

anxa  
91-B  
8781


ORNAMENTAL  
DRAWING  
  
AND  
ARCHITECTURAL  
DESIGN











FRONT ELEVATION OF A PAIR OF SEMI-DETACHED VILLAS IN THE "ELIZABETHAN STYLE."

*See page 165.*


ORNAMENTAL DRAWING,  
AND  
ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN.

With Notes, Historical and Practical.

---

UPWARDS OF TWO HUNDRED ILLUSTRATIONS.

---



EDITED BY

ROBERT SCOTT BURN,

EDITOR OF

"THE ILLUSTRATED DRAWING-BOOK," "MECHANICS AND MECHANISM," "THE STEAM-ENGINE,"  
"ARCHITECTURAL, ENGINEERING, AND MECHANICAL DRAWING-BOOK," ETC., ETC.

SIXTEENTH THOUSAND.

LONDON:

WARD, LOCK, AND TYLER,

WARWICK HOUSE, PATERNOSTER ROW.

LONDON:

PRINTED BY J. OGDEN AND CO.

172, ST. JOHN STREET, E.C.

# Ornamental Drawing,

AND

# ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN.

---

## INTRODUCTION.

THE following are designed as companion Lessons to those given in the works in this Series, entitled *The Illustrated Drawing-Book*, *The Architectural, Engineering, and Mechanical Drawing-Book*, and in the last section of *Practical Geometry*. They are constructed and arranged on the same principle adopted in those works, of beginning at the simplest and leading the pupil gradually up to the most complicated arrangement of lines and curves; and, like them, are to be considered as merely introductory to the departments of which they are illustrations. We lay no claim for the work to be considered as an exhaustive treatise, or to any originality in its arrangement and matter. We consider it as simply an attempt to embody a series of lessons, and of historical and practical notes, culled from various authorities, which may serve as the groundwork for more complete and elaborate practice, and form an incentive to the systematic study of the principles and practice of decorative and constructive art.

So far, however, as the nature and limits of the work admit, we have endeavoured to make the departments as complete as possible; and, by the insertion of brief historical notes, to render the descriptions of the various architectural styles treated of, interesting to the general reader. We venture to hope, therefore, that, although mainly designed as an introductory treatise, it will be found complete enough for those who wish to acquire a general knowledge of the history, and of the peculiar characteristics of the different styles.

To the student desirous of further extending his knowledge on these

points, we would recommend, as eminently fitted to convey both theoretical and practical information, the following works:—*The Illustrated Handbook of Architecture*, by James Fergusson, M.R.I.B.A. 2 vols. Murray. This is the most complete and satisfactory of all the works, treating on the various styles of architecture, we have yet met with. The descriptions are ably written; and the illustrations, both for beauty and utility, remarkable, as examples of architectural drawings. The subject is philosophically treated; and the work abounds in æsthetic and practical disquisitions. *Rudimentary Architecture.—Orders.* By W. H. Leeds, Esq. Weale. *Rudimentary Treatise on the Principles of Design in Architecture*, by G. Garbett, Architect. Weale. These two works present a large amount of information, practical and æsthetical. The former takes up the subject of the classical architecture and its orders; the latter considers the subject of design applied to Gothic as well as to Classical architecture. Both are lucidly written; and are eminently calculated to make the reader think for himself, and to urge him to examine the principles on which the most celebrated styles of the art have been designed.


As complete treatises on Ornamental Drawing and Design, we would recommend to the notice of the reader the following works:—*The Grammar of Ornament*, by Owen Jones, in 25 parts. Day and Son. *Examples of Ornament in every Style*, selected by J. Cundall. Bell and Daldy. The Guides to the various departments of the Crystal Palace Company, published under their immediate authority, contain also many notes and illustrations suggestive to the reader. Indeed, we conceive that a *résumé* of the principal contents of the Guides to the different Architectural Courts, would be of considerable value to the art-student. If the student can have access to Durrand's *Parallel of Architecture*, published at Berlin, he will find in its fine series of plates numerous illustrations, drawn to scale, of the most celebrated examples of the various styles.

The following is the arrangement we have adopted in the work:—The First Division comprehends four sections. The *first* of these sections takes up the examples of ornament in which straight lines are chiefly met with; the *second section*, those in which circles with curved lines are used, drawn mechanically; the *third section* gives examples of ornamentation of the same class, to be drawn without the use of mechanical aids; the *fourth section* gives illustrations of ornament as applied to the decora-

tion of textile fabrics chiefly. These are, in the majority of instances, taken from the actual designs; and, studied in conjunction with the remarks on "design," as applied to *decorative art*, at the end of the section, may convey some notion of its "true" and "false" principles; how the former are to be adhered to, and the latter avoided, in practice. They are given chiefly as examples for practice in drawing, and of textile ornamentation as generally adopted—not as perfect designs embodying correct principles. The reader is expected to use them by the principles indicated by authorities, a brief digest of which we have given.

Division Second comprises historical and æsthetical notices of the following styles of architecture: *Assyrian, Egyptian, Indian, Chinese, Grecian, Roman, Romanesque, Byzantine, and Lombardic; Gothic, or Pointed; Renaissance, and Arabian*. These notices are illustrated as amply as the limits of the work will admit of, the illustrations being specially designed to convey as much practical information as possible.

The Third and last Division embraces a variety of examples of architectural plans and details, which may convey some information to the young practitioner.



# Ornamental Drawing,

AND

# ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN.

---

## FIRST DIVISION.

### SECTION I.

LEAVING to a succeeding part of our work the consideration of the principles from which are deduced the rules or canons of "taste in design," we shall proceed at once to give examples of ornamentation in which straight lines are chiefly used; noting previously that the "ornaments" adapted for those combinations, many of which may be considered as mere framework or outlines, will be described in Section II.

EXAMPLE 1, fig. 1, shows an arrangement of squares which may be filled in with curved ornamentation, or colours, forming a pavement or




fig. 1.

tile design. The diagram to the left shows the manner of drawing this: a series of squares are formed in lines distant from each other, as at *e* or *f*, the side of each square being *d f*.




fig. 2.

EXAMPLE 2, fig. 2, shows an arrangement of parallelograms, forming a series of panels, which may be decorated or coloured as required.

EXAMPLE 3, fig. 3, shows a square placed diagonally. Join  $a b c d$  by lines crossing in  $e$ ; draw lines corresponding to these as  $e h, f g$ , fig. 4;




fig. 3.




fig. 4.

take half of  $c d$ , fig. 3, as  $c e$ , and set it off from  $m$ , fig. 4, to  $e, h, g, f$ ; join these, and parallel to them draw the internal squares.




fig. 5.




fig. 6.

EXAMPLE 4, fig. 5, is the "lozenge" or diamond shape. Fig. 6 shows the manner in which it is drawn: two lines,  $c b, e d$  intersect, at  $a$ ;  $a c,$




fig. 7

$a b, a e, a d,$  are each equal to half of  $a b, e f,$  fig. 5; and  $a h, a m, a g, a t,$  fig. 6, to half of  $h m, c d,$  fig. 5.

EXAMPLE 5, fig. 7, is an open balustrade or perforated parapet in the Gothic style, in which the principal feature is the arrangement of squares, as in fig. 3.

EXAMPLE 6, fig. 8, is another example, showing the method of filling up the face of a pilaster or panel with squares, as in fig. 3.



fig. 8.




fig. 9.

EXAMPLE 7, fig. 9, is an illustration of the use of squares and oblongs, arranged as a tile (this specimen is copied from one of Minton's encaustic tiles). The dotted lines show the construction of the pattern. In the




fig. 10.

original design the *colours* are filled in as follows:—The dotted parts in the figure are red, those marked with a + are yellow, the parts black in the figure being also black in the pattern.

EXAMPLE 8, fig. 10, shows an arrangement of lozenges or diamonds as in fig. 5: the dotted lines show the construction, the distance between the diamonds, as  $e f g h$ ,  $a b c d$ , being equal to the distance  $a f$ , or  $d e$ .

EXAMPLE 9, fig. 11, is an illustration of the use of this form in one of Minton's encaustic tiles, from which it is copied. The parts marked + are black, those dotted red, and those filled in with parallel lines




fig. 11.

yellow. Lines, as  $i h g$ ,  $a b c d e f$ , are drawn at the angle shown, parallel to each other, the distance between each line being equal to  $a b$  or  $b c$ .

EXAMPLE 10, fig. 12, and



fig. 12.




fig. 13.

EXAMPLE 11, fig. 13, are illustrative of a "diaper" pattern, the different parts of the pattern forming a series of diamonds, as  $a, b, c, d$ . The method of drawing

EXAMPLE 12, fig. 14, is shown in fig. 15. The application of this to the delineation of a Gothic perforated parapet is shown in




fig. 14.



fig. 15.

EXAMPLE 13, fig. 16.




fig. 16.

EXAMPLE 14, fig. 17 (A), is composed of two equilateral triangles, constructed as shown in the diagram to the right. The two lines  $a b, c d$ , are drawn at a distance equal to that between the bases of the triangles in A; with the distance  $a b$ , from  $a, b, c, d$ , describe arcs cutting in  $e f$ ; join  $d f, c f - a e, b e$ .




fig. 17.

EXAMPLE 15, fig. 18, displays an arrangement of lines, giving a combination of hexagons. First describe the hexagon  $a b c d e f$  (for method see work in this Series, *Practical Geometry*). Bisect four sides, as  $a b, c d, a f, e d$ , and through the points draw lines intersecting in  $g$ ; continue these to the points where they intersect each other, as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Each one of the sides of the internal, or central hexagon, as  $a b$ , forms one of the sides of a series of six external hexagons; these being formed very speedily, by means of the lines 5 3, 4 2, 2 6, 1 5, 3 1, 4 6, each of which forms the centre line of each of the external hexagons. Then from

*h*, with half of *f e*, as *g e*, set off to *i m*; and from *h* to *n*, with *g o*. Join *a i*, *b m*, and parallel to *i m*, through *n*, draw *n' n''*, cutting the lines




fig. 18.

6 4, 4 2. In like manner the whole series may be drawn. The starting points being once obtained, a repetition of the pattern to any desired extent is easily effected.

EXAMPLE 16, fig. 19, is a specimen of ornamentation taken from one of Minton's encaustic tiles, in which the hexagon, lozenge, and equilateral triangle are displayed. The lines *i*, *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, and *h*, *g*, *f*, give the




fig 19.

direction of the triangles and the lozenges. The hexagons should be drawn first, the sides of these giving the desired angle of the lines *a b*, *c d*, &c. The method of drawing the ornament in *A*,

EXAMPLE 17, fig. 20, is shown in the diagram to the right, at B. The square  $a b c d$  is first drawn; the sides of this,  $a b, b d$ , being bisected, and lines drawn through the points, as  $e h, f g$ . The second square,  $e f g h$ , is then formed, thereafter the internal octagon B; the corners of this, as  $i, l, p, n, j, k, o$ , and  $m$ , being joined to the square  $a b c d$ . The pattern thus formed and repeated, as shown, forms one of Minton's encaustic tiles, from which we have copied it. Another pattern of Minton's tiles, in which the octagon is a distinguishing feature, is shown in




fig. 20.

EXAMPLE 18, fig. 21, the various lines of which are formed by a series of octagons, the diagonal corners of which, as  $a e, b h, f c, g d$ , are joined by lines, which give the basis of the lines of the points A, B. The method of drawing the combination of squares,  $a$ , with rhomboids,  $b$ , in




fig. 21.

EXAMPLE 19, fig. 22, is shown in fig. 23. If the points 1 2, 2 3, 3 4, 4 5, 5 6, 6 7, 7 8, are joined, an octagon will be formed, and a square by joining 9 10, 12 11. The octagon forms the basis of the combination, and is the first thing to be drawn, which may be done as in fig. 23 by forming a square, and thereafter an octagon (see *Practical Geometry*) the side of which is equal  $f e, f g$ . Draw lines,  $i i m$ , distant from each other equal to the distance between the rhomboids in fig. 22. Parallel




fig. 22.

to the diagonal lines  $c b, a d$ , draw lines equal to  $i i$ . From  $e$ , one end of the octagon side, draw a line perpendicular to  $c d$ , joining the diagonal  $a d$  in  $h$ . From  $n$ , the end of another side of the octagon, draw parallel to  $c d$  a line cutting the diagonal  $d a$  in  $o$ , parallel to  $e h, k t$ ; draw lines  $p t, n s$ ; two of the rhomboids will thus be formed; the remainder are drawn in a similar way. These being obtained, the squares, as in fig. 22, are easily drawn.




fig. 23.

EXAMPLE 20, fig. 24, shows an arrangement of figures sometimes used for carpets and oil-cloths. The octagonal figure  $a b c d, \&c.$ , is the basis

of the arrangement, which is drawn first, and gives the lines of the other figures, as  $C D$ ,  $f j$ ,  $g i$ ,  $i l$ ,  $l m$ ,  $k n$ ,  $k j$ , are all equal to the side  $c f$  of




fig. 24.

the octagon; the sides  $l m$ ,  $k n$ , form starting-points for the other octagons, which may be repeated as often as required.

SECTION II.

WE now proceed to give examples of figures in which circular and curved lines are met with.

EXAMPLE 21, fig. 25. The fig.  $a b c d$  is formed by arcs of circles, the centres of which are found at the corners of a square, as  $a' b' c' d'$ ,




fig. 25.

the side of which is equal to the distance between the extreme points, as  $a c$  or  $b d$ . The radius of the larger arcs is equal to half the side, as  $a' h, c' g$ , &c. The ornament known as the quatre-foil, and which forms

EXAMPLE 22, fig. 26, is drawn in the manner shown by the diagram to the left. A diagonal square,  $c d f g$ , is first drawn, one side of which is equal to the distance between the centres of the circles, as  $a, b, c, d$ .




fig. 26.

The radii of the circles described from the points  $d c g f$  is equal to half the side, as  $d m, f n$ , &c. An exemplification of this is shown in the gothic perforated parapet which forms

EXAMPLE 23, fig. 27; and its adaptation to encaustic tiles in




fig. 27.

EXAMPLE 24, fig. 28, which is taken from one of Minton's beautiful specimens of the art. The simple ornament which forms




fig. 28.

fig. 29.

EXAMPLE 25, fig. 29, is drawn in the manner shown in the accompanying diagrams. Describe the square 1, 2, 7, 8; divide the sides in the points 4, 5, 6, 3; and from these, with radius 3, 2, describe arcs, as 1 2, 2 8, &c. Next describe a diagonal square, as  $a b c d$  ( $i j, g m$  corresponding to 7 8, 2 1), and from the points where the diagonals of  $i j g m$ , as  $i m, g j$ , cut the sides as at  $e$  in the side  $c d$ , describe arcs with radius equal to  $e c, e d$ ; from  $c$  to  $f$ , and from  $g$  in the side  $b c$ , from  $c$  to  $h$ . Do this at all the sides, and the figure is complete. The manner of describing the intersecting ornament in

EXAMPLE 26, fig. 30, is shown in fig. 31. The curves terminating in the points 1, 2, 3, 4 are found by describing a square,  $a b c d$ , fig. 31, and

from these points, with radius equal to  $c d$ , describing arcs cutting in the points  $e f, h g$ . The curves terminating in the points 5 6, 7 8, fig. 30,




fig. 30.




fig. 31.

are formed in like manner, the centres of the arcs being found in a square drawn diagonally in the first, as shown in fig. 31. The ornaments




fig 32.




fig. 33.

in figs. 32 and 33 are described in the same way. The gothic parapet forming

EXAMPLE 27, fig. 34, is drawn by describing a series of circles from various points.




fig. 34.

By means of arcs of different radii, from points in different figures, as squares, diamonds, triangles, &c., a vast variety of figures can be obtained, in which the distinguishing feature is a combination of circular lines. The pupil is recommended to construct a variety of these by altering the arrangement of the figures already given.

EXAMPLE 28, fig. 35, is a combination of lines and circles met with in the arrangement of a "ceiling." The centres  $a, b, c, d$  are placed at the corners of a square, the side of which is equal to the distance  $ab$ ; those of the smaller circles, as  $f, g, h, i$ , are formed at the corners of a




fig. 35.

diagonal square, the side of which is equal to  $gh$ ; the centre  $e$  is at the intersection of the two diagonals of the larger square, as  $a, c, b, d$ . These points being obtained, the remainder of the figure, and its repetition to any extent desired may be easily drawn.

We now present a variety of examples of ornamentation formed by the combination of straight and circular lines.

EXAMPLE 29, fig. 36, is formed by a series of equilateral triangles, the




fig. 36.

construction of which is explained in the attached diagram. A series of intersecting squares with lozenges is given in

EXAMPLE 30, fig. 37, the method of constructing which is also shown. A series of squares and oblongs is shown in




fig. 37.

EXAMPLE 31, fig. 38. The figure forming




fig. 38.



fig. 39.

EXAMPLE 32, fig. 39, is described by somewhat the same method as figs. 30, 31, the points, as *e*, being joined by straight lines in place of arcs of circles. The method of drawing the ornament in




fig. 40.

EXAMPLE 33, fig. 40, is shown in fig. 41. The corresponding letters show how the points are obtained. The diagram to the left of




fig. 41.

EXAMPLE 34, fig. 42, will show how the ornament may be sketched. A combination of straight and circular lines is shown in




fig. 42.

EXAMPLE 35, figs. 43, 44. A series of "frets" and ornaments is given in





fig. 44.

fig. 43.

EXAMPLES 36 to 43, figs. 45 to 52 *b* inclusive.




fig. 45.



fig. 46.




fig. 47.




fig. 48.




fig. 49.




fig. 50.




fig. 51.




fig. 52.



fig. 52 a.



fig 52 b.

The foregoing examples in the present Section have principally been drawn by means of the ruler and the compasses, *i.e.*, "mechanically." We now proceed to give examples of ornamentation in which combinations of these lines, with others drawn by the aid of the eye and hand alone, are met with. These will be given under Section III., Division I.

## SECTION III.

BEFORE attempting to delineate the finished examples which we propose to give towards the end of this division, the pupil must acquire a ready facility in drawing curved lines in every variety of position, doing this, unaided by instruments, with the eye and hand alone. By consulting Section II. of our "Drawing-Book,"\* he will find instruction how to proceed; we confine ourselves here to giving a variety of examples of curved lines, the most of which will be found in the succeeding examples. To these the pupil may with advantage add a variety of examples taken from some one of the numerous sketches we give in succeeding Sections of this treatise. In copying the following examples (figs. 53 to 71 inclusive), care should be taken to draw each curve in a different position. By referring to fig. 7 of the "Drawing-Book," he will find instruction on this point, of importance to be attended to.



fig. 53.



fig 54



fig. 55.



fig. 56.



fig. 57.



fig. 58.



fig. 59.



fig. 60.



fig. 61.




fig. 62.



fig. 63.



fig. 64.



fig. 65.



fig. 66.



fig. 67.



fig. 68.



fig. 69.



fig. 70.



fig. 71.



Having mastered those various curves, the pupil is now prepared to attempt the delineation of finished examples. To aid him, however, in




fig. 72.

this, we shall now and then add what we may call "analytical diagrams," by which the method of laying out the figures will be explained.

We give a sketch of the Grecian "honeysuckle ornament" in

EXAMPLE 44, fig. 72; the curved lines in fig. 53 will be found to be taken from this. The method of copying this will be seen by inspection of

EXAMPLE 45, fig. 73.




fig 72.

The lines forming the lesson given in fig. 54 will be found to constitute part of

EXAMPLE 46, fig. 74.




fig 74.

The method of laying out

EXAMPLE 47, fig. 75, will be found in fig. 76.




fig. 75.




fig. 76.

EXAMPLE 48, fig. 77, may be copied by adopting the method explained in the diagram, fig. 78.




fig. 77.




fig 78.

EXAMPLE 49, fig. 79, may be copied by describing the circle, and thereafter drawing the two oblongs, as shown by the dotted lines.




fig. 79.

EXAMPLE 50, fig. 80, is part of a "fluted scroll," being part of the ornamentation on the capital of the Ionic Pilaster, from Priene, in Asia.



fig. 80.

The elementary lesson in fig. 60 will be found applicable to the delineation of this example.




fig. 81.

EXAMPLE 51, fig. 81, is a drawing of a Gothic cross, in the "decorated style." The elementary lessons in figs. 63, 64, and 65 will be found to form part of this example. The elementary lessons in figs. 59 and 66 form part of the outline of the "scrolls" in

EXAMPLES 52, 53, figs. 82, 83. In like manner the lessons in figs. 67, 68, and 69 are applicable to the delineation of the scrolls in



fig. 82.



fig. 83.

EXAMPLES 54, 55, figs. 84, 85; and those in figs. 70, 71, to the




fig. 84.



fig. 85.

EXAMPLES 56, 57, and 58, figs. 86, 87, and 88.



fig. 83.



fig 87.



fig. 88.

EXAMPLE 59, fig. 89. One half of an elegant scroll, well adapted for a wall-paper pattern, may be constructed as follows :—To the part *a*, fig.



fig. 89.



fig. 90.

86, join the part *b*, fig. 89 ; and to the part *d* of this figure join the part *c* of fig. 87 ; finish by joining the part *g*, fig. 88, with *f*, fig. 87.

EXAMPLE 60, fig. 90.

EXAMPLE 61, fig. 91. Fig. 92 shows one method of copying this.

EXAMPLE 62, fig. 93. Figs. 94, 95, show two methods of copying this.




fig. 91.




fig. 92.




fig. 93.




fig. 94.




fig. 95.

EXAMPLE 63, figs. 96, 97, and 98, a portion of three scroll patterns, which the pupil should draw completely. In




fig. 96.

EXAMPLES 64 to 68, figs. 99 to 103 inclusive, we give examples of ornamentation for filling up spaces, as squares, triangles, such as we give in Section I. In fig. 99, *a* is an ornament calculated to fill up a square

or circle; *b* for a square, and *c d* diamond or lozenge. In fig. 100 *a* and *b* are adapted for squares. In fig. 101, *a, b* for circles or hexagons. In




fig. 97.




fig. 98.



fig. 99.




fig. 101.



fig. 102.




fig. 100.



fig. 103.

fig. 102 *a* is a corner-piece, *b* a triangle. In fig. 103 the ornament is adapted for an oblong, or rhomboid.

In designing combinations of lines, the pupil will do well to bear in mind the following remark by Mr. Owen Jones : "Harmony of form appears to consist in the proper balancing and contrast of the straight, the inclined, and the curved. \* \* \* As in colour, there can be no perfect composition in which either of the three primary colours is wanting; so in form, whether structural or decorative, there can be no perfect composition in which either of the three primary figures is wanting, and the varieties and harmony in composition and design depend on the various predominance and subordination of the three."—*Guide to the Alhambra Court*, p 36.

## SECTION IV.

## EXAMPLES OF ORNAMENTATION AS APPLIED TO TEXTILE FABRICS.

FIGS. 104 to 120 inclusive are examples of ornamentation applied to the decoration of printed fabrics, as calicoes, furniture-prints, dresses, &c., &c.

Figs. 121 to 129 inclusive are examples of ornamentation as applicable to "ribbon" decoration.

Figs. 130 to 133 to silk handkerchief decoration.

In figs. 134 to 137 inclusive we give examples of "book-cover" decoration; and in figs. 138 to 147 inclusive examples of "paper-hangings," of which figs. 139, 141, and 147 are known as "diaper" pattern, the design being repeated, and forming a series of diagonal squares.

Decorators or ornamentists are divided into two great classes, one of which advocates the strict following of "nature," in the adaptation of her own graceful and varying forms, to the purposes of decoration; while the other repudiates this strict adherence, and advocates the necessity of *conventionalising* them before applying them to decoration. Thus, Mr. Owen Jones, whom we may here name as an able exponent of the latter school, lays down the following canon:—"Flowers or other natural objects should not be used as ornament, but conventional representations founded upon them, sufficiently suggestive to convey the intended image to the mind, without destroying the unity of the object they are employed to decorate." At a meeting of the Society of Arts, in lecturing on Decorative Arts, Mr. Jones brought forward historical proof to show that as civilisation progressed conventional representations were always used; and as art declined, a nearer approach to nature was attempted, and, as he conceived, "with very bad results." In passing in review the works of the wall decorator, the paper stainer, the calico printer, the weaver, and the potter, "he dwelt forcibly on the impropriety of the present fashion of covering carpets, paper-hangings, furniture-prints, &c., with representations of natural flowers, which were always placed in false positions, appearing in relief where flatness was most essential," deducing from his remarks the inference that "all this was having a most degrading influence on the taste of the present day." On the other hand, the "natural school" does not want as able and eloquent advocates as that of the "conventional;" of these we propose to quote Mr. Ruskin, the well-known and able writer on architecture and the fine arts. At a recent meeting of the Society of Arts a paper was read by Mr. George Wallis, head master of the Birmingham School of Art, on "Recent Progress in Design as applied to Manufactures." In the course of this paper Mr. Wallis took the "conventional" idea with relation to the employment of natural forms for the decoration of carpets, &c. He thus remarked:—"Some progress has been made even in carpet designs, which, a few years ago, appeared to be hopelessly abandoned to one incen-




fig. 104.




fig. 105.



fig. 106.



fig. 107.




fig. 108.

sant ringing of the changes upon artistic pitfalls, man-traps, and floral stumbling-blocks, in velvet pile and fabrics in wool. A manufacturer, as also a few dealers, seem to have arrived at that point, inasmuch as a carpet is a covering for a floor, it ought to look like a floor—that is, a surface to walk upon; that a carpet is not the only article in the room; that its lines and colours ought rather to be subordinate to the more prominent pieces of furniture, than to challenge attention by the brilliancy of its hues in masses, or the tortuosity of its lines in the boundary of its forms. A conviction, too, has arisen that forms in projection are inconsistent with the position of the surface upon which they are represented; and that even granting that flowers tastefully arranged and judiciously treated are not unsuitable objects for the decoration of a carpet, yet there is no reason why the flower-basket should be represented too. The statement that floral designs in carpets are still preferred by the customers, and that the ladies themselves, in spite of the best garniture designs, insist upon roses done in wool, is a fair argument enough in its commercial application, but in an artistic sense only proves that the people lack a knowledge of principles by which to test these things.” Mr. Ruskin, in the discussion which followed upon the reading of the paper, stated that he could not, as Mr. Wallis did, blame the ladies “for promoting a base manufacture of carpets, admitting the complete imitation of flowers,” chiefly, as he remarked, “because he knew a most respectable and long-established firm engaged in carpet manufacture on an extensive scale, which conducted its business on the principle Mr. Wallis opposed. He referred to the firms whose head partners, the months of April and May, supplied a large part of the world with green carpets, in which floral design was largely introduced, and he believed generally to the satisfaction of the public. Nor could he see, since the first thing we usually did to make the ground fit to be walked upon by any festive procession, was always to strew flowers upon it, why we should refuse to have flowers on our carpets, lest we should stumble over them, any more than we should refuse to have pictures on our walls, lest we should knock our heads through them.” \* \* \* \* \* While accepting of Mr. Wallis’s principles that “the *material* and the *use* of the object to be produced should be first consulted,” and holding, also, that “no art production was right unless first of all serviceable for its proper purpose,” Mr. Ruskin pleaded, “beyond this, for the direction of the mind of the workman straight to nature, whenever he had to introduce ornament at all. All the true nobleness of art had come from people loving nature, in some way or another expressing their sentiments about nature; and exactly in proportion as the reference to nature became more direct, the art became nobler.”

The following remarks by Mr. Redgrave, R.A., will afford some suggestive hints in connection with the peculiarities of the two schools of ornamentation we have already, under other names, referred to:—

“Ornamentists may fairly be divided into two classes: the traditional, who superstitiously reverence the remains of past ages, and are wedded in practice to existing styles; and those who despise the past, and feel themselves at liberty to adopt from the abundant sources of nature a mode and manner for themselves, without regard to the works of their



fig. 109.



fig. 110.



fig. 111.



fig. 112.



fig 113.



fig 114.

predecessors. The first class simply seek to follow where precedent leads them, and to be able to claim the sanction of authority for their works. These, even when taste duly regulates their choice, are men of limited



fig. 115.



fig. 116.

ideas and small progress. Those of the second class, who pay no deference to authority—who think that ornament is governed by no laws, and who see no principles by which they are to be guided, are little



fig. 117.



fig. 118.

likely to raise the art to the level of past times, and, still less, to advance its aim and widen its scope. The true ornamentist would seem to be one who seeks out the *principles* on which the bygone artists worked, and

the rules by which they arrived at excellence ; and, discarding mere imitation and reproduction of details, endeavours, by the application of new ideas and new matter, on *principles* which he believes to be sound, or which time and the assent of other minds has approved to be fundamental, to attain originality through fitness and truth. The antiquarian ornamentist, however, will always have a certain reputation, and justly, if he has the taste to select what is best from the great masters of past times. In any case the critic must be bold who speaks against the authority of the fathers of the art ; and praise is safe when great names are on the side of the critic. From this class of ornamentists we may at least demand purity of style, that marked eras should be kept distinct, and that the adopted ornament should be fitly applied to fabrics or manufactures of the like nature, and, as far as possible, for the like uses, as those for which the ornament was first designed.

“ From the labours of the second class of ornamentists, united to that constant search after novelty, at any sacrifice of true taste, for which manufacturers are so constantly urgent, there has arisen a new species of ornament of the most objectionable kind, which it is desirable at once to deprecate, on account of its complete departure from just taste and true principles. This may be called the *natural* or merely imitative style, and it is seen in its worst development in some of the articles of form. Thus, we have metal *imitations* of plants and flowers, with an attempt to make them a strict resemblance, forgetting that natural objects are rendered into ornament by subordinating the details to the general idea, and that the endeavour ought to be to seize the simplest expression of a thing rather than to imitate it. This is the case with fine art also : in its highest effort mere imitation is an error and an impertinence, and true ornamental art is even more opposed to the merely imitative treatment now so largely adopted. Let any one examine floral or foliated ornament produced in metal by electrotyping the natural object, whereby every venation and striation of the plant is reproduced, and compare it with a well and simply modelled treatment, where only the general features of the form are given, and all the minutest details purposely omitted ; and if this latter has been done with a true sense of the characteristics of the plant, the meanness and littleness of the one mode will be perfectly evident, compared with the larger manner of the other. But this imitative style is carried much further : ormolu stems and leaves bear porcelain flowers painted to imitate nature, and candles are made to rise out of tulips and China-asters, while gas jets gush forth from opal arums. Stems, bearing flowers for various uses, arise from groups of metal leaves, standing tiptoe on their points, and every constructive truth, and just adaptation to use, is disregarded for a senseless imitative naturalism. In the same way, and doubtless supported by great authority, past and present, enormous wreaths of flowers, fish, game, fruits, &c., imitated à *merveille*, dangle round sideboards, beds, and picture-frames. Glass is tortured out of its true quality to make it into the cup of a lily or an anemone ; not that we may be supposed to drink nectar from the flower, but that novelty may catch those for whom good taste is not piquant enough, and chaste forms not sufficiently showy. In fabrics where flatness would seem most essential, this imitative treatment is



fig. 119.



fig. 119 a.



fig 120.

often carried to the greatest excess ; and carpets are ornamented with water-lilies floating on their natural bed, with fruits and flowers poured forth in overwhelming abundance in all the glory of their shades and hues ; or we are startled by a lion at our hearth, or a leopard on our rug, his spotted coat imitated even to its relief as well as to its colour ; while palm trees and landscapes are used as the ornaments of muslin curtains. Though far from saying that imitative ornament is not sometimes allowable, still it will at once be felt that the manner wants a determined regulation to exclude it in most of the above-mentioned cases from all works aspiring to be considered in just taste, and to leave it to be adopted by those only who think novelty better than chaste design, and show preferable to truth.”—*Jury Report of the Great Exhibition*, p. 710. *Supplementary Report on Design*, by Richard Redgrave, R.A.

In reviewing the various opinions as to what constitutes propriety in decorative art—a few only out of the many being given here—one cannot but regret that such diversity of opinion should exist in such an import-



fig. 121.




fig. 122.

ant matter, and that some definite canons or rules could not be laid down for the guidance of parties interested. Such an unsettled state of matters cannot but exercise a most prejudicial influence on the mind of the young artist. In endeavouring to obtain a knowledge of the principles of “design,” he consults various authorities, and the more he reads the more is he perplexed to find that there are no settled principles to guide him, but that each theorist and practitioner inculcates his own peculiar views ; some of them—fortunately of this class there are few examples—dealing in vague assertion and unmeaning illustration, more anxious to prove their own views right than to aid the progress of art. In view of the importance of the subject, and the commercial interests involved, the time seems approaching when it will be necessary to endeavour to get, in the words of Mr. Ruskin, “all men of dignity and standing in the arts to meet and settle a few principles, and make them the goals of art in all schools of design.” Such a proceeding would be of “incalculable advantage.” Artists “had to fulfil,” says the same authority, “the

duty of imparting a true taste in design, not only to the producer but also to the consumer ; but this duty could never be properly performed until all were agreed upon some principles which should form a basis." On this point the remarks of Mr. Redgrave are worthy of all attention. "We ought," he says, "to be very modest in selecting what are principles ; our only way was to try and collect from the choice works of the best periods and masters, and the writings of those who had deeply studied them, a code of laws or principles as a standard to which we could refer. Mr. Wallis had asserted that there was an improvement in the general taste of art applied to manufacture, this, of course, was only the assertion of one individual, *but if there were any code of laws and rules* by which to judge of this improvement, it became no longer a mere assertion ; and *we should soon be able to decide whether there was really an advance or not until some such standard was established.* They had arrived at one or two tests this evening, in which all seemed agreed—the test, namely, that *utility was the first object to be considered* ; they then went on to the *proper use of materials*, and they thus obtained another true principle which could go towards arriving at some code of rules, or some standard, by which they could tell whether any advance in art, as applied to manufactures, had been made or not ; and, *thirdly*, they had determined that there was a *degree of subordination in the various objects ornamented, by which we were to give to one more prominent decoration than to another.* As those rules became established in men's minds, at least some criterion as to advance or retrogression would be arrived at, and he hoped this was advanced by the discussion that had taken place."—*Journal of the Society of Arts*, March 14th, 1856, p. 300.

As to this fitness or adaptability of ornament to various purposes, Mr. Dyce has the following very suggestive remarks—(See *Journal of Design*, No. 3, p. 91):—

"The question is not simply whether such and such specimens of ornament are in themselves beautiful, but whether, being so, they are adapted to particular purposes. I do not mean whether they can be executed by some particular process of manufacture, for that is another question to which I shall afterwards refer ; but whether, supposing they could be executed, they are, as ornaments, suited to particular *uses, situations, or fabrics.* We can hardly, indeed, over-estimate the importance and necessity of study of this kind. I myself am thoroughly persuaded that if we take a candid and unprejudiced view of the sort of decoration which is appropriate in every case—that is to say, if, unbiassed by custom, by precedent, or by the authority of great names, we were rigidly to determine what kind of ornament best fulfilled the conditions which ought to be had regard to in every instance, we should never fall into any great mistake.

"Let me illustrate this by an example or two. A landscape with figures is in itself an agreeable object, and may, as we know, be employed ornamentally with considerable effect. But would it form an appropriate decoration for a floor or pavement, if executed, say, in mosaic ? Obviously not. It is plain that in the case of a floor or pavement (and the same rule applies to carpets, floorcloths, and other coverings of floors), the primary idea to be conveyed is that of uniform flat-

ness and solidity. If this idea is not preserved, it seems to me to matter little what the decorations are; whatever their excellence in point of art, however elevated in sentiment, they are out of place, the effect they produce must be unnatural and disagreeable. In such a case as this, then, we have to consider what sort of design is best fitted to comply



fig. 123.



fig. 124.



fig. 126.



fig. 125.

with the necessary conditions. If we find that the notion of flatness cannot be preserved without a regular repetition of ornamental forms at certain intervals, such repetition becomes a rule or framework by which the pattern or design is to be confined. \* \* \* \* \*

“Or to take the instance of the walls of a room. If it be necessary

to preserve throughout the idea of uniform flatness and solidity, the same treatment must be had recourse to as in the case of a floor or pavement. But, as in architectural structures, a wall *may be* pierced by as many openings as are consistent with its stability, so, in planning the decorations of a wall, we are at liberty to *suppose* as many openings in it as are consistent with the sentiment of stability. \* \* \* \*

“In taking this practical view of the matter, you will perceive that I at once dismiss the crude and hazy notion that, as a general rule, flowers and all other objects must undergo a *conventionalising* process before they can be employed as a matter of ornament. I at once get rid of any attempt to define generally the extent to which truth of resemblance to natural objects is admissible in ornament. There is no general rule. Each case must be considered by itself. Show me the instance in which the ornament is to be applied, tell me the process by which it is to be executed, and I will then say whether and how far it is consistent with common sense to employ the resources of artistic imitation. In practice the only safe rule I know of is, that the means be strictly adapted to the end. If it be necessary in any case to preserve the idea of flatness and surface, it is certain that the very worst way of doing this would be to cover the surface with a kind of imitative art which implied the absence of surface altogether. Or, again, if we had to decorate the surface of some fabric which, when used, would always be hung in folds, it is obvious that those forms of ornament would be most appropriate which suffer least when bent or twisted by the folding of the cloth. Or, again, if our object were to impart a certain gauze-like or semi-transparent effect to fabrics such as those used for ladies' dresses, does not the usual expedient suggest itself of having, as it were, two levels for the ornaments, one consisting of geometrical forms and identified with the surface of the cloth, the other seemingly relieved from it and consisting of objects—say flowers—imitated artistically?”

One of the “Course of Lectures on the Results of the Great Exhibition” was—by Mr. Digby Wyatt—“An Attempt to Define the Principles which should determine Form in the Decorative Arts.” In this Mr. Wyatt gave the following remarks, which will be useful to the young decorator:—

“When we turn to a consideration of the united action upon human design of the general principles of consistency, exhibited in the works of Nature, we find that of all qualities which can be expressed by the objects upon which our executive ability may be occupied, the noblest and most universally to be aimed at is plain and manly truth. Let it ever be borne in mind that design is but a variety of speech or writing. By means of design we inscribe or ought to inscribe, upon every object of which we determine the form, all essential particulars concerning its material, its method of construction, and its uses; by varying ornaments and by peculiar styles of conventional treatment, we know that we shall excite certain trains of thought and certain associations of ideas. The highest property of design is that it speaks the universal language of nature, which all can read. If, therefore, men be found to systematically deceive—by too direct an imitation of nature, pretending to be nature—by using one material in the peculiar style of conventionality universally



fig. 127.





fig. 128.



fig. 129.



fg 130.

D



fig. 132.



fig. 131.




fig. 133.




fig. 134




fig. 135.

recognised as incident to another—by borrowing ornaments expressive of lofty associations, and applying them to mean objects—by hiding the



fig. 135 a.



fig. 136.

structural purpose of the article, and sanctioning, by a borrowed form, the presumption that it may have been made for a totally different




fig. 136 a.

object, or in a perfectly different way,—such men cannot clear themselves from the charge of degrading art by systematic misrepresentation, as they

would lower human nature by writing or speaking a falsehood. Unfortunately, temptations to such perversions of truth surround the growing designer. The debilitating effects of nearly a century's incessant copying, without discrimination, appropriating without compunction, and falsifying without blushing, still bind our powers in a vicious circle, from which we have hardly yet strength to burst the spell. Some extraordinary stimu-



fig. 137.




fig. 138.




fig. 139.

lant could alone awaken all our energies, and that stimulant came—it may not, perhaps, be impious to esteem providentially—in the form of the Great and glorious Exhibition. It was but natural that we should be startled when we found that in consistency of design in industrial art, those we had been too apt to regard as almost savages, were infinitely our superiors. Men's minds are now earnestly directed to the subject of

restoring to symmetry all that had sunk to disorder. The conventionalities of form peculiar to every class of object, to every kind of material,



fig. 140.

to every process of manufacture, are now beginning to be ardently studied; and instead of that vague system of instruction by which pupils




fig. 141.

were taught, that anything that was pretty in one shape was equally pretty in another, a more correct recognition of the claims of the

various branches of special design, and the necessity of a far closer identification of the artist with the manufacturer, in point of technical know-



fig. 142.

ledge, have been gradually stealing upwards in public estimation. Let us hope that success will crown exertion, and that in time the system of design universally adopted in this country will offer a happy coincidence




fig. 143.

with those lofty principles by means of which the seals of truth and beauty are stamped on every emanation from the creative skill of Divinity."

As a series of admirably practical hints, in connection with the

various branches of decorative design as applied to manufactures, we take the liberty to append to this section a few extracts from the "Supplementary Report on Design," by Richard Redgrave, R.A., given in the *Jury Report*—a paper or essay which, from its practical and interesting nature, we should like to see reprinted in a cheap and easily accessible form. We shall give our extracts under the heading of the article of manufacture to which they refer.



fig. 144.

## GARMENT FABRICS.

"The great sources of error in designing for garment fabrics, are over ornamentation, and attracting undue attention to the ornament, which may arise from many causes; thus from the violence of contrast either of light and dark, from over charging the colour, or from the ornament being too large for the fabric. \* \* \* Generally speaking, however, ornament for such fabrics should consist of small, rather than of large forms; should be heated flatly, and without light and shade, and inclined to subdued cou-

trasts of colour and of light and dark. A geometrical, rather than a dispersed (by dispersed is meant the attempt to distribute the pattern over the ground, without any apparent arrangement) arrangement of the forms, however, would be found the most agreeable to the eye, and the most consistent with sound principles; some of the best patterns being formed by *diapering* sprigs, leaves, flowers, or even simple geometrical forms regularly over the ground."—p. 742. \* \* \* \* \*

"In designing for garment fabrics, it will generally be found that the simplest patterns are in the best taste. \* \* \* It has before been said,




fig 145.

that calling undue attention to the ornament is a great error in designing for garment fabrics: there needs in the larger masses of the dress a sense of what a painter calls *breadth* or repose, which is only attainable by great simplicity, by flat or diapered treatment of small forms, by uncontrasted light and dark, and delicate tints of colour. Those difficult patterns of many parts are too apt to offend against the above requirements, and to cause the figure to stare upon the ground, and attract attention to itself, to the destruction of the true decoration of such fabrics."—p. 743.

## PAPER AND OTHER HANGINGS.

“If the use of such materials is borne in mind, the proper decoration for them will at once be evident, since this ought to bear the same relation to the objects in the room that a background does to a picture. In art, a background, if well designed, has its own distinctive features; yet these are to be so far suppressed and subdued as not to invite special attention, while, as a whole, it ought to be entirely subservient to supporting and enhancing the principal figures—the subject of the picture. \* \* \*



fig. 146.



fig. 147.

Imitative treatments are objectionable on principle, both as intruding on the sense of flatness, and as being too *attractive* in their details and colour to be sufficiently retiring and unobtrusive. Some of the best examples, as well of paper as of silk, velvet, and other hangings, are treatments of *texture* in a self-colour; as of flock on plain or satined ground in paper, of tabby and satin in silk hangings, of stamped forms or cutting in velvet, or the same contrast of pattern with the ground in various mixed stuffs.

“The same laws which ought to govern design for paper-hangings would, therefore, appear proper to regulate hangings of other fabrics, tapestries, &c. Although far from looking at ornament in that exclusive spirit which would reject what is beautiful when it does not square with the requisitions of a theory, it must be obvious that pictorial and picturesque treatments for such fabrics are wrong whenever they intrude on the domain of another art. Thus figures, landscapes, fruits, and flowers, when rendered as they would be in works of fine art, are almost of necessity inferior to the pictures they imitate, even when they are as skilfully and wonderfully wrought as in the works exhibited by the national establishment of the Gobelins, where every effort of skill and science has been most successfully used for their manufacture and embellishment. Indeed, it is a matter of doubt whether custom, and the authority of great names and of past times, are not the causes of the continued admiration of such decorations, which perhaps we rather persuade ourselves we like than are fully satisfied with.”—p. 717.

#### CARPETS.

“The use of these fabrics suggests the true principle of design for their ornamentation, which is governed by the laws before given for flat surfaces, where the object is rather to treat the whole as a background than to call particular attention to the ornamentation. Flatness should be one of the principles for decorating a surface continually under the feet; therefore, all architectural relief ornaments, and all *imitations* of fruit, shells, and other solid or hard substances, or even of flowers, strictly speaking, are the more improper the more imitatively they are rendered. As a field or ground for other objects, the attention should hardly be called to carpets by strongly-marked forms or compartments, or by violent contrasts of light and dark, or colour; but graduated shades of the same colour, or a distribution of colours nearly equal in scale of light and dark, should be adopted; secondaries, and tertiaries, or neutralised primaries, being used rather than pure tints and lights introduced merely to give expression to the forms. Under such regulations as to flatness and contrast, either geometrical forms, or scrolls clothed with foliage in any style, leaves, flowers, or other ornament, may be used, which, with borders and compartment arrangements, and the use of diaper treatment, leave ample room for variety and for the inventive skill of the designer.”

The “canons” of design, issued by the Department of Science and Art, entitled “Principles of Decorative Art,” published by Chapman and Hall, may be consulted with advantage by the pupil.

## SECOND DIVISION.

ARCHITECTURE—THE HISTORY AND ILLUSTRATION  
OF ITS STYLES.

To judge from the definitions met with in the works on architecture, there seems to be great difficulty in exactly explaining what the term conveys. Some authors define it to be merely the "art of building," taking thus a view of it as if it were simply a constructive art, not one which is dependent upon the exercise of a correct taste. Others, again, go to the opposite extreme, and, considering it in a somewhat metaphysical point of view, claim for it a position which has little reference to its value as a useful art. Thus, while one defines architecture as entirely a *constructive*, the other considers it to be chiefly a *fine* art, having little in common with the materials with which it deals. There are others who give more just views of architecture, however, and to these we now refer. Thus, Mr. Leeds defines architecture as building with "something more than a view to mere utility and convenience: it is building in such a manner as to delight the eye by beauty of forms, to captivate the imagination, and to satisfy that faculty of the mind which we denominate taste"—(p. 3, *Rudimentary Architecture for Beginners*. W. H. Leeds. Weale. London.) Mr. Fergusson defines it very simply to be "nothing more or less than the art of 'ornamental and ornamented construction.'" We have already alluded to those who look upon architecture as altogether a fine art, to be judged of by the same rules which are applicable to what many term the "sister arts" of painting and of sculpture. More difficulty, according to Mr. Fergusson, has arisen from this attempt to refine the definition than from that which views it merely as a constructive one; at all events, it has led to much confusion. On this he very pointedly remarks, "Neither painting nor sculpture were very useful arts, except in the most barbarous times, and by the most remote analogy. Their object is to tell a story, to reproduce an emotion, or to portray a scene or object of nature; and they effect this by a direct imitation, more or less correct and literal, of what actually exists, either in nature or in art. Architecture, on the other hand, was one of the useful arts, invented to provide for one of the three great wants of man—food, clothing, and shelter. The wigwam grew into a hut, the hut into a house, the house into a palace, and the palace into a temple, by well defined and easily traced gradations; but it never lost the original idea of a shelter, and in its most magnificent form it is a mere amplification of the original hut, but grown so solid that it seems designed to last for ever, and so well proportioned and so exquisi-

sitely ornamented, that, instead of being one of the most commonplace, it ranks with one of the most beautiful productions of man's hands. In none of its stages is imitation an element of composition; no true building ever was designed to look like anything in either the animal, the vegetable, or the mineral kingdoms. In all instances it is essentially a creation of man's mind, and designed to subserve some practical purpose which he has in view. A building can tell no story, and it is only by inference that it can be made to express an emotion. It is true that painting and sculpture may be added to any extent, and a really perfect building is never without these adjuncts; but they are not, or at least never should be, essentials, and the building should always be complete without them. \* \* \* The fact is that architecture is in its origin as essentially a useful art as weaving or shipbuilding; but, almost alone of all her sister arts, it is the one that has, from various concurrent circumstances, been refined into a fine art." (*Illustrated Handbook of Architecture*. By James Fergusson. Murray. London: vol. i, p. 20, Introduction.)

Mr. Garbett defines architecture as "the art of well building: in other words, of giving to a building all the perfections of which it is capable."

Architecture has been termed the "stony records of nations;" in the same spirit it is that Coleridge says, "a Gothic cathedral is a petrified religion." Each country and each age writes "its name in stone."

On the influence of climate upon the architecture of a country, Mr. Ruskin has peculiarly fine and exhaustive remarks. He supposes us to sweep through the cloud region with the untiring wings of the stork or of the swallow, and to imagine the Mediterranean beneath us, with its promontories sleeping in the sun; here an angry thunder-spot; there a gray storm-stain, moving athwart the burning fields; now a heaving ash-girded volcano; but, chief o'er all, a "great peacefulness of light:" Syria, Greece, Spain, and Italy, like golden pavement in a sea of blue, with an atmosphere redolent of perfume, and gay and glistening with gorgeous flowers, and burning marble rocks, and porphyry, shaded by the "gray green shadows" of the laurel, the orange, and of the "plummy palm." Then sweeping with untiring wing, away from the orient colours of those lands, to the north, where a "vast belt of rainy green" meets the eye, we look down upon the "pastures of Switzerland and the poplar valleys of France and dark forests of the Danube and Carpathians," seen only at intervals, for we look through clefts in the shroud of mist that rises from the brooks meandering through the valleys, by the side of which is heard the bleating of the sheep or the lowing of the oxen. Still sweeping to the north, we look down upon mother earth, now heaving into "mighty masses of leaden rock and swarthy moor," and midst the breakers of the angry sea the "grisly islands beaten by storm and chilled by icedrift, and tormented by furious pulses of contending tide." Still further north till "the roots of the last forest fail from among the hill ravines, and the hunger of the north wind bites its peaks into barrenness, and at last the wall of ice, durable like iron, sets, deathlike, its white teeth against us out of the polar twilight." Then sweeping down nearer the earth till we see the various animals which sport on its surface, we notice the same gradation of change in

their appearance and habits as we have already witnessed in the physical peculiarities of the globe. In India's burning clime the woods are filled with birds of gayest colour and most gorgeous form, while 'mid the grass and flowers we see the serpent trail its slimy length, and from the jungle we hear the roar of the lion or see the tiger lurk, while across the plain the stupid zebra is seen to fly; in northern climes the plumage of the birds assumes a soberer aspect, more befitting our cloudy skies, while the shaggy bear and the gaunt wolf take the place of the lion and the tiger. Then, having seen all this, "and submissively acknowledging the great laws by which the earth and all that it bears are ruled throughout their being, let us," says Mr. Ruskin, "not condemn, but rejoice in the expression by man of his own rest in the statutes of the lands that gave him birth." He then introduces the fine parallel between the architecture of eastern countries, where the sun shines down unclouded from day to day, and that of northern climes, whose peculiar beauties are only brought out in times of change, when the "smile and the tear are there." "Let us watch man with reverence, as he sets side by side the burning gems, and smooths with soft sculpture the jasper pillars that are to reflect a ceaseless sunshine and rise into a cloudless sky; but not with less reverence let us stand by him, when with rough strength and hurried stroke he smites an uncouth imitation out of the rocks which he has torn from among the moss of the moorland, and heaves into the darkened air the pile of iron buttress and rugged wall, instinct with work of imagination as wild and wayward as the northern sea—creations of ungainly shape and rigid limb, but full of wolfish life, fierce as the winds that beat, and changeful as the clouds that shade them."

From these remarks will be derived some slight knowledge of what architecture is and should be; and how that the mere reproduction of the work of another age and another people may be a copy more or less successful, but is not the emanation of an independent thinker—is not, strictly speaking, a true architectural work, inasmuch as it does not embody the "expression of the wants, the faculties, and the sentiments of the age in which it is created." Such copies, however faultlessly carried out, cannot be taken as forming in any way part of the "stony records" of the nation to which they owe their existence; if they tell a tale, it is of other times and of another people, and they convey no idea of the peculiarities of the times in which they are produced. Hence it is that much of modern so-called architecture is not true in its mission; it does not embody, as above stated, the expression of the age in which it is brought out.

Our remarks on this subject could be greatly extended, but we must hasten to the consideration of other departments.

---

### ASSYRIAN ARCHITECTURE.

A few years ago, all that was known of Assyrian architecture could be summed up in a sentence or two; and even this was almost purely conjectural. In the mis-shapen mounds that reared their huge bulks on the plains of Mesopotamia, the traveller little dreamed that there lay

concealed that which, with the remains of Egyptian architecture, gave the key to the origin of the Grecian and Latin styles. But—thanks to the discoveries of Layard and of Botta—we are no longer compelled to endeavour to picture to ourselves, from the vague descriptions of ancient authors, what the peculiarities were of the architecture of the great cities of the East. Now we are enabled to estimate, with almost as much certainty and clearness, what it was, as we are that of the Grecian or Egyptian architecture. Apart from the high interest which the remains of Assyrian architecture possess to us, as corroborative of the truth of Holy Writ, it is difficult to estimate the value of their discovery in an artistic point of view. “Until,” says Mr. Ferguson, “the discoveries in Assyria were made, half the history of the architecture of Greece was a riddle—an inexplicable mystery. Now all is clear. And, with Egypt on the one hand, and Assyria on the other, we are enabled to trace every feature to its source. These two still stand, and probably will ever remain, as the primitive styles of the human race—essentially distinct in all their more important features; borrowing very little from each other, but each working out its own objects independently of the other. It seems absolutely hopeless to look for anything anterior to the style of Egypt which can have had any influence upon it; and, so far as we can see, nearly as idle to attempt to find in Asia anything that can have influenced the architectural style of the great Assyrian empire.”

It will be interesting, therefore, to trace, as briefly as possible, the peculiarities of these two styles—the Assyrian and the Egyptian; styles which carry us back to the remotest times, and from which—according to the high authority we have quoted—have descended others which adorn and grace the various countries of the earth.

The principal feature in Assyrian structures was the artificial platform upon which they were erected. This was raised to the height of some thirty or forty feet above the level of the surrounding ground, and was constructed partly of sun-dried bricks, and partly of earth and rubbish. A solid limestone facing appears to have been used, giving a finish and a strength to the terraces. The building which crowned the platform was brought forward to the extreme edge, so as to completely command the view around; and was approached by flights of steps and inclined planes, made at intervals in the terrace. These platforms, or terraces, may have had their origin in the plan adopted in the earlier settlements in the plains—where no irregularity of surface occurred—of raising their public buildings, for defence or the purposes of religion, above the level of the ordinary habitations. It forms, however, whatever may have been the original cause of its adoption, a characteristic feature in Assyrian architecture.

The buildings which crowned these huge terraces were adapted to the climate; and, from the unvarying uniformity with which their arrangement was maintained, it appears to have been consecrated to religious observances. The exterior plan of the building was nearly square, and contained open courtyards, or large halls; round which were grouped oblong chambers, the length of which greatly exceeded their breadth. Thus, some chambers were 200 feet long and

25 broad. These lengthy chambers either led to the terrace, or were grouped around the internal halls or open courts. The building had usually two façades, each having three entrances, the centre of which was the principal, and was guarded with two colossal human-headed bulls (see fig. 148), one on each side. "The two side gateways in the more splendid edifices were flanked by similar figures, and between them and the centre entrance were pairs of the same winged monsters, of somewhat smaller size, placed back to back, and separated by a colossal human figure, usually represented as strangling a lion. These intervening bulls had the human head turned sideways, so as to look outwards from the front of the building. Each bull was, moreover, flanked by a colossal figure of a deity or priest, presenting a pine cone. Thus, the south-eastern front of Sennacherib's palace at Kouyunjik consisted




fig. 148.

of two human-headed bulls, the largest being about 19 feet high, and of six gigantic human figures, occupying altogether a space of no less than 180 feet. It was continued on either side by sculptured walls, which completed the whole façade."\* The walls of sun-dried bricks were of extraordinary thickness, and were faced with large slabs of alabaster, on which were carved the stony records of the history of the life, the battles, and the sieges of their mighty kings. Where this panelling was not employed, the walls were covered with semi-columns, placed side by side, and separated, by means of square pilasters, into groups.

The floors of the halls and chambers were covered either with alabaster slabs or by large square bricks. On the bricks were sculptured, as on the slabs of the walls, inscriptions, giving the titles of the king, the extent of his dominions, or the names of the countries he

\* *Crystal Palace Library. The Assyrian Court. Described by Austen Layard. Bradbury and Evans.*

conquered. They were often, however, sculptured with scroll-work. The under sides of the bricks contained the name of the king who founded the edifice.

While attending to the ornaments of their buildings, the Assyrians did not forget their sanitary requirements, for drains were carried under all the principal parts, these being frequently arched; and, singular to say, amongst the arches discovered, was a pointed, or what is now termed a "Gothic arch."

We have mentioned the sculptured slabs which formed the facing of the walls and sometimes the pavement of the floors of the chambers and halls; these—the stony records of the past ages—are of the greatest value and importance. On them "we can still trace," says an eloquent writer, "the greater part of Assyrian habits and customs. We see the king crowned with his jewelled tiara, surrounded by his eunuchs and soldiers, hurrying forth in his royal chariot to the battle; the royal canopy held over his head, and the standard with the winged circle beside him, and his bow and javelin slung ready to his hand. We follow his armies on their red path of ambition and glory. We see them ford rivers, their chariots fastened in boats, the horses swimming behind, and the soldiers floating on inflated skins. We see the embattled walls, the archers, and the slingers; the attack—the sap—the storm—and the pillage; the prisoners being flayed alive, or led back bound into Assyria. Then the tribute-bearers defile past us with their treasures; and now a galley, winged with oars, floats down to the ports, where revellers are drinking the health of Sargon."

So far as the lower part of the building is concerned, up to the level of the sculptured slabs, the remains which have been discovered have enabled us to restore, with singular accuracy, the distinguishing peculiarities of Assyrian architecture. What, however, constituted those of the portions above this level—if other portions there were? We can only conjecture. Mr. Fergusson is of opinion that we have their type in the remains of Persian architecture at Persepolis.

Fig. 149 is a sketch modified from one in the *Guide to the Assyrian Court, Crystal Palace*, showing the peculiarities of one form of pillar found at Persepolis, and adopted in the reproduction of Assyrian architecture at the Crystal Palace. "The bull capitals are peculiarly appropriate in an Assyrian building, where this animal, apparently looked upon as sacred, continually occurs in the painted and sculptured decorations."—p. 47.

The reader, desirous of further pursuing the subject of the restoration of Assyrian architecture, will consult with advantage the *Guide of the Crystal Palace*, above noted; and the chapters on "Assyrian and Persian Architecture," in Fergusson's *Illustrated Handbook of Architecture*, vol. i.



fig. 149.

## EGYPTIAN ARCHITECTURE.

Mr. Fergusson divides Egyptian art into two great periods—the one represented by the pyramids, the other by the temples at Thebes. The pyramids are singular only from their vastness, and interest us chiefly from being looked upon not only as the most ancient monuments of Egypt, but probably of the world. They have little interest in an instructive point of view.

Of the various pyramids met with in Egypt, those of Gizeh are the most interesting, and have excited the greatest wonder. Of these, three in number, the great pyramid—the erection of which is attributed to Cheops, and the date of which, according to Herodotus, is 900 B.C.—is the most interesting. Its base is 700 feet square, and its height 470; it covers an area of more than 13 acres, being, according to Mr. Fergusson, “twice the extent of that of St. Peter’s at Rome, or of any other building in the world.”

All the pyramids face north; a circumstance which, in conjunction with the fact that the entrances slope downwards, has given rise to numerous ingenious speculations as to the uses to which the erections have been originally put.

In concluding a lucid description of the peculiarities and methods of construction of the pyramids, Mr. Fergusson gives the following remarks: “The early Egyptians built neither for beauty nor for use, but for eternity. To this last they sacrificed every other feeling. In itself nothing can be less artistic than a pyramid.\* As examples of actual art they are unrivalled among the works of men; but they rank among the lowest, if judged by the æsthetic rules of architectural art. The same character belongs to the tombs and buildings around them. They are low and solid, and possess neither beauty of form nor any architectural feature at all worthy of attention or admiration.”

Of the second period of Egyptian art we now propose briefly to treat. The city of Thebes, in which the illustrations of the period are met with, was one of the most ancient cities of antiquity. The peculiar feature of the period is the “obelisk,” the oldest of which was erected in the reign of Osirtesen I., who flourished about 1650 B.C.

With Amosis, who drove out the Phœnicians, or Shepherds, whose invasion checked the rising prosperity of Egyptian art, “began that great family of Theban kings, whose buildings have so long been the wonder of the world. Their temples and colossal statues are the models from which the Greeks copied, while their obelisks even now grace the cities of those nations which rose when Egypt fell.

The largest and most magnificent temple at Thebes was that of Karnak. It was built in the reign of Rameses II., and joined the great temple of Luxor, built by Amunothph III., by an avenue of sphinxes. The area covered by this vast temple is 430,000 square feet, its length being about 1,200, and its breadth 360 feet. The grand feature in the temple of Karnak is the “hall of columns,” the roof of which is supported by 134 columns; twelve of which, forming the central avenue, are of no

\* The term pyramid is derived from the Egyptian words *pi-rama*, “the mountain.”

less dimensions than 12 feet in diameter, and 66 feet in height. The others are of less dimensions, being 9 feet in diameter, and 42 high. These latter have their shafts copied from the "single stalk of the frail papyrus plant," with an unopened bud for the capital; while the twelve central pillars have a "full-blown flower for their capital," and the shaft made up of "single stalks of an older growth." Of this magnificent hall, Mr. Fergusson has the following fine remarks:—"No language can convey an idea of its beauty; and no artist has yet been able to reproduce its form, so as to convey to those who have not seen it an idea of its grandeur. The mass of its central piers illumined by a flood of light from the clerestory, and the smaller pillars of the wings gradually fading into obscurity, are so arranged and lighted as to convey an idea of infinite space; at the same time, the beauty and massiveness of the forms, and the brilliancy of their coloured decorations, all combine to stamp this as the greatest of man's architectural works; and such a one as it would be impossible to reproduce, except in such a climate, and in that individual style in which, and for which, it was created."

In this brief notice of Egyptian architecture, we must not omit adverting to the "rock-cut temples" and "tombs," in connection with which may be here noted the singular distinction pointed out by Mr. Fergusson, that, while in "Egypt Proper" all these excavations were "tombs," in Nubia they were "temples." A very celebrated example of the rock-cut temples is that of Abou-Simbel, executed in the reign of Rameses II. The façade extremity is about 100 feet in height, and has in front four statues of the king, of colossal height—from 60 to 70 feet. "Their grandeur and beauty," says a recent traveller, "are beyond expression, and the delight in their lofty character of beauty quite over-




fig. 150.




fig. 151.




fig. 152.

comes the natural wonder at their imagined duration for twenty or thirty centuries. \* \* \* In these faces, seven feet long, is a godlike grandeur and beauty which the Greeks never reached. They are not only colossal blocks of stone, but the mind cannot escape the feeling that they were conceived by colossal minds. \* \* \* If a poet should enter in dreams the sacred groves of the grandest mythology, these are the forms he would expect to see, breathing grandeur and godly grace. \* \* \* The Greek gods are human, but these elder figures are above humanity—they dwell serenely in abstract perfection.” (*Nile Notes of a Howadji*. By G. W. Curtis, p. 144.) The Directors of the Crystal Palace at Sydenham have done the public good service by reproducing two of these colossal statues; they give, in conjunction with the other features of the *Egyptian Court*, an admirable idea of the peculiarities of this style of architecture. In figs. 150, 151 and 152, we give illustrations of Egyptian pillars and capitals.

---

## INDIAN ARCHITECTURE.

We now proceed to notice very briefly the peculiarities of Indian architecture. Until the researches of Mr. Fergusson,\* who visited the various temples and caves of those distant countries, there were no architectural remains about which so little was known as those of India. The most striking examples of Indian architecture are to be met with in the rock-cut temples. These were long supposed to be superior in antiquity to any of the ancient architectural remains of other countries, so much so as to have furnished the source of Egyptian and Persian architecture. That the latter was derived from the Indian is inferred from the close similarity existing between their details, as in the columns of the Persepolitan and Hindoo temples, and in the rock-cut temples of India and Nubia. This similarity, however, rests on very slight evidence; moreover, it is now established that the date of the Persian buildings is anterior to that of the Indian by some ten centuries. As to the other supposition, that Egyptian architecture owed its origin to the Indian, it is enough to state, in order to show its error, that there is abundant evidence to prove that the earliest of the Indian caves was excavated when Egypt's glory had faded, and her name was erased from the list of nations.

Indian architecture is divided into two great classes, excavated and structural, of which the former has the highest antiquity. The excavated or rock-cut temples are again divided into three classes—the “Vihara, or Monastery,” the “Buddhist Chaitya,” and the “Brahminical caves;” to these may be added a fourth class, which are supposed to be imitations of structural buildings, inasmuch as, though excavated or cut out of the solid rock, they are done in such a way as to convey the idea that they are *built* of stone. Of these classes we now offer a brief description. The simplest

\* *Rock-cut Temples of India, and Illustrations, with Descriptions of the Ancient Architecture of Hindostan.*

form of the Vihara, or monastery cave, was a square cell, furnished with a porch; indeed, the earliest forms were simply natural caves, somewhat improved in shape by artificial means. In some the hall was large and decorated with pillars, a recess being made to face the entrance, in which was deposited a statue or figure of Buddha. Although the *Viharas* were designed as monasteries for the reception of the priesthood, still, in this way, they were made to serve as temples or places of worship. For this latter purpose, however, the second class, or Buddhist Chaitya caves were exclusively designed, namely, to serve as temples or churches; one or more of them being connected with every set of caves in Western India. In all the forms known of this class of caves, the plan is the same, and they are supposed, from this circumstance, to have been copies of structural buildings. The arrangement consists of a nave, divided from the aisles by octagonal or circular pillars. The furthest end is semi-circular, and the pillars are carried round this. In the centre stands the Daghopa, a plain circular drum, covered by a hemi-spherical dome; a niche is always provided in the Daghopa, in which is placed figures of Buddha and his attendants.

The "Brahminical caves" resemble in great part the Viharas; but the walls, instead of being covered with painting, as in the latter, are provided with sculpture.

Of the cave temples of India, the most celebrated is that of Elephanta. This is 130 feet long, by 110 wide, and  $14\frac{1}{2}$  high. Four rows of columns, nine feet high, with ribbed shafts and projecting capitals of a semicircular form support the flat ceiling; colossal human figures are ranged along the walls, cut in high relief out of the solid rock.

Of the fourth class of excavated architectural remains of India, the best specimen is to be met with at Ellora. This excavation is 401 feet long by 247 feet broad, and the deepest part 104 feet; round the area runs a cloister, supported on square pillars, on which are sculptured subjects from the Indian mythology. The sanctuary is in the centre. The whole details are profusely ornamented.

With reference to the historical details of the *constructive* buildings of India, much uncertainty prevails. From their ignorance of the arch and the consequent necessity to support the stone roofs which they employed by means of brackets projecting from the sides of the square capitals of the pillars, a variety of light and shade is produced pleasing to the eye. The *structural* buildings of India are generally characterised by their great height.

---

## CHINESE ARCHITECTURE.

From the convex form of the roofs—the prevailing characteristic of many structures met with in China—the type of Chinese architecture is generally allowed to have been the tent. Wood is the material most generally used. The pagoda is a very characteristic building in China; this consists of a series of towers, diminishing as they proceed upwards, each tower being furnished with the concave roof. The domestic buildings are only one storey in height, and from their curiously painted trellises and

framework and the oddly-shaped doors—octagonal and circular being even used—they strongly resemble “bird-cages,” to which by some they have been likened. Like other departments in that strange country, architecture allows of no change or progressive improvement: as it has been for ages, so it now is and so it is likely to remain. Every detail is under strict supervision, and no deviation from established rules, which dictate the size of a house according to the rank or *status* of its occupant, is allowed.

Chinese columns have no capitals; the shaft is shaped like the frustum of a cone, the height varying from eight to twelve times the diameter at its lowest part. The base is formed of a square plinth, with, in some instances, a moulding above it. A pole which is passed through an aperture at the upper end of the shaft corresponds to the architrave in classical architecture; this is supported by two brackets, the lower part of which is inserted in the shaft. These are sometimes ornamented. A series of open panels placed above this corresponds to the frieze, ornaments being painted in the spaces between the panels. The whole is surmounted by the concave tent-like roof, the angles of which being turned up, are ornamented at their extremities by representations of heads of dragons. For a detailed account of the peculiarities of Chinese architecture, see the *Encyclopædia Metropolitana*.

---

## GRECIAN ARCHITECTURE.

In our notes on Assyrian architecture, we adverted to the value of modern researches, in connection with that and the Egyptian style, in clearing up much that was previously doubtful as to the origin and peculiarities of Grecian architecture. Such, however, is the obstinacy with which recognised systems are adhered to, that there are some still met with who consider Greece as the source of all inspiration in art, and in their enthusiasm for everything “classical,” will be with difficulty prevailed on to admit that Greece herself owed to other countries the first germs of the art which dignified and graced her. “That architecture and art,” says an able writer, “always have been progressive, and have not appeared at once in full perfection, is a truism that need scarcely be advanced; yet in our admiration of their perfection we do not always consider the history of their progression or the sources from whence they sprang. No style, with the exception of the Egyptian, was the spontaneous growth of the soil in which it flourished, or proceeded directly from the nations that practised it; the germs of all other styles were borrowed from people whose habits and religious customs were totally dissimilar, and its advances or improvements were the natural results of civilisation, caused by intercourse with other nations in times of peace, or by the adoption of all that was worthy of imitation in conquered states, during the incessant wars that were carried on in the eastern parts of the world. Thus it was with the much admired architecture and arts of Greece and Rome, so that centuries elapsed ere anything worthy of these terms was to be found in either empire.”—(*Rudi-*

*mentary Architecture.* By Talbot Bury. *The Styles of Various Countries.* Weale, London, p. 7.)

The exigencies of the condition of the early population of Greece—a condition which maintained them for long as rival states—demanded from them works of defence rather than of convenience for their domestic, or grandeur and magnificence for their religious, edifices. Hence we find that the architecture of the earliest times of Grecian history was confined chiefly to the construction of walls and tombs. The remains of this period of art—known as Cyclopean, but more properly Pelasgic, from the name of the aboriginal race—give us little or no information regarding the development of ornamented construction amongst those who practised it.

The most ancient of the remains of this period are those belonging to the cities of Tiryns, the date of which, according to Sir William Gell, is 1379 B.C. The thickness of the walls now remaining is from 21 to 25 feet, the blocks of which they are constructed being of monstrous dimensions. The wall contains two ranges of galleries about five feet broad and twelve high, with sides sloping to the apex, forming a triangle. No care seems to have been given to the previous preparation of the huge blocks, these being merely laid together with some design to make the forms fit into each other, the interstices being filled up with smaller stones.

In the remains of Mycenæ, another of the ancient cities of Greece, the date of which is given as 1350 B.C., there is a most interesting example of early Grecian art—probably the most ancient—in the basso-relievo surmounting the gate or principal entrance to the “Acropolis,” and which consists of two lions facing each other, and the fore paws of which rest on a pedestal. The subterranean building in the same city, known as the Treasury of Atreus, has a door, the lintel of which weighs nearly 140 tons, the width of which is 17 feet, its length 27, and thickness nearly four feet. The “Acropolis” in the Pelasgic cities was the portion first built, and always upon high ground; this was used as a citadel and as a place of security for the archives and articles of treasure.

#### THE GRECIAN ORDERS.

*The Doric.*—This, the most ancient of all the orders, is the most distinctive of Grecian architecture, and was the one to which the Greeks gave most attention. “As the Homeric poems have,” says Mr. Garbett, in his *Principles of Design in Architecture* (Weale), “triumphantly refuted the attempts to regard them as compilations, so there is in the Doric order, and especially in its ancient examples, that perfect consistency and unity of idea that proclaims it to be, in all essential points, the production of one mind. Like other orders and styles, it must doubtless have received improvements from many hands, but, unlike them, or rather in a far greater degree than any of them, does it exhibit the marked predominance of one genius.”

We have said that the Doric was essentially the Greek order; indeed, for a long period in the history of the art, it was the only style which they adopted. In endeavouring to trace the origin of the style,

many, indeed the majority of writers, affect to recognise the first hints of or suggestions for it in the timber huts which preceded or are presumed to have preceded those built of stone. On this point it is sufficient to state that the more the peculiar characteristics of the Doric order are studied, the less tenable will this theory be found. "Such theory, it must be admitted, is sufficiently plausible, if only because it can be made to account very cleverly for many minor circumstances." (*The Orders of Architecture*. By W. H. Leeds, Esq. Weale, p. 9.) Thus, one writer draws up the following plausible sketch:—"The trunks of trees set perpendicularly to support the roof, may be taken for columns; the tree laid upon the tops of the perpendicular ones, the architrave; the ends of the cross bearers which rest upon the architrave, the triglyphs; the tree laid upon the cross beams, as a support for the ends of the rafters, the bead moulding of the cornice; the ends of the rafters which project beyond the bead moulding, the mutules." "But," as remarks Mr. Leeds, "all this, unfortunately, does not account at all for, or rather is in strong contradiction to, the character of the earliest extant monuments of Grecian architecture. Timber construction would have led to very different proportions and different taste. Had the prototype or model been of that material, slenderness and lightness, rather than ponderosity and solidity would have been arrived at; and the progressive changes in the character of the orders would have been reversed, while the earliest of them all would have been the lightest of them all."

As refuting this fanciful theory, Mr. Fergusson draws attention to the fact, that the earliest examples of the Doric order resembled the Egyptian in strength and solidity. But as time progressed, they displayed the "weak and lean form of the Roman order of the same name." Indeed, so marked is the gradual attenuation of the shaft, that from the relative heights and diameters of the pillars may be gathered a pretty accurate notion of the date of their erection. Thus, the shorter the pillar, the earlier its date. "This fact," says Mr. Fergusson, "is in itself sufficient to refute the idea of the pillar being copied from a wooden post; as, in that case, it would have been slenderer at first, and would gradually have departed from the wooden form as the style advanced. This is the case in all primitive styles. With the Doric order, the contrary is the case."

Other writers, discarding the above "wooden theory," adopt another as fanciful, and even still more untenable; this is, the notion that the orders have their proportions in accordance with those of the human figure. Thus, the Doric is supposed to be proportioned from the development of a robust male—"the manly Doric;" the Ionic and Corinthian from females, of which the latter is after a more delicate model than the former; hence, the Ionic is likened to a "stately matron." Mr. Leeds thus disposes of this absurd theory—if, indeed, it is deserving of such a name. "Now, so far from there being any general similitude between a Grecian Doric column and a robust man, their proportions are directly opposite—the greater diameter of the column being at its foot, while that of the man is at his shoulders. The one tapers *upwards*, the other *downwards*. If the human figure and its proportions had been considered, columns would, in conformity with such type, have been wider

at the top of their shafts than below. \* \* \* With regard to the other two orders, it is sufficient to observe, that, if so borrowed at all, the idea must have been preposterous." (*Orders of Architecture*, p. 11.)




fig. 153.




fig. 154.

We now proceed to describe the members and proportions of the Doric order. In fig. 153, we give a full length sketch of a pillar taken from a Corinthian temple (reduced from a drawing in *Durrand's Parallel of Architecture*, published at Berlin), and, in fig. 154, another sketch from the "Temple of Concord," reduced from the same work. As will be observed, there is no "base" to this order, the shaft springing at once from the "stylobate." Taking the average, the height of the column may be given at six diameters, the diameter being at that part of the shaft resting on the stylobate at *a*. The shaft, in some instances, is plain, as in fig. 155, but in the generality of examples fluted, there being generally twenty flutes; these being brought to an edge, as in fig. 40, p. 28, of *The Architectural Drawing-Book*, in this Series. In some modern reproductions of the "order," the flutes are furnished with fillets between them, as in fig. 38 of *The Architectural Drawing-Book*. This, however, is considered by good authorities as an innovation not consistent with the "severe simplicity" which is the principal characteristic of the style. Not content with the reasonable idea that these flutings have been introduced simply for æsthetic effect, the advocates of the "wooden theory," already alluded to, conceive that in the stone pillars of a later date, they were meant to represent the "cracks" in the boles or trunks of the trees, which formed the pillars in the structures of an earlier era. Still more absurdly, some hold that they were meant to act as grooves, in which "spears" could be placed, so as to prevent them falling when made to

lean against them; "than which idea," Mr. Leeds remarks very naïvely, "it is hardly possible for the utmost stretch of ingenuity to go further in absurdity." The same authority points out the "effect" obtained by the use of these flutes: first, "variety," by multiplying the surfaces of the shaft; secondly, a "pleasing diversity of light and shade;" thirdly, "they take from the heaviness, although detracting in no way from the strength, of the pillar;" and, lastly, they "serve to render the circularity more apparent."

As will be observed, on referring to fig. 153, the shaft diminishes very quickly, the proportion being one-fourth; that is, the diameter at the capital, *d*, is three-fourths of that of the base, *a*. This again, by the "wooden" theorists, is taken as another evidence of the wooden origin of the order. The "taper," however, of the best examples of the order is much greater in proportion than the taper of trees. That it was adopted for æsthetic reasons, we have no doubt.

Immediately beneath the capital, there will be observed an annular cut, or groove. In some examples there are three of these horizontal grooves. These seem to have been added to give effect, by producing shadows, or by marking the commencement of the capital, and by adding apparently to its proportions.

The capital is composed of two members, the "abacus," *b*, consisting merely of a square block, and the "echinus," or "ovolo," *c*.; in the under part of the latter are three "fillets," or "annulets," as they are commonly termed, projecting from the "ovolo," and having corresponding indentations between them. In some examples, the number of these annulets extends to five.

At first sight there is apparently little evidence of "design" in the capital, but a little consideration will show the fallacy of this notion. The square of the "abacus," *b* (fig. 154), is nearly equal to the diameter of the shaft at *a*, while the architrave, *e*, is narrower in its soffit, or under side, than *b*. The idea of security and repose is thus given at once. Again we notice in the square of the abacus and the circular and projecting form of the echinus, or ovolo, *c*, another evidence of the desire to keep "design" in view. By its projection beyond the diminished part of the shaft, it serves to join the latter easily with the capital, and the whole result is variety, contrast, and harmony.

Resting on the abacus is the "architrave," *e*, a plain block, the height of which, inclusive of the "fillet," *f*, is equal to the diameter of the column at the capital. Underneath the "fillet," a number of smaller fillets are suspended, these are termed "guttæ" or "drops." These are fancifully enough supposed by some writers to represent the drops of rain which have trickled from above and settled on the under side of the fillet; while others see in them the representation of the heads of bolts and nails, which, according to the "wooden theory," were used to connect the various parts together. The "frieze," *g*, a characteristic feature of the Doric order, is next to be described. This consists of alternate projections and recesses, the latter denominated "metopes," the former "triglyphs." (See p. 41, *Architectural Drawing-Book*, in this Series.) The space between the triglyphs or the metopes is decided by the height of the triglyphs, the metopes being the square of the height of the

latter. The face of the projection, *g*, is diversified by channels cut in it, termed "glyphs;" two of these are complete, while a half one is joined at each side, thus making three in all; hence the name "triglyph." The "metopes," in some examples, are left plain, in others filled in with sculptured decoration. (See p. 95, *Architectural Drawing-Book*.)

We now come to the consideration of the cornice, *h*, *i*. The distinguishing feature of this is the "corona," *h*, which projects considerably over the members below it. To the under side of this are suspended immediately over the "triglyphs," blocks, termed "mutules," to the under side of which "guttæ" or drops are attached. These blocks are not parallel to the fillet, *f*, but incline, as shown, at *h*. The upper part of the cornice is usually a "cymatium," but sometimes like the "echinus" or "ovolo" of the capital.

The following, from a good authority, may be taken as the average proportions of the Doric order:—

"The height of the capital is half a diameter; that of the ovolo, including the annulets, and that of the abacus, are each one quarter of the upper diameter, the annulets together being one fifth of one of the parts. The horizontal dimension of the abacus is six times its height. The height of the entablature is one third of that of the column or two diameters. If it be divided into eight equal parts, these are distributed between the architrave, the frieze, and the cornice, in the proportion of 3, 3, 2; thus the height of the architrave is equal to that of the frieze, and that of the cornice is two-thirds of either. The inner edge of the triglyph in the angle of the building, is in a vertical line with the axis of the column; the breadth of the triglyphs is three-fifths of its height, which is also that of the frieze, and the breadth being divided into nine equal parts, two are occupied by each glyph or channel, one by each semi-glyph, and one by each of the three interglyphs or flat surfaces between the glyphs. \* \* \* The metopes are square. The height of the capital of the triglyph is one-seventh of its whole height, and that of the metope one-ninth. The height of the cornice being divided into five equal parts, the lowest is given to the fillet, the mutule, and the drops; the next two to the corona. \* \* \* The projection of the cornice over the capital of the triglyph is equal to its height, and being divided into four equal parts, three are given to the corona."

The celebrated Parthenon at Athens was in the Doric style; it was built in the year 444 B.C. Phidias had the general superintendence, and the architects were Ictinus and Callicrates. Leake estimates the cost of the building at a sum equal to £700,000 of our money. The material used was marble. The dimensions were 228 feet long by 101 broad; the extreme height, including base, 64. There were seventeen columns at each side, and eight at each end, the height of these 34 feet, and diameter six feet three inches. This magnificent temple was built in honour of the tutelary goddess of Athens, Minerva; the whole of the decorations of the building forming one great design or sculptured poem in her honour. "In this temple," says Mr. Penrose, in *Murray's Handbook to Greece*, "an architecture, which had gone on through centuries of refinement, until it culminated there, was combined with the work of the greatest sculptor Greece and the world ever produced; and

unless we take into consideration this perfect union of these two arts, we cannot do justice to Greek architecture, much less the Parthenon."

#### THE IONIC ORDER.

Mr. Fergusson remarks that the recent discoveries in Assyria prove the Asiatic origin of this order more decidedly even, than a knowledge of Egyptian architecture proves that it is the origin of the Doric. There is some difficulty in tracing the history of the order, the most ancient example probably being the temple of Illusus, now no longer existing; the most finished perfect examples being in the Erectheum, in the Acropolis at Athens.

The distinguishing feature of the order (see fig. 155) is the "capital," with its "volutes," fancifully conjectured by some writers to represent the curled head-dress of a female, or the twistings of a ram's horn. The origin of this peculiarity is exceedingly problematical, and all "theories" must be purely conjectural. If the reader will, at early spring time, look out for the springing "fern," he will have, in the peculiar form of the curled leaf, a very accurate resemblance of the volute of the Ionic order. If the Corinthian capital was derived from the "acanthus" leaf (see Corinthian order), may not the peculiar form of the volute of the Ionic have been derived from such natural form as the half-developed leaf of the fern. No one who closely examines this will fail to be struck with the idea that the "volute" might have been designed from it. Another peculiarity in the order is the "base." This consists of two tori, separated by a scotia with fillets and a square plinth, on which the column rests. The shaft is of slenderer proportions than that of the Doric. The necessity for the base grew out of the peculiar form of the capital adopted, for, *without* it, as Mr. Leeds justly remarks, "there would be a harshness and abruptness below in grating discord with the graceful flow of the lines in the capital above." The slender proportions of the shaft, with the graceful lines of the capital, and the "swelling contour of the base," imparting, as they did, a higher degree of delicacy than is observable in the Doric, necessitated also a modification of the method of giving character to the shaft, thus the harshness arising from the sharp edges or "arrises" of the flutes in the Doric is got rid of in the Ionic by the addition of "fillets" or flat spaces between the flutes, as shown in fig. 38, at p. 27, *Architectural, Engineering, and Mechanical Drawing-Book*. There are twenty-four in the Ionic.

For a full and, as we think, a satisfactory account of the æsthetic principles involved in the design of the Ionic capital, we refer the reader to p. 32 of the *Rudimentary Treatise on the Orders*. By W. H. Leeds. London: Weale.

The entablature of the Ionic order has a general resemblance to that of the Doric. The triglyphs, however, are dispensed with, the frieze becoming but a plain surface. Some examples give the cornice ornamented with dentils, which are blocks placed at certain distances apart, the spaces between them being about half the width of the blocks. Although some look upon the dentils as the distinguishing mark of the Ionic entablature, Mr. Leeds remarks that the Greeks themselves did

not seem so to regard them. The architrave of the order is, in some examples, a plain surface, in others it is divided into three courses, each of which projects a little over the other. The moulding between the architrave and frieze is deeper and has more mouldings than when the architrave is plain.

The following may be taken as a guide in proportioning the various parts of the entablature. "Dividing the whole height of the order into twenty-one parts, four of these go to the entablature. \* \* \* The height is equally distributed between the architrave, the frieze, and the cornice; dividing the height of the architrave into four parts, one part is due to the mouldings of the upper portion or capital; subdividing the capital into nine equal parts, give one to the upper fillet, three to the cavetto, four to the ovolo, and one to the bend. Divide the height of the frieze into six equal parts, and give the upper part to the talon which forms the capital. Divide the cornice into three equal parts, subdivide the upper and lower thirds each into six parts, in the upper third give one part to the upper fillet, four to the cyma-recta, and one to the lower fillet, and turn one down into the middle third for the ovolo under."

The height of the whole order is  $8\frac{1}{2}$  diameters. The base is half a diameter and the capital the same; the taper of the shaft is one-sixth of its diameter at base.

Fig. 155 is an illustration of the Ionic order from the Temple of Minerva Polias, at Priene, enlarged from a drawing in *Durrand's Parallel of Architecture*.




fig. 155

Fig. 156 is an enlarged drawing showing the composition of the base, and fig. 157 the entablature of the "Ionic" order, from the portico of

the Erechtheum at Athens. "This celebrated edifice was erected in the age of the illustrious Pericles, when taste and invention were in their meridian among the Athenians, and when they were anxiously engaged in restoring the temples which had been destroyed by the Persians. In this beautiful specimen of the Ionic order, they seem to have been am-




fig. 156.




fig. 157.

bitious of excelling their Asiatic brethren in their own peculiar order of architecture, by the addition of new and elaborate ornaments, imagined with the utmost originality and elegance of taste, and executed with the sharpness of outline which it would hardly have been supposed that marble was capable of receiving."

Taking the mouldings of the base in fig. 156 in their order, the following are their heights and projections. (See *Architectural, Engineering, and Mechanical Drawing-Book*, for the mode of setting out the order.) The height of the moulding marked 1 is 2, and its projection from the line *a b* (being a continuation of the external line of shaft)  $16\frac{1}{2}$ ; moulding 2 is  $7\frac{1}{2}$ , projection 13; moulding 3 is 1, pro. 10; moulding 4, height  $7\frac{1}{2}$ , projection, in the middle of the scotia, 6. The mouldings from 5 to 6 take up  $7\frac{1}{2}$ , and their projection of *c* and *d*,  $6\frac{1}{2}$ ; moulding 7 is  $1\frac{2}{3}$ , its projection  $3\frac{1}{4}$ .

Of the entablature in fig. 157, the heights are as follows, commencing from *a*, 14, 14,  $13\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $1\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 6, 3,  $\frac{2}{3}$ , 2, 5,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 2,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $6\frac{1}{2}$ , 13, 2, 5,  $6\frac{1}{2}$ , 19, 5. The projections taken from a line which starts from the point, *a*, and is a continuation of the centre line of the column, are as follows, beginning also with *a*,  $28\frac{3}{4}$ , 30, 30,  $31\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $35\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $35\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $35\frac{3}{4}$ ,  $37\frac{1}{2}$ , 29, 31,  $53\frac{3}{4}$ ,  $55\frac{1}{2}$ , 57,  $57\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $65\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $66\frac{1}{4}$ .

## CORINTHIAN ORDER.

The peculiar feature of this order is its *capital*. The following is the tradition, as given by Vitruvius, respecting its origin; if valuable for nothing else, it is suggestive of what architects may do by looking to nature for inspiration. "A Corinthian virgin, just marriageable, being seized by a disorder, died. After her interment, the nurse collected some vases which pleased her when living, and putting them into a basket, carried them to her tomb, and placed them on its top, and that they might endure longer in the open air, she covered the basket with a tile. The basket happened to be placed on the root of an acanthus, which being depressed in the middle, the leaves and stalks grew up in the spring around the sides of the basket, but being resisted by the angles on the tile on the basket, were obliged to convolve at the extremities in the form of volutes. At that time Callimachus, who, on account of his taste and skill in sculpture, was called by the Athenians Catatechnos, happening to pass by this monument, observed the basket and the delicate foliage growing round it, and being pleased with the novelty of its form, he made some columns, from this model, near Corinth, and composed the symmetry and distributed the proportions of the Corinthian order in the most exquisite manner." Mr. Leeds remarks that unfortunately for the credit of this beautiful legend, the earliest examples, which should exhibit the strongest resemblance to its "prototype," on the contrary, exhibit the "faintest and most vague of all. The Corinthian capital seems rather to have developed itself gradually out of the Doric one."



fig. 158.

The finest example of the Grecian Gothic is that of the monument of Lysicrates, at Athens. This is generally known as the *Lantern of Demosthenes*; of this we give a sketch in fig. 158.

It was the Romans, however, who made the Corinthian their own, and lavished upon it all the force and profusion of decoration which we find among the best known examples of the style. The reader will find illustrations of the peculiarities of the Corinthian capital in the *Architectural, Engineering, and Mechanical Drawing-Book*.

In proportioning the Corinthian, the following arrangement may be followed. The height of the column is equal to ten diameters, the taper of the shaft being

one-sixth. If the whole order is divided into five parts, give one to the entablature, this makes it in height equal to  $2\frac{1}{2}$  diameters. The base is half a diameter in height, that of the capital one and one-sixth diameter, of which the abacus is one-sixth, the height of the leaves being equal to a diameter. The other proportion will be found by referring to the *Architectural Drawing-Book*, in this Series. In fig. 158,  $a b$  is the centre line,  $c d$  being half a diameter; by dividing this into thirty equal parts, the heights and projections of the various parts will be easily ascertained. From  $a$  to  $e$  is equal to  $17\frac{1}{4}$ , from  $e$  to  $c$ ,  $19\frac{3}{4}$  parts, from  $f$  to  $g$ ,  $13\frac{3}{4}$ , from  $g$  to  $h$ ,  $26\frac{3}{4}$ , from  $h$  to  $i$ , 29. The height of the capital, from  $f$  to  $j$ , being  $81\frac{1}{3}$  parts; the height of the frieze from  $j$  to  $k$  is 50; the architrave from  $k$  to  $l$ ,  $41\frac{1}{3}$ , and of the cornice from  $c$  to  $b$ , 56.

Having now illustrated the three Grecian orders, it remains for us briefly to glance at other points connected with Grecian architecture.


*Antæ—Pilasters* (see p. 52, *Architectural Drawing Book*).—In modern architecture pilasters are sometimes substituted for columns. The Greeks made a marked difference between antæ and columns; there was no diminishing or taper, no flutes or channels were employed, while the capital was different in design.

In this, as in many other points of Grecian architecture, we perceive the attention which was paid by the Greeks to *design*. Unlike them, many of our modern architects blindly copy without inquiring into the reason why such forms or arrangements were first introduced, and rarely inquire into their aptitude for the particular work which they have in hand. On this point the remarks of Mr. W. H. Leeds, in his *Rudimentary Architecture*, while explaining the Grecian treatment of the antæ, may convey a lesson of some worth. "Hardly was such marked distinction" (that between the antæ and the columns with which they compose) "a mere arbitrary fashion; it is more rational to suppose that it was adopted for æsthetic reasons and motives; nor is it difficult to account, according to them, for the omission of channeling in the shafts of the antæ. Upon a plain surface the *arrises* between the channels would have occasioned an unpleasing harshness and dryness of effect, as is the case with fluted Doric pilasters, and would have been attended with monotony also, the lines being all vertical, and consequently parallel to each other; whereas in the column the channels diminish in breadth upwards, and all the lines are inclined, and, instead of being parallel, converge towards each other, so that were the shaft sufficiently prolonged, they would at last meet in a common point or apex, similar to that of a spire. Owing to this convergency, the lines on one side of a vertical line dividing the column, or rather a geometrical drawing or *elevation* of it into two halves, instead of being parallel are opposed to each other, like the opposite sides of an isosceles triangle, and this opposition produces *correspondence*." If our young architects would thus attempt to speculate upon the principles which dictated the forms and arrangements of Grecian architecture, we should less frequently witness the anomalous absurdities which are daily foisted on the public as classical structures.

*Columniation*.—The plan of the Grecian temples was very formal, being invariably in that of a parallelogram, generally twice as long as broad. The number of columns in the fronts of the temples dictated that of the columns at the sides; the former was always an even, the latter an



Where eight columns were placed in front (octastyle), the arrangement would be known as peristylar or peripteral octastyle; thus there would be sixteen intercolumns and seventeen columns on the side, as follows:—



If ten columns were placed in front, the arrangement would be termed peristylar or peripteral decastyle. There would be twenty intercolumns and twenty-one columns on each side.

*Intercolumniation.*—By this term is meant the space left between the columns, and has reference merely to this, not to any influence which it has upon the principle of columniation as above explained. In the Doric order, the space between the columns is regulated by the triglyphs in the entablature,—a column being always placed beneath a triglyph, the centre line of the latter being continued to form that of the former. But although the column is placed beneath the triglyph, it is not always placed beneath *each* one. The arrangement of a column beneath each alternate triglyph is termed “monotriglyph;” between every third triglyph, “ditriglyph.” The intercolumniation of the other orders is dictated by a set of conventional rules derived from Vitruvius; according to the diameters of the column, each is separate from the other. Thus, with a distance of one diameter and a quarter, or a half, the intercolumniation is termed “pycnostyle,” or “closely” or “thick-set;” with two diameters “systyle;” two diameters and a half, “cystyle;” three dia-

meters, "diastyle," and four diameters, "aræostyle," or "thinly-set." For an example of a style of intercolumniation, considered as very heterodox by some authorities, known as "coupled columns," see page 53




fig. 159.

of the *Architectural Drawing-Book*, in this Series. For further information on *Columniation* and *Intercolumniation*, we refer the reader to the *Rudimentary Treatise on the Orders*. (Weale.)




fig. 161.

Of Grecian architectural ornament the most striking features are the "acanthus" and the "honeysuckle." Of the former we give illustration in figs. 159 and 160, the latter being an oblique view; and in fig. 161 an illustration of the latter, in an exemplification of the echinus and honeysuckle applied to moulding decorations. This last illustration is taken from the work of Carlo Bötticher, published at Potsdam.




fig. 160.

In the work on *Practical Geometry*, in this Series, we have exemplified the method of describing the outlines of the




fig. 162.

"mouldings" used in Roman architecture. In those of the Grecian, the curves are not struck by compasses, but form part of elliptical curves.




fig. 163.

In fig. 162 we show the method of describing the "echinus," or "ovolo;" in 163 the "scotia;" and in 164 the "cyma recta."




fig 164.

### ROMAN ARCHITECTURE.

Having, in our work in this Series on *Architectural, Engineering, and Mechanical Drawing*, illustrated fully the "orders," as generally received, of Roman architecture, and many of their minor details, we have in this chapter but little more to do than trace the connection between this, the last link of what is usually denominated "classical architecture," and the next great epoch of the art, the Christian, or Mediæval.



fig. 165.

Before entering upon this, however, we here give a few illustrations of Roman decoration. Figs. 165, 166, are illustrations of scroll-work from



fig. 166.



fig. 167.

the frieze of Trajan's Column, taken from the Italian work of Albertolli. Fig. 167 is an enlarged sketch of the "modillon," as seen in the entab-




fig. 168.




fig. 169.

lature, fig. 88, p. 49, *Architectural Drawing-Book*; fig. 168 an end view, and fig. 169 a half view of the soffit, or under side; and fig. 170 a portion of the celebrated Florentine scroll, taken from Albertolli.

The principal feature in Roman architecture was the *arch*, used both for structural and decorative purposes. The application of the arch gave to the structures in which it was used a character essentially different from those of Greece, and contained the germ of the peculiar architecture of the Christian or Mediæval era. Com-




fig. 170.

paring a Grecian temple with the most finished and characteristic of the Roman structures — the Coliseum — while admiring the vastness and the magnificence of the Roman, we find it wanting in the unity and completeness of design of the Grecian erection. In the Grecian temple, its distinctive peculiarities are seen at a glance; and such is the unity of the

structure that it is impossible to take away any one part without destroying the whole. On the contrary, with the Coliseum, the eye has to wander over tier above tier of arch before the mind can obtain an idea of the vastness of the whole; and so much is mere repetition a part of the arrangement, that a great part may be taken away without impairing the general design. To use the words of an able writer, "the Coliseum, in the multiplied parts, wanted that true æsthetic continuity of idea which should have welded the whole together in such a way that it could not be separated without injuring the design. This problem was not solved by the Romans."

The finest works of the Romans were executed in the reigns of Vespasian and Titus, the Coliseum being begun and finished during these; the Temples of Peace and Minerva and the Baths of Titus are also among the most noticeable works of this era. The reign of Hadrian was also distinguished for the erection of some fine structures. From this period to the reign of Constantine the art suffered a grievous decline. The conversion of Constantine to Christianity, or, at least, the favour which he showed it in allowing its followers to extend its worship, gave the first impulse to its revival. Although Constantine fixed the epoch of transition from Roman to Christian architecture, it is worthy of note, as so clearly pointed out by Mr. Fergusson, that "the whole history of the art in Imperial Rome is that of a style in a state of transition, beginning with a purely Pagan or Grecian style, in the age of Augustus, and passing into one almost wholly Christian in the age of Constantine."

The Roman temple—consisting chiefly of a small central building, in which was placed the statue of the presiding deity to whose worship it was erected, the main shelter for the worshippers being under the spacious colonnades—was in every way unfitted for the worship of the Christians. Their attention was therefore naturally directed to the Basilicæ, or the Halls of Justice, as affording them ample space. These Halls of Justice were of rectangular form, and were generally divided by rows of columns, which gave a central and two side compartments. In the space above the columns and their entablature rose a series of smaller columns, which supported the roof and formed galleries above the side compartments. In these galleries the business of the clients, &c., was transacted. At the further extremity of the hall ran another colonnade at right angles to the other columns, and in the centre of the wall a semicircular recess was made, which contained the tribune, or seat of the magistrate. It was in modifying these buildings, to adapt them to the forms of Christian worship, that the Romanesque or Byzantine architecture had its origin, and displayed its peculiarities.

#### ROMANESQUE, OR BYZANTINE-LOMBARDIC ARCHITECTURE.

In the last paragraph of the preceding chapter we pointed out the peculiarities of the Roman Basilicæ, which the Christians modified for the purposes of their worship. In the short limits of our work it is impossible to trace, even in the briefest manner, the various modifications which were carried out before the structures presented all the features necessary to suit them to their new purposes. We can only refer the

reader to Mr. Fergusson's work, where he will find the most ample details, both historical and structural. Of the various Basilicas so modified, the most noted is that of San Clemente; this displays more than any other the peculiar arrangements required for Christian worship.

In many of these basilicas, coloured decoration was carried to a great extent. Mosaic pavements, harmoniously coloured and beautifully arranged, were designed originally for the decoration of the floor, and in strict keeping with the general architectural features of the interior.

Constantine having founded a new city in the East, about 328 A.D., adorned it with many magnificent structures, amongst which was the celebrated cathedral dedicated to St. Sophia. This was twice destroyed by fire, but under Justinian it was finally rebuilt (532 A.D.). This magnificent structure—the first of the Byzantine architecture—still exists; but the worship is changed from Christian to that of Mahomet. The grand feature of this building is the “dome;” and is that which mainly distinguishes it from the basilicæ. Its plan is that of a Greek cross, the arms of which are of equal length, and the central part is square. Massive pillars rise from the four angles, to a height of 86 feet, supporting semi-circular arches. The dome, which is 115 feet in diameter, and of which the form is a segment of a circle, springs at a level of 145 feet from the floor. On the north and south sides square vestibules are found, above which are galleries; the east and west sides are provided with semi-circular recesses, furnished with domes, resting against the nave arches, between the massive pillars above alluded to. This style of architecture was imported into Italy, the most brilliant example of which is St. Mark's, at Venice. The plan of this splendid building is very similar to that of St. Sophia, just described. The four arms of the cross are roofed with semicircular vaults, supporting domes of equal dimensions. Above the central square is the large principal dome. In the interior, a series of columns and arches divide the church longitudinally and transversely.

Of the Romanesque, the most celebrated examples are to be met with at Ravenna and Pisa. The cathedral of Pisa is built in the form of a Latin cross, which differs from that of the Greek, in having one of its arms longer than the other three. The cathedral of Pisa was one of the oldest examples of this form of church—a form which has been followed in all the later cathedrals of northern Europe.

The technical characteristics of the Romanesque architecture are thus sketched by Dr. Whewell, in his *Notes on German Churches*. They “are a more or less close imitation of the features of the Roman architecture. The arches are small, supported on pillars, retaining traces of the classical proportions; the pilasters, cornices, and entablatures have a correspondence and similarity with those of classical architecture. There is a prevalence of rectangular faces, and square-edged projections. The openings in the walls are small, and subordinate to the surfaces in which they occur. The members of the architecture are massive and heavy, very limited in kind and repetition—the enrichments being introduced rather by sculpturing surfaces, than by multiplying and extending component parts. There is, in this style, a predominance of horizontal lines, or, at least, no predominance and prolongation of vertical ones. For

instance, the pillars are not prolonged in corresponding mouldings along the arches; the walls have no prominent buttresses, and are generally ornamented by a strong, horizontal tablet, or cornice."

No writer so eloquently yet so lucidly describes the peculiar beauties and glories of Byzantine architecture as Mr. Ruskin. Witness the following fine description of the interior decoration of St. Mark's, at Venice:—"Under foot and over head is a continual succession of crowded imagery; one picture passing into another as in a dream; forms beautiful and terrible mixed together; dragons, and serpents, and ravening beasts of prey, and graceful birds, that, in the midst of them, drink from running fountains, and feed from vases of crystal; the passions and the pleasures of human life symbolised together, and the mystery of its redemption; for the mazes of interwoven lines and changeful pictures lead always at last to the cross, lifted and carved in every place and upon every stone; sometimes with the serpent of eternity wrapped round it; sometimes with doves beneath its arms, and sweet herbage growing forth from its feet." But it is in describing the magnificent effect of the exterior of St. Mark's, that the language of Mr. Ruskin so beautifully befits the glories of the structure. The following may be taken as perhaps the most complete and entrancing "word-picture" to be met with in the whole of our artistic literature. Each sentence conveys a singularly vivid representation of the objects designed to be described, and the whole are linked together by a succession of images most beautifully suggestive, until at last, as the eloquent writer approaches the climax of his description, the reader is constrained to feel somewhat of the ecstasies which the writer claims as the result of the proper contemplation of this wonderful structure. "A treasure heap it seems, partly of gold, and partly of opal and mother-of-pearl, hollowed beneath into five great porches, ceiled with fair mosaic, and beset with sculpture of alabaster, clear as amber, and delicate as ivory; sculpture, fantastic and involved, of palm leaves, and lilies, and grapes, and pomegranates, and birds clinging and fluttering among the branches, all twined together in one endless network of birds and plants. In the midst of it the solemn forms of angels, sceptred, and robed to the feet, and leaning to each other across the gates, their figures indistinct among the gleaming of the golden ground through the leaves beside them—interrupted and dim, like the morning light as it faded back among the branches of Eden when first its gates were angel-guarded long ago; and round the walls of the porches there are set up pillars of variegated stones, jasper, and porphyry, and deep green serpentine, spotted with flakes of snow, and marbles that half refuse and half yield to the sunshine, Cleopatra-like, 'their bluest veins to kiss;' the shadow, as it steals back from them, revealing line after line of azure undulation, as a receding tide leaves the waved sand; their capitals rich with interwoven tracery, rooted knots of herbage, and drifting leaves of acanthus and vine, and mystical signs, all beginning and ending in the cross; and above them, in the broad arches, rolls a continuous chain of languages of life; angels and the signs of heaven, and the labours of man, each in its appointed season upon the earth; and above these another range of glittering pinnacles, mixed with white arches, edged with scarlet flowers; a confusion of delight, amidst which

the breasts of the Greek horses are seen blazing in their breadth of golden strength; and the St. Mark's lion lifted in a blue field, covered with stars, until at last, as if in ecstasy, the crests of the arches break into a marble foam, and toss themselves far into the blue sky in flashes and wreaths of sculptured spray, as if the breakers on the Lidc shore had been frost-bound before they fell, and the sea-nymphs had inlaid them with coral and amethyst."

"Byzantine architecture," remarks a writer, himself a great admirer of Ruskin, and who frequently approaches the style of the latter in lucidity of explanation, energy of manner, and in a happy choice of appropriate imagery, "is the first attempt of a powerful, unbridled mind to think in a new material—a mind choked up with a chaos of discordant conventions, and confused with the difficulty of blending Paganism with a Christianity but newly embraced. It has just sought the grave-like quiet of the cloister, and dares not venture into the dazzling daylight of the moorland and the field to gather leaves or flowers. It reproduces them, therefore, by imperfect recollection, with an unskilled hand and an untrained eye. Its light and shade are of a whirlwind, iron age, when sword-law kept the wolf's claw from men's throats. War-cries were still ringing in the ears of the men who carved those bosses, and they still heard in memory the splintering of spears and the shivering of sword-blades. \* \* \* It presented no transparent trellises such as the Moslem, no fountain-cooled quadrangles such as the Roman loved; it raised no Alps of mystic stone like the Egyptian; sculptured no idol caves such as the Nubian hollowed. Its buildings were chequered with the very shadows of death and eternity; its cloisters were gloomed by the struggle of light and darkness, death and life, good and evil. The Norman had no glistening windows, such as the later monks framed, but stanchioned openings, mere loop-holes for the arrow, or the quarrel; apertures from which to cry a parley, or shout defiance to the assailant. The Lombards darkened the very glitter of their mosaics with the impending terrors of those massy bulwarks of stone that turned every church into a consecrated fortress. Behind them they could mock at the iron hail of crossbow bolts, or the stones thundered from the military engines." This is finely descriptive writing, and gives an accurate notion of the spirit of the Byzantine architecture, from which sprung our Gothic, the peculiar glory of English art. If, as it has been defined, architecture is the stony records of men and nations, it is always interesting to trace the lessons which they convey to us; instead of being, as some think, merely a conglomeration of stones, laid together and ornamented by no guiding principle, we shall find, the more strictly we investigate, the more clearly developed the spirit which dictated the peculiarities of the style.

The Lombardic is generally classed with the Byzantine or Romanesque architecture; indeed, some writers use the term Byzantine as indicative at once of the Romanesque, the Byzantine, and the Lombardic. Mr. Fergusson conceives the Byzantine, or Eastern Romanesque architecture to be essentially distinct from the Western Romanesque and the Lombardic. Hosts of German barbarians had, even before what is usually called the "Gothic invasion" of Alaric, crossed the Alps, and settled in the valley

of the Po. Before their rude and restless energy the traces of the influence of Imperial Rome gradually faded, and a change complete and marked in the architecture of the land was finally effected. At first the Lombardic builders were indebted to Italian aid in erecting their structures; but they gradually adopted a style, the peculiar features of which we have given in the last extract. The finest examples of Lombardic architecture are met with at Pavia, Milan, Placenza, and Verona.

We have already said that the Byzantine architecture is that from which sprang the Gothic. It is to a brief description of its peculiarities as developed in England that we propose to devote the next section.

### GOTHIC OR POINTED ARCHITECTURE.

In pp. 102, 103, and 104 of the *Architectural Drawing-Book*, we have given illustrations of the different styles of Gothic architecture. In the classification there adopted we have admitted the "Norman," or "Semi-circular," of which fig. 202 is an example; this flourished in its pure state from the time of the Conquest to the reign of Stephen, in 1136 A.D. A branch of this style, usually known as the "Transition," flourished from the latter date up to about 1190. According to other writers, the classification of Gothic styles is confined to three:—(1) the EARLY ENGLISH, which flourished in the reigns of John, Henry III., and Edward I., during a period of about a century; that is, from 1200 to 1300 A.D. (2) DECORATED, flourishing during the latter part of Edward I.'s reign, the whole of the reigns of Edward II. and III., Richard II., Henry IV., V., and VI., taking up a period of about a century and a half; that is, from 1300 to 1460. (3) PERPENDICULAR, flourishing in the reigns of Edward IV. and V., Richard III., and Henry VI. and VII.—a period of about eighty years, from 1460 to 1537.

In figs. 171 and 172 we give examples of mouldings in Early English style, the former being adapted to the base, the latter to the capital of a




fig. 171.



fig. 172.

column. In figs. 173 and 174 we give examples of mouldings in the "Decorated;" the latter being those of the capital, the former of the



fig. 173.




fig. 174.

base: and in figs. 175 and 176 mouldings of the Perpendicular style—the former base, the latter capital. The distinguishing peculiarities of




fig. 175.




fig. 176.

the three styles will be observed by a study of the illustrations in the *Architectural Drawing-Book*, figs. 203 to 206, inclusive.

"Of the various hypotheses," says a graceful writer in *Guide to the Ten Chief Courts of the Sydenham Palace*, "of the derivation of the Gothic order, some attribute the Pointed style to the imitation of the Druidical groves, of wattled huts, of the timber buildings of the Saxons, or of the pyramid; while Dr. Milner considered the pointed arch was suggested by the intersection of the round Romanesque arches, when

used in arcade work." This latter hypothesis is the one now generally received. The pointed arch, however originated, began, towards the end of the twelfth century, to supersede the semicircular, and, during the thirteenth century, became very general.

As before noted, there are two classifications of English Gothic architecture; first, that which divides it into "round arched," and "pointed arched" exclusively. Where the former is retained, the Anglo-Saxon and Norman are the exemplifications of the round arched styles; the pointed being the "Early English," the "Decorated," and the "Perpendicular." Where the pointed arch is taken as the peculiarly distinctive characteristic of the English Gothic, the Anglo-Saxon and Norman are considered as distinct styles; and the three classes of Gothic or Mediæval architecture are the Early English, the Decorated, and the Perpendicular.

Saxon architecture partakes of much of the Roman character, "and is known by its long and short and herring-bone work, the latter being of a zig-zag form, and the former having quoins of hewn stones, placed alternately flat and on end. The walls are plastered on the outside, and ornamented with flat, vertical, stone-like pilasters, projecting slightly from the surface, sometimes in semicircular arches and triangles; the imposts, or points of junction between the arch and piers, are formed by rude and massive blocks, often of plain stone; the arches are low and ponderous; and the small windows are frequently formed by small pointed apertures. \* \* \* The pillars are round and massive, the capitals circular or square, and surrounded by spiral and reticulated ornaments. The favourite decoration of the Norman architecture is the zig-zag moulding, the points of the zig-zags turning in different directions, and, in some late instances, the prominent points standing out quite free. The richest part of a Norman church was the doorway. In the earliest work, the head of the opening is formed by two straight pieces of stone, leaning together at the top to produce a triangle; but they gradually became enriched, till the increased moulding nearly equalled the breadth of the doorway. \* \* \* The mouldings are bold and powerful in effect; the capitals are frequently adorned with figures and grotesque heads set in hollow mouldings, with projecting tongues or beaks overlapping a large torus or bead."

What is called the Transition, or Semi-Norman style resulted in the mixed use of Saxon and Norman peculiarities; this gave the first approach to the pointed arch. This Transition style lasted during the reigns of Henry II. and Richard I.; and St. Joseph's Chapel at Glastonbury may be considered the finest specimen.

Of the three classes of Pointed architecture, the Early English, as already noticed, is first in point of date. At first, the windows of this style were very narrow in proportion to their height, and were called lancet-shaped; they gradually widened, and ornamentation began to be applied. In some cases, the breadth was divided into several lights, and the space between the termination of these and the outer pointed arch was filled in with a light of trefoil or lozenge shape.

In process of time, the ornaments became more decided and profuse, and the term Decorated was given to the style. The windows of this style are wide, and divided into several compartments by "mullions."

These, which are slender columns, branch out, at the upper part of the window, into tracery of various forms. When these are composed of circular curves and arcs, the style is called Geometric Decorated; but where curves are adopted of various kinds and of graceful forms, the style is called Curvilinear Decorated. The Perpendicular style is distinguished by the profuseness of its ornament, and hence it is called sometimes the Florid Gothic. It is usually named the Perpendicular, from the lines of division running in a straight or perpendicular direction. The reader will find illustrations of these styles in figs. 102, 103, and 104 of the *Architectural, Engineering, and Mechanical Drawing-Book*, in this Series.

The principal features of Gothic architecture are the "buttress," the "pinnacle," the "spire," and the "tower." The buttress was used to assist the outward thrust of the roof. The "flying buttress" was a still more daring and ingenious device. This was used to connect the outer buttress with the wall of the nave, which was usually of greater height than the side aisles; thus resisting the outer thrust of the roof of the central portion of the building. The buttresses are usually constructed in stages, and finished with "pinnacles." "The decorative form which the buttress and the pinnacle," says Mr. Humphrys, in his *Ten Centuries of Art*, "were made to assume, under the adaptive hand of the Gothic architect, render them not only excellent as a highly scientific expedient in structure, but exquisitely decorative; in fact, they are made to form one of the most original and picturesque features of the art." Not less striking, as evidences of the originality of conception and of daring design of the Gothic architects, are the towers and spires which crown our beautiful cathedrals. The "spire," the feature of Gothic; the "dome," of Byzantine, and the "arch" of Roman architecture, the writer we have already quoted terms "the three most wonderful thoughts in architecture."

Of all the exponents of the spirit and peculiarities of Gothic architecture, some of them possessing a fine appreciation of its beauties, and a capability of lucidly conveying a notion of them to the reader, Mr. Ruskin stands pre-eminent. He has made the subject entirely his own; indeed, it is difficult to convey, without examples, any idea of the intense spirit of his appreciation of, and admiration for, this style of architecture, or of the wonderful force of language in which he depicts its spirit and its beauties. In "words that breathe and thoughts that burn," he carries his reader completely along with him, such is the intense force of his style and the beauty of his imagery; he is, in short, what one of his admirers has called him—"the finest exponent of Gothic feeling the world has ever known."

The six great principles of Gothic art, as developed in England—for Gothic art may be said to be pre-eminently the *English* style, our cathedrals being to us what the pyramids are to Egypt, her palaces to Nineveh, or her statues to Greece—are, according to this fine writer,—(1) Savageneess, (2) Changefulness, (3) Naturalism, (4) Grotesqueness, (5) Rigidity, (6) Redundance. It is impossible, in the short space at our command, to glance even briefly at the beautiful language with which Mr. Ruskin elucidates these great principles of the art; we can only notice the most prominent, these, perhaps, being its Changefulness and Naturalism. Of

the former he says, in proof of the perpetual novelty of which the Gothic forms were capable:—"The pointed arch was not merely a bold variation from the round, but it admitted of variation in itself; for the proportions of a pointed arch are changeable to infinity, while a circular arch is always the same. The grouped shaft was not merely a bold variation from the single one, but it admitted of millions of variations in its grouping, and in the proportions resultant from its grouping. \* \* \* Gothic architecture being undefined, can either shrink into a turret, expand into a hall, coil into a staircase, or spring into a spire, with undegraded grace and unexhausted energy; and whenever it finds occasion for change in its form or purpose, it submits to it, without the slightest sense of loss, either to its unity or majesty—subtle and flexible like a fiery serpent, but ever attentive to the voice of the charmer."

As to the "Naturalism," Mr. Ruskin has the following:—"The new direction of mental interest marks an infinite change in the means and habits of life. The nations whose chief support was in the chase—whose chief interest was in the battle—whose chief pleasure was in the banquet—would take small care respecting the shapes of leaves and flowers, and notice little in the forms of the forest trees which sheltered them, except the signs indicative of the wood which would make the toughest lance, the closest roof, or the clearest fire. The affectionate observation of the grace and outward character of vegetation is the sure sign of a more tranquil and gentle existence, sustained by the gifts and gladdened by the splendour of the earth. In that careful distinction of species, and richness of delicate and undisturbed organisation, which characterise the Gothic design, there is the history of moral and thoughtful life, influenced by habitual tenderness, and devoted to subtle inquiry; and every discriminating and delicate touch of the chisel, as it rounds the petal or guides the branch, is a prophecy of the development of the entire body of the natural sciences, beginning with that of medicine, of the recovery of that of literature, and the establishment of the most necessary principles of domestic wisdom and national peace." Note here the fineness of the following:—"The Gothic architecture arose in massy and mountainous strength; block heaved upon block by the monk's enthusiasm, and the soldier's force; and cramped and stanchioned into such weight of grisly wall as might bury the anchorite in darkness, and beat back the utmost storm of battle, suffering but by the same narrow croslet, the passing of the sunbeam or of the arrow. Gradually as that monkish enthusiasm became more thoughtful, and as the sound of war became more and more intermittent, beyond the gates of the convent or the keep, the stony pillar grew slender and the vaulted roof grew light, till they had wreathed themselves into the semblance of the summer weeds at their fairest, and of the dead field-flowers long trodden down in blood. Sweet monumental statues were set to bloom for ever beneath the porch of the temple, or the canopy of the tomb."

The following may be taken as a summary of Mr. Ruskin's arguments in favour of Gothic architecture; and however much we may differ from him in his estimate of which is called classical architecture, all will surely agree in admiring the beauty of his descriptions, and the amazing clearness of his style. "We have seen," he says, "that exactly

in the degree in which Greek and Roman architecture is lifeless, unprofitable, and unchristian, in that same degree our own ancient Gothic is animated, serviceable, and faithful. We have seen that it is flexible to all duty, enduring to all time, instructive to all hearts, honourable and holy in all offices. It is capable alike of all lowliness, and all dignity; fit alike for cottage porch or castle gateway; in domestic service familiar, in religious sublime; simple and playful so that childhood may read it; yet clothed with a power that can awe the mightiest, and exalt the loftiest of human spirits; an architecture that kindles every faculty in its workman, and addresses every emotion in its beholder; which, with every stone that is laid on its solemn walls, raises some human heart a step nearer heaven, and which from its birth has been incorporated with the existence, and in all its form is symbolical of the faith of Christianity."




fig. 177.



fig. 178.




fig. 179.

In fig. 177 we give an illustration of an Early English buttress; in fig. 178 one of the "Decorated;" and in fig. 179 one of the "Perpendicular."

Having now briefly discussed the peculiarities of the two great epochs of Ancient and Mediæval, or Christian architecture, we propose, before giving our "examples for practice" in the concluding section of the work, to glance very briefly at the points of the Renaissance, and also of the Saracenic and Arabic architecture.




fig. 180.

The term "Renaissance" means a "revival," and has reference to that era of the art in which, leaving the Gothic or Mediæval styles, it returned to the classical, revising and modifying its peculiarities. It was in this modifying that arose the absurdities and anomalies of the

style. "The clustering Gothic pillars were intermingled with Corinthian columns, and classic scrollings of bastard acanthus usurped the place of the oak, the rose, the thistle, and other national plants which had been wrought into architectural decorations with such exquisite skill by the matchless race of Gothic carvers. \* \* \* By this introduction of classic features all congruity of style was for a moment lost, and the grossest absurdities were practised in the attempt to introduce




fig. 181.

perforce the Corinthian column and massive Grecian cornice." "It was, however," remarks the same writer, "notwithstanding this dereliction, an artistic age; and, the first shock of the mongrel admixture over, new styles evolved themselves, which, if less scientific in construction, less intricately rich in ornamental detail, and less homogeneously complete than the pure Gothic, were yet worthy the name of art in a high degree."—(*Ten Centuries of Art*, p. 22.) Of the Renaissance

style, the great masters were Michael Angelo, Bramante, and San Gallo, whose great works were St. Peter's and the Farnese Palace; of Venice, Palladio, Scamozzi and Sansovino—their works the Library of St. Mark's and the Grimani Palace. Of the Florentine architects of this style, Brunelleschi and Leo Alberti—their works being the Duomo, the Pitti, the Strozzi, and Pandolfini Palaces. Of the French, Delorme, Lescot, Brellant, De Brosse, and Perrault Gabriel, their great works being the Tuileries, the Louvre, and the Luxembourg.

In England, the Elizabethan, of which in the last section we gave illustrations, was the result of the departure from the Gothic and the return to "classical architecture." It is a mixture of the Italian and the Gothic; the latter displayed in its mullioned windows, the former in its pilasters and columns and its scroll-work. The Tudor, which preceded this style in England, is distinguished by its oriel and bay windows and by its clustered chimney shafts.

Saracenic, or Arabian architecture, dating from the time of Mohammed, in the seventh century, spread over the East with great rapidity; the form of the arch is that known as the "horseshoe," as illustrated in fig. 180, which is part of the interior of a Turkish or Arab house, given by Durrand in his work, *Parallels of Architecture*. It is remarkable, however, that the most magnificent example of this style should have been produced in Spain. This, so well known as the "Alhambra," was




fig. 182.

the celebrated palace of the ancient Moorish kings of Granada. It was commenced in 1248 by Ibnu'l-Ahmar, continued by his son, and finished by his grandson, Mohammed III., about 1314. Figs. 181 and 182, which are drawings, modified from two given by Durrand, will give the reader a fair idea of the style of architecture of this magnificent building. Fig. 182 is the capital of a pillar. The Mohammedans, "forbidden by their creed to represent the human form, were led to adorn their temples by a style peculiar to themselves. Inscriptions from the Koran, expressing faith while adding beauty, were interwoven with geometrical ornaments and flowers, not drawn directly from nature, but translated from the loom; for it would seem that the Arabs, in changing their wandering for a settled life—supplanting the tent by a form more solid—had transferred the luxurious shawls and hangings of their former dwellings to the new, changing the tent-pole for a marble column and the silken tissue for gilded plaster."

For numerous examples of the ornament of the Alhambra, and for an investigation of the principles upon which it is founded, we refer the reader to one of the guide books of the Crysta. Palace, entitled *The Alhambra Court in the Crystal Palace*.

## THIRD DIVISION.

## "EXAMPLES FOR PRACTICE."

In this division of the work we give a series of drawings selected and reduced with care from the larger works edited by us, and entitled, *Model Designs for Mansions, Villas, Dwelling-houses, and Cottages—The Architectural Designer's Guide*—and *The Architect's and Builder's Plan Book*. As all the examples given in these works were prepared by practical men for practical purposes, we trust that those which we here select may be suggestive to the student of some practical uses.

The first series of these examples will comprise plans, elevations, sections, and detailed drawings of houses of various classes. They are all drawn to scale, and will require no lengthened description. For methods of drawing them see the instructions given in our work in this series on *Architectural Drawing*.

Plates I., II., and III., are drawings of a mansion or villa residence in the Italian style, some of the details of which will be found in pages 59 and 64 of our *Architectural, Engineering, and Mechanical Drawing-Book* in this Series.

In Plate I. we give the "plans" of the structure, showing the arrangement of the rooms. Fig. 1 is the ground plan, in which *h* is the lobby, *g* the breakfast-room, *f* the drawing, and *e* the dining-room; *c* is the kitchen, and *a* the back ditto; *b* the wash-house, *d* the butler's pantry, *j* the closets for hats, &c., *i* the staircase. The first-floor or chamber plan is in fig. 2, where *e* and *m* are the principal front bedrooms, *j* being the dressing closet to the room *m*; *d* and *i* back bedrooms, *g* being a dressing room to bedroom *d*. The bath-room is at *h*; *l* is a small bedroom, the servants' bedroom being at *a* and *b*; *k* is a linen closet, entering from *j*; *c* the water-closet; *f* the skylight which lights the staircase. In fig. 3 we give the "cellar," or basement plan: *c* stairs beneath those at *i*, fig. 1; *b* the landing, *e* potato-cellar, *a*, *d*, and *f*, cellars for wine, beer, &c.

In Plate II. we give in fig. 1, a front elevation; fig. 2, a side; and in fig. 3, a back elevation of the house.

In Plate III., in fig. 1, we give a section through the line *a b* in the plan, fig. 1, plate I. In fig. 2 an end elevation; and in fig. 3 a plan of the roof.

In Plates IV. to IX. inclusive, we give a set of working drawings of a pair of semi-detached villas in the "Elizabethan style." All the plans, sections, and elevations are drawn to a scale of *one-twelfth of an inch to the foot*.




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.








PLATE II.

*Fig. 1.*



*Fig. 2.*



*Fig. 3.*




PLATE IV.





PLATE V.

In Plate IV. we give the front elevation of the two houses.

In Plate V. the basement and ground plan, of which the latter is to the left, and the former to the right of the centre line *c d*.

In the basement plan, *aa* is the kitchen, *bb* the breakfast room, *cc* cellar, *d* pantry, *g* stairs to ground floor, *d* wine cellar, *f* water-closet, *h* coal cellar, *i* the larder, and *j* the scullery.



In the ground plan, *aa* is the drawing-room, *bb* the dining-room, *jj* the library, *d* the china closet, *e* the entrance porch, *g* the water-closet, *e* the stairs to upper floor.

In Plate VI. we give half-plans, of which that to the left of the centre line *A B* is the half one pair or chamber plan; and that to the right the half attic plan.

In the chamber plan, *aa*, *bb*, are the front bedrooms, *cc* the back bedroom, *dd* dressing-room to bedroom *aa*, *e* water-closet, *f* bath-room.

In the attic plan, *ab* are the front, *cd* the back bedrooms, *e* the linen closet.

In Plate VII. we give the end elevation.

In Plate VIII. the transverse section through the line *AB* in Plate V.

In Plate IX. we give the various details of this example, all of which are drawn to a scale of *half inch to the foot*. Fig. 1 shows the "half head




PLATE VII.

of Dormer;" fig. 2, the "pinnacle;" fig. 3, "patera in spandril;" fig. 4, the key-stone; fig. 5, the "top of gable;" fig. 6 shows the method of ornamenting the "parapet of bay window;" fig. 7 is elevation of niche. For these designs, in Plates I. to IX., we are indebted to the pencil of Mr. E. S. Eyland.

In Plates X., XI., and XII., we give drawings of a row of street houses, of which the scale is given in Plate XII.

In Plate X. we give the ground plan, in which *aa* are the entrance lobbies; *bb*, the stairs to chamber floor; *cc*, the front sitting-room; *dd*,

the back parlours ; *ee*, the kitchens ; *f*, the yard, with water-closet and ash-pits.

In Plate XI. we give the chamber and cellar plans. In the former, fig. 1, *aa* are closets above the front doors ; *bb* the front, and *cc* the back bedrooms ; *d*, the servants' bedroom. In fig. 2, the "cellar plan," *aa* the stairs, entering from *ee*, fig. 1 ; *cc*, place for potatoes ; *bb*, wash-houses, &c.




PLATE VIII.

In Plate XII. we give, in fig. 1, front elevation of the whole range ; in fig. 2, the back elevation of ditto ; and in fig. 3 the scale to which the drawings in Plates X., XI., and XII. are drawn.

In Plate XIII. we give a side elevation of a tomb, in the Gothic ; and in Plate XIV. a side elevation ; and in Plate XV. a front elevation of a conservatory, in the Tudor style, both designed by Mr. Eyland. The latter is taken from our work,—*Conservatories : their Arrangement and Construction.*




Fig. 6.




PLATE X.




Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.






Fig. 3.  
PLATE XII.




PLATE XIII.




PLATE XIV.




PLATE XV.

The second series of selected examples, which we now propose to give, will comprise drawings of component parts of houses, and of separate subjects, which may be useful.

In figs. 183 and 184 we give sketches of Elizabethan doorways; in




fig. 183.




fig. 184.

fig. 185, a sketch of a domestic Gothic window, in the Decorated period ;  
in fig. 186, a sketch of a domestic Gothic doorway ; and in fig. 187, of an  
Elizabethan window, with plan.




fig. 185.




fig 186.

In fig. 188 we give a sketch of a window in the Italian style ; and in fig. 189, an elevation of a chimney, adapted for construction in brick, of which fig. 190 is the plan ; fig. 191 is an elevation of a chimney, adapted for construction in stone, of which fig. 192 is the plan.




fig 187.

Figs. 193 and 194 are sketches of a Gothic barge board.

In fig. 195 we give an elevation of the pier of a gateway in the Italian style ; in fig. 196, one in the Gothic, or Tudor ; and in fig. 197, another in the Elizabethan style.




fig. 188.




fig. 189.




fig. 191.




fig. 190.




fig. 192.




fig. 193.




fig. 194.




fig. 195.




fig. 196.

In fig. 198 we give a sketch of part of a design for a staircase in the Elizabethan style; and in fig. 199, another in the Gothic.




fig. 199.




fig. 198.




fig. 197.

Fig. 200 is an elevation of a pilaster, *a a*, in the Italian style, with ornamented frieze, *b*.



fig. 200.

Fig. 201 is a "pinnacle;" fig. 202, a range of balustrades; and figs. 203 to 208, "trusses," or brackets, all in the Italian style.




fig. 201.




fig. 202.



fig. 203.




fig. 204.




fig. 205.




fig. 206.




fig. 207.




fig. 208.

# INDEX.

---

- Acanthus, Grecian, 82, 83.  
 Alhambra, the, 99.  
 Arch, Roman, 86; "Horse-shoe," 97.  
 Architecture, history and illustration of its styles, 59; definitions of, 59; Assyrian, 61; Egyptian, 65; Indian, 67; Chinese, 68; Grecian orders of, 70; Roman, 84; Romanesque, or Byzantine-Lombardic, 87; Gothic, 92; the "Renaissance," 97.  
 Assyrian architecture, 61.  
 Balustrade, open, or perforated parapet, 11.  
 Barge-board, Gothic, sketches of, 119.  
 Brackets, Italian, 122.  
 Bulls, colossal human-headed, 63.  
 Bury, Mr. Talbot, on Grecian architecture, 69.  
 Buttress, early English, 96; decorated, 96; perpendicular, 96.  
 Byzantine-Lombardic architecture, 87.  
 Capitals, bull, in Assyrian architecture, 64; Egyptian, 66; Doric, 73; Ionic, 75; Corinthian, 78.  
 Carpet, designs for, 58.  
 Carpets and oil-cloths, arrangement of figures used in, 16.  
 Chimneys, elevations of, and plans, 118.  
 Chinese architecture, 68.  
 Climate, influence of, upon the architecture of a country, 60.  
 Circle, ornaments to fill up, 36.  
 Circles, specimens of use of, 18—20; and lines, combination of, 21.  
 Circular lines, use of, 18; and straight, combination of, 21.  
 Coleridge's definition of architecture, 60.  
 Columiation, Grecian, 79.  
 Conservatory, side elevation of, 114; front elevation, 115.  
 Corinthian order, 78; proportions of, 78.  
 Curved lines, use of, 18; specimens of, 26—28; ornaments formed by, 29, 30.  
 "Decorated" mouldings, 92.  
 Decoration, "conventional" school of, 38; "natural" school of, 38.  
 Diamond, ornament to fill up, 36.  
 "Diaper" pattern, 12.  
 Doorways, Elizabethan, 115; domestic Gothic, 116.  
 Doric order, the, 70; proportions of, 74.  
 Dyce, Mr., on the adaptation of ornament to various purposes, 46.  
 Egyptian architecture, 65.  
 Elizabethan architecture, 99; doorways, 115; windows, with plan, 117; pier of a gateway, 120; staircase, 120.  
 English, Early, mouldings, 91; Gothic, 93; principles of, 94.  
 Erechtheum, the, 77.  
 Fergusson, Mr. James, definition of architecture, 59; on Assyrian architecture, 62; on Egyptian architecture, 65, 66; on the Doric order, 71.  
 "Fluted scroll," part of, 31.  
 "Frets," specimens of, 23—25.  
 Frieze, Italian ornamented, 121.  
 Garbett, Mr., definition of architecture, 60; on the Doric order, 70.  
 Garment fabrics, designs for, 55.  
 Gateway, elevation of pier of, in Italian style, 119; Gothic, 119; Elizabethan, 120.  
 Gothic cross, in the "decorated style," 31; architecture, 91; tomb, 114; window, 185; doorway, 116; barge board, 119; pier of a gateway, 119; staircase, 120.  
 Grecian architecture, 69; orders, the, 70.  
 Hangings, paper and other, designs for, 57.  
 Hexagon combined with lozenge and equilateral triangle, 14.  
 Hexagons, combination of, 13.  
 "Honeysuckle ornament," Grecian, 28, 29, 82.  
 Humphrys, Mr., on Gothic architecture, 94; on the "Renaissance," 98.  
 Indian architecture, 67.  
 Intercolumiation, Grecian, 81.

- Ionic order, 75; proportions of, 76.
- Italian window, 118; pier of a gateway, 119; pilaster, with ornamented frieze, 121; "pinnacle," 121; range of balustrades, 121; "trusses," or brackets, 122.
- Jones, Mr. Owen, on combination of lines, 37; on "conventionalising" in decoration, 38.
- Layard, Austen, LL.D., on Assyrian architecture, 63.
- Leeds, Mr. W. H., definition of architecture, 59; on the Doric order, 71; on the Ionic order, 75; on Corinthian capital, 78.
- Lines, combinations of, Mr. Owen Jones's directions for, 37.
- "Lozenge" or diamond shape, 10, 12.
- Mansion, plans of a, 102; front and back elevations, 103; sections, 104; end elevation, 104; plan of roof, 104.
- Minerva Pollias, temple of, 76.
- "Mouldings," Grecian, 83; Early English, 91; "decorated," 92; perpendicular, 92.
- Oblong or rhomboid, ornament adapted for, 36.
- Octagon, specimen of use of, 15.
- Orders, the Grecian, 70.
- Parallelograms, arrangement of, 9.
- Parthenon, the, 74.
- Perpendicular mouldings, 92.
- Pilaster, Italian, elevation of, 121.
- Pilasters, Grecian, 79.
- Pillars, Egyptian, 66; Doric, 72; in the Alhambra, 99.
- Pointed architecture, 91.
- Redgrave, Mr., on the two schools of ornamentation, 40; on the adaptation of ornament to various purposes, 46; on designs for garment fabrics, 55; on designs for paper and other hangings, 57; on designs for carpets, 58; on Byzantine architecture, 89.
- "Renaissance" style of architecture, 97.
- Roman architecture, 84.
- Romanesque architecture, 87.
- Ruskin, Mr., on the "natural" school of ornamentation, 45; on the influence of climate upon the architecture of a country, 60; on Byzantine architecture, 89; on English Gothic architecture, 94.
- Saracenic or Arabian architecture, 99.
- Saxon architecture, 93.
- Scrolls, examples of, 32—35.
- Square, placed diagonally, 10; ornaments to fill up, 36.
- Squares, arrangement of, 9; and oblongs, arranged as tiles, 11; combination of, with rhomboids, 16; intersecting, combined with lozenges, 22; and oblongs, combination of 22.
- St. Mark's, at Venice, Ruskin's description of, 89.
- Staircase, Elizabethan, 120; Gothic, 120.
- Straight lines, use of, 9; and circular, combination of, 23.
- Street, row of, ground plan of, 111; chamber and cellar plan, 112; front and back elevation, 113.
- Textile fabrics, examples of ornamentation for, 38—57.
- Tomb, Gothic, side elevation of, 114.
- Transition style of architecture, 93.
- Triangles, two equilateral, construction of, 13; equilateral, ornamentation formed by, 21; ornament to fill up, 36.
- "Trusses," Italian, 122.
- Villas, pair of semi-detached, front elevation of, 105; basement and ground plan, 106; half-plans, 107; end elevation, 108; transverse section, 109; various details, 110.
- Wallis, Mr. George, on the "conventional" idea in decoration, 38.
- Whewell, Dr., on the technical characteristics of the Romanesque architecture, 88.
- Window, domestic Gothic, 116; Elizabethan, with plan, 117; Italian, 118.
- Wyatt, Mr. Digby, on the principles which should determine form in the decorative arts, 48.

*An investment in Knowledge always pays good Interest.*

# THE PEOPLE'S STANDARD CYCLOPÆDIAS

And Cheap Aid Books to Knowledge.

1. **Beeton's Dictionary of Geography.** A Universal Gazetteer. Illustrated by Maps—Ancient, Modern, and Biblical; with several hundred Engravings. Post 8vo, cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.; half calf, 10s. 6d.
2. **Beeton's Dictionary of Biography.** Being the Lives of Eminent Persons of All Times, with the Pronunciation of Every Name. Illustrated by Portraits, &c. Post 8vo, cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.; half calf, 10s. 6d.
3. **Beeton's Dictionary of Natural History.** A Popular and Scientific Account of Animated Creation. With the Pronunciation of the Name of every Animal. Crown 8vo, cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.; half calf, 10s. 6d.
4. **Beeton's Book of Garden Management.** Embracing all kinds of information connected with Fruit, Flowers, and Kitchen Gardens, &c.; with numerous Illustrations. Post 8vo, cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.; half calf, 10s. 6d.
5. **Beeton's Law Book.** 25,000 References. A Practical Compendium of the General Principles of English Jurisprudence, comprising upwards of 13,000 Statements of the Law, &c., &c. Post 8vo, cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.
6. **Beeton's Book of Home Pets.** Showing how to Rear and Manage in Sickness and Health. Illustrated by upwards of 200 Woodcuts, &c., &c. Post 8vo, half bound, 7s. 6d.
7. **Beeton's Book of Needlework Patterns.** Consisting of Designs by English, German, and French Artists; every stitch being described and illustrated with the utmost accuracy. Crown 8vo, cloth gilt, gilt edges, 7s. 6d.
8. **Treasury of Natural Science.** Comprising Natural Philosophy, Astronomy, Chemistry, Geology, &c., &c. With numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo, cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.
9. **A Million of Facts.** By Sir RICHARD PHILIPS. Correct Data and Elementary Constants in the entire Circle of the Sciences, and on all subjects of Speculation and Practice. Crown 8vo, cloth gilt, 7s. 6d.
10. **Teacher's Pictorial Bible and Commentary.** The Authorised Version. With the most approved Marginal References, and Historical and Descriptive Illustrