 (nine times in total) = 0.1237 x 0.1191 x 0.1145 x 0.1099 x 0.1052 x 0.1005 x 0.0957 x 0.0909 x 0.0860 = = 1.47 x 10 (high -9).

The probability is 1 in 680,000,000.

If we include Japan, which also has 5G and rates of infection similar to those of South Korea ...the probability is 1 in 8,500,000,000.

(b) *Probability that the 5 most contagious countries in Europe have 5G networks.*
There are 49 countries in Europe, among which it is currently difficult to know if they currently deploy 5G, as there are 5 that have declared a moratorium, and many others do not have operational networks although companies publish as if they were already operational when they have signed agreements. We will calculate it downwards, as a conservative option, we will assume that about 15 countries have

operational 5G systems.
Pr = 15/49 x 14/48 x 13/47 x 12/46 x 11/45 = 0.00157.

The probability is 1 in 637.

(c) The case of San Marino is highly significant. It is located within the Italian territory, with a similar culture, economy, and social level, but presents much higher rates of infection. The only difference is the time of exposure of its citizens to 5G radiation, because it was the first state in the world to implement such technology on 4 September 2018, while in Italy it was 5 June 2019. This opens the door to debate about the likely influence of 5G on the increase in the rates of infection.
Pr = 1/194 x 1/194.

The probability is 1 in 37,636.

These figures are eloquent enough to make calculating the other cases unnecessary.

The results for the city of Barcelona (pp. 7-8) indicate that sociological factors do not have a significant influence on the rates of infection, but if we see a clear relationship with the 5G coverage map, which added to the 4G coverage, gives us a correlation between mobile coverage and the proportion of cases of coronavirus. If more data were available, this study should be extended to other cities.

Findings

1. The results obtained demonstrate a clear

and close relationship between the rate of coronavirus infections and 5G antenna location.

2. This study does not analyse the beneficial or harmful effects on humans of 5G electromagnetic radiation. However, it does indicate a possible cause-effect in the current pandemic.

3. A "border effect" is significant, original and unique to this pandemic: it presents marked differences between contiguous states with and without 5G installation. it is particularly significant that the countries bordering China have very low rates of infection. One may also compare between Mexico and the USA or between Portugal and Spain, etc.

4. The case of San Marino is particularly significant. It was the first state in the world to install 5G and therefore, the state whose citizens have been exposed to 5G radiation the longest, and suspiciously, the first state in the world with infections. The probability of this happening is 1 in 37,636.

5. In the cities studied, Madrid, Barcelona and New York, this correlation is also observed. In the study of the city of Barcelona (pp. 7-8), it can be seen that the socio- economic factor plays a significant role.2

6. It is very significant that on the African continent, with scarce health resources but without 5G, the rate of infection is very low, except for some antennas in South Africa, which also presents the highest rates of

infection in Africa.

7. The rates of infection are diluted. The rates of some regions are influenced by cities with 5G, but the rates of infection of these cities are diluted in those of the region to which they belong. So it is more significant, as is the case of Spain, to compare uniprovincial autonomous regions, than among those that are formed by 3 or more of the old provinces. Thus we see that some regions with 5G such as Rioja, Madrid and Navarra, have rates between 4 and 8 times higher than others without 5G. The same is true in other cities around the world where the 5G network does not cover the entire territory of the state or region.

8. These data and results have the value of being taken "in vivo", not based on prospective or laboratory studies. Never before have we had so much epidemiological information about a disease in humans to be able to produce scientific studies. A means of answering the question of cause and effect would be to disconnect the 5G networks, at least as a preventive measure, and see the results of the evolution of cases of coronavirus. So would studying the rate of infection in a state that declared a 5G moratorium after the pandemic started and studying if the statistics change. Given the evidence presented here, the data and conclusions of this study urgently need to be given due consideration. Given the current gravity of the pandemic, the media and political and health authorities have a responsibility to take urgent action. A failure to act in the

face of the findings of this study could be considered negligent at the very least and very possibly criminal.

Bartomeu Payeras i Cifre, 14 April 2020

2 Translator's note: there is a contradiction between the last paragraph of the section entitled "Results and discussion" and paragraph 5 of the section entitled "Findings". In one it is stated that "sociological factors do not have a significant influence on rates of infection", and in the other it is stated that they do.

Footnotes

1 Bartomeu Payeras i Cifre is a biologist specialising in microbiology working at the University of Barcelona who has published several research papers. He worked on and researched smallpox bacteria and viruses at the Hubber pharmaceutical laboratories in Barcelona. He created and worked in the Department of Marine Microbiology at the Oceanographic Laboratory of Palma de Mallorca. Clinical analysis at Centre d'Analisis Clinicos in Palma. Genetic engineering: episodic exchange between Paracolobacter and Citrobacter C-3 with bacteriophage. Biogram, method for assessing vitamin B12 activity. Study of marine bacterial contamination in the Port of Maon. Professor of Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry and Biology at IEM. Discoverer of the Dali code with which he encrypted his gifts in his paintings.

📊 Post Views: 15,823

28 thoughts on "Study Shows Direct Correlation between 5G Networks and "Coronavirus" Outbreaks"

Jim West says:

April 25, 2020 at 4:10 am

Thanks for this interesting study. You show a rough correlation of 5G with COVID epidemics and advocate further study. Yet, the 5G map of Madrid shows almost no 5G, depicted in blue. Map resolution is too low for clarity of the legends. But I have the same Madrid map on my site, in high resolution, where I argue 5G to be secondary to air pollution:

https://harvoa-med.blogspot.com/2020/04/COVID2020.html#5G

Reply

admin says:

April 25, 2020 at 4:51 pm

Thanks Jim. You might want to write to the study author and tell him/her of the discrepancy you have found with Madrid.

Reply

Lu says:

April 25, 2020 at 7:22 pm

Devices designed to protect from 1-2-3-4-5G radiation employ negative ions that also are used in air cleaners to counter pollution and the two are not exclusive. See my discussion at https://reject5g.info /three-things/ under The Second Thing. The core issue is the generation of positive ions, that may actually an issue of positive free radicals or both. Too bad censorship interferes with study.

Reply

Frosty says:

April 25, 2020 at 2:23 pm

Hi
Very interesting study. By the way a tip for doing 5G research: Use the "Tools" feature in Google to filter articles from before the

coronavirus outbreak. (Following a search, the toolbar will appear. Choose "Tools –> "Any Time" –> "Custom Range". Then in the box that says "To" enter a date like 31st July 2019 and leave the "From" box blank). That way you will get "clean" articles about 5G rollouts from the time when the people didn't know about the virus.

Reply

admin says:
April 25, 2020 at 4:49 pm

Great idea and thanks for sharing info on how we can do it!

Reply

Alan Deacon says:
April 25, 2020 at 2:40 pm

I performed my own "studies" of this several weeks ago:

https://www.youtube.com /watch?v=BIerrYlcLRE&t=12s

https://www.youtube.com /watch?v=1z7mh_cSRyQ&t=3s

I thought the implications were interesting then…

Reply

admin says:

April 25, 2020 at 4:48 pm

Good job! Thanks.

Reply

Kajin says:

April 25, 2020 at 5:17 pm

Can I make report about your research in
Kurdish language and put this as reference??

Reply

admin says:

April 25, 2020 at 5:49 pm

Kajin – it's not my report but I
think the author would want the
information spread.

Reply

Jimmy says:

April 25, 2020 at 6:33 pm

I have noticed a rise in google searches for
certain terms eyepain and insomnia in certain
areas of the world.
Here is an example of my findings
Pretoria, Cape Town and Johannesburg

showing results for 'insomnia' in Google Trends interest by Region. Durban not showing as many searches. Why?? IT could be that Durban has no 5G.

Similar results in many other countries. I have put a few examples in a simple wordpress site.

5geyepain.wordpress.com

Not enough research has gone into 5G

Reply

Christianne van Wijk says:

April 25, 2020 at 7:29 pm

Did the first fleet of satellites get released in November last year and did shortly after the epidemic break out?

Reply

admin says:

April 25, 2020 at 7:49 pm

I don't know.

Reply

Toxi Com says:

April 26, 2020 at 10:39 pm

First 60 STARLINK 5G blasters launched 23 May 2019

2nd bunch of 60 launched 11 Nov 2019

See the rest here

https://www.rocketlaunch.live
/?pastOnly=1&filter=spacex&
tag=series-spacex-starlink

There are 420 launched. Not known
how many powered up / operational
/ blasting. Wired mag (IIRC) reported
42,000 were approved.
Firstenberg recently noted a request
approved by FCC for lower-altitude
operation (presumably, they can't
launch enough quickly enough to
poison everyone and therefore have
to lower the altitude of those
already up, to increase power
density exposure, to create more
disease/bodies in time for Jesuit
Fauci's "predicted" fall outbreak).

Reply

> **admin** says:
> April 26, 2020 at 10:54
> pm
>
> Thanks for that great
> information!
>
> Reply

Lab Rat says:
April 26, 2020 at 7:10 am

All of this is fascinating. I work in a laboratory that runs the PCR tests. I of course put painstaking effort into trying not to handle the "contaminated" samples. When David mentioned that the Koch Postulates had not been performed, I was stunned. I wonder how we can further delineate who is most susceptible to the syndrome within the 5G hotspots.

Reply

rayko says:
April 26, 2020 at 8:34 am

Turkey, France and Iran have no availability to 5G, as per https://www.speedtest.net/ookla-5g-map, yet more infections than South Korea and Japan which do have 5G available.

Hong Kong and Singapore: HK has 5G with much fewer infections than Singapore which does not have 5G.

Reply

admin says:
April 26, 2020 at 2:16 pm

Rayko – it is important to understand that 5G is not the only problem. ALL technologically produced

frequencies are hamrful and MANY THOUSANDS have already become ill with radiation sickness from 3G and 4G frequencies. With 5G, the speed with which illness can and will be induced is exponentially multiplied. With 3G and 4G, it might take 5, 10 or even 15 years of chronic exposure before people become ill. Most will not know what has made them sick and the medical establishment will not tell them the Truth about it either. No matter, it is radiation sickness that is taking people down and the symptoms of this alleged deadly "virus" are exactly the same symptoms as those of radiation sickness. Please read my article on this topic:

http://www.radiationdangers.com/5g/is-the-coronavirus-actually-microwave-illness/

Reply

Jewelz That's me
says:
April 26, 2020 at 8:41 pm

I try to access your link above but it

states"resource limit reached" are you able to check for errors in the url please? Or email me it.
Stay safe!!!
Thank you for posting this article AND allowing to share other links/resources!!!

Reply

admin

says:

April 26, 2020 at 9:39 pm

I need a new web host. The server with this host cannot hold the data. I need to get off

this
hosting
service.
The link
is
correct.

Reply

mike says:

April 26, 2020 at 9:59 am

It will be interesting to see if my comment remains on the site or gets deleted. This article is an outstanding example of how to lie with statistics.

Antarctica has no 5G masts and 0 cases of corona. So I am surprised that the author didn't quote Antarctica as conclusive proof of this theory. Of course there is no coronavirus testing being done in Antartica so they could all be infected. If they were all infected it would be conclusive evidence that 5G does not cause Corona virus.

All his numbers are based on reported cases. If you don't test you don't have a case. So most of Africa for example is not doing any testing so no cases. This is because they don't have the money to fund testing or for that matter 5G. One exception is South Africa which also has a

5G network and is testing as it has one of the richest economies in Africa.

Correlation is not the same as the cause. There are loads of things that would correlate with reported coronavirus cases. The number of staircases in a country, or petrol stations, or train stations, or garden centres. Salary is an excellent one. By the authors logic if we all gave up our salary and lived on 5 dollars a day we could have the same number of corona viruses as sub-saharan Africa.

His logic is so flawed that you could just as easily use it to say that coronavirus testing leads to 5G masts.

Stay safe and well. By social distancing from people not 5G masts!

Reply

Pingback: POTVRĐENO! Klasteri Koronavirusa direktno koreliraju s 5G mrežama – Homunizam

Adam says:
April 26, 2020 at 2:46 pm

I do think that 5G could be a health issue for a few reasons and it's strange that 5G and starlink are both rolling out during corona virus. I guess they are considered essential businesses. The main issue I see with a link between 5G corona virus as put forth here, is

that the places where 5G is installed and active are also highly populated areas which would also lead to the spread of a virus.

Reply

Carrie says:

April 26, 2020 at 4:57 pm

I think that there is a relationship between 5g and Covid 19, but that this is just the set up phase in a planned global misdirection to hide the likely millions of deaths that 5g will cause. If you read The Invisible Rainbow by Arthur Firstenberg (even the 17 page introduction will surface, available free on the internet) then you will understand that the Spanish flu in the early 1900s and the Hong Kong flu etc were not flu but were breathing conditions associated with increased electrification of the atmosphere. Those pushing 5g know this will happen again and so have created the pandemic as a fig leaf to hide the real cause. As the technology is rolled out the deaths will grow. Spanish flu killed 50 million people worldwide.

Reply

admin says:

April 26, 2020 at 5:38 pm

spot-on sister! thank you.

Reply

Val says:

April 26, 2020 at 7:57 pm

Good material, however the TITLE the authors chose (Study of the correlation between cases of coronavirus and the presence of 5G networks) continues to promote the incorrect meme that "5G produces COvid-19", which tends to divert attention and obscure the truth relative to what is really going on in the environment, which is:

1. Normal presence of annual corona viruses (colds, flu, etc) in the population as a whole. 2. Presence of an excess of TB in coastal cities which are also ports of call, where a lot of non-US citizens embark 3. Presence on a limited scale of the lab-engineered/modified corona virus SARS COv-2, which is causing an issue with those with physiological pre-existing conditions. 4: 5G radiation, which is moving toward being ubiquitous, on the land and from space with Elon Musks WiFi/5G transmitter satellites, which will eventually amount to 12,000 transmitters focused on all life forms on Earth. This radiation produces an ARDS condition/effects similar to a viral pneumonia

infection, producing initially an 'altitude sickness' hypoxia by disabling the hemoglobin oxygen-carrying ability of the blood, in addition to numerous other effects.

The title should have been: "Study of the correlation between cases Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) and the presence of 5G networks". Why, because 5G radiation does to that. On top of all this, the socio-political system is conducting a planetary wide psychological operation in order to consolidate objectives planned for a while, essentially falsely promoting #3, aiding and abetting psychosocial terrorism on the population, using rigged statistics, despite an increasing objection from many medical professionals and psychological analysis of the effect on the population of these tactics — constituting a totally unnecessary nightmare for everyone for political purposes …. yet again.

A few links:

[1] https://youtu.be/k9GYTc53r2o "Emergency room physician Dr.Cameron Kyle-Sidell makes clear is that 'coronavirus' patients [in areas where there is 5G radiation] are dying from oxygen deprivation, not a classic scenario of viral pneumonia, which is why ventilators are not working. It's not that they can't get oxygen – it's that the ambient 5G causes problems with hemoglobin and people are dying from hypoxia. Ambient 5G causes the blood that's circulating in your body to be unable to carry oxygen. What's especially horrifying about the

critical care of coronavirus patients is that they aren't suffering from "viral pneumonia," but rather from an inability to absorb or carry oxygen in the blood. This has been confirmed by NYC ICU emergency physician Cameron Kyle-Sidell, who has released several videos detailing how coronavirus is not a kind of viral pneumonia. "We're treating the wrong disease," he says. And the ventilators are damaging the lungs of patients.. […] "

[2] https://www.naturalnews.com /2020-04-06-5g-alter-hemoglobin-coronavirus- patients-oxygen-deprivation.html Ambient 5G Exposure Alters The Structure And Function Of Hemoglobin, Causing Death From Oxygen Deprivation . A LOT of information on the biological effects of 5G, which in the end is a biological weapon system that doubles as an 'over the top' telecommunications infrastructure.

[3] https://tinyurl.com/y98vp3qn OOKLA 5G Interactive Map Provides Locations of Communities Being Fried for 'Faster Internet'

[4] https://youtu.be/jGUgrEfSgaU "Corona Crisis: Prof. Sucharit Bhakdi Explains Why The Measures Are Senseless And Self-Destructive"

[5] https://www.lewrockwell.com/2020/04 /no_author/according-to-cdc-data-its-not- covid-19-coronavirus-that-is-causing-all-the- severe-lung-deaths/ " Examine the maps below. They show the States where 'COVID-19' cases occur which correlates with where tuberculosis of the lungs is prevalent. Either of

two conclusions can be made from these maps:
1) Either people living in these States have
weak immunity and therefore are likely to
develop 'COVID-19' coronavirus or
tuberculosis, or both; and/or 2) The fact most
cases of lung pathology occur along the
southern border and New York City, where
immigrants enter the country, typically with
dormant or latent cases of TB. TB may be an
uninvestigated co-morbidity that is causing
many deaths attributed to 'COVID-19'
coronavirus. […] In the next graphic (below)
the top chart indicates mortality from
pneumonia and influenza only for 2016-2020
with a dramatic up-spike (red line) in early
2020. The bottom chart displays data for total
deaths from COVID-19 coronavirus in addition
to pneumonia and influenza for Oct. 2015-April
2020 with a sharp increase in the third and
fourth months of 2020 (red line). When both
charts are compared, it is obvious that the
reported increase in deaths is largely due to
pneumonia or influenza, not COVID-19. The
next chart compares deaths from COVID-19
coronavirus, deaths due to pneumonia and
deaths due to influenza. By the 11th week of
the 2020 pandemic (late March), COVID-19
deaths comprised just 0.1% (one tenth of one
percent). This was because the CDC issued test
kits that were contaminated with COVID-19 that
were withdrawn, resulting in delays in
estimating the infectiousness of this
coronavirus, and hindered others from

producing test kits while the FDA withheld imported tests over quality-control issues. This is how the COVID-19 coronavirus spread in what appears to be an orchestrated effort to let it get out of hand. […] Worldometer reports as of April 14, 2020, 75.3% of COVID-19 deaths were due to underlying conditions (5151 of 6839) in New York City. The seasonal onset of the disease is best explained as an onset of a viral disease due to a deficiency of sunshine vitamin D rather than an unexplained eruption of a coronavirus out of nowhere. It has not been proven that COVID-19 coronavirus is a cause of severe lung congestion. In fact, it may be a standby virus. To repeat, many people die with but not of coronavirus. No evidence has been presented so far that COVID-19 is causal in any deaths, or now, COVID-19. It is inexplicable to see such drastic measures taken for a virally-induced infection that is far less deadly than seasonal tuberculosis, a lung disease that largely affects foreign-born immigrants in the U.S.[…]"

[6] https://www.activistpost.com/2020/04/senators-ask-for-investigation-into-fcc-exempting-5g-wifi-satellites-from-environmental-review.html?

[7] https://tinyurl.com/yadnutnt "60 More SpaceX Satellites Launched. Musk Promises to Reduce Brightness Due to Astronomers' Complaints

"[…] Despite expert warnings and opposition, the Federal Communication Commission (FCC)

has approved tens of thousands of satellites, loons, and other space craft to be launched into the sky and space to blast WiFi and 5G back down at us (see 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) […] The continued launches shows Musk is not letting the pandemic hamper his company's plans of creating an internet broadband constellation in low orbit. The firm aims to have more than 1,000 satellites in orbit by the end of the year and has approval by the FCC to launch over 12,000 in total. […]"
[8] https://tinyurl.com/y8lcrxcd 5G wireless: Space X Starlink Beta Starts In 6 Months

There is so much more ….

Reply

admin says:
April 26, 2020 at 9:05 pm

Thanks for all this information Val. You might like to read my article on this topic:
http://www.radiationdangers.com/5g/is-the-coronavirus-actually-microwave-illness/

Reply

CJ says:
April 26, 2020 at 9:35 pm

Unbelievable nonsense.

Spain has almost zero 5G, Italy as well:

https://www.nperf.com/en/map
/ES/3117735.Madrid/168904.MasMovilYoigo-
Movil/signal/

The Country with strongest 5G-coverage =
south korea, and they were the best in fighting
corona.

Reply

admin says:

April 26, 2020 at 9:38 pm

I think you need to do more
research. Please read:
https://mailchi.mp
/1464745d47aa/the-emperors-
new-virus-china-5g-and-the-
wuhan-
coronavirus?e=521febdb5b

Reply

Leave a Reply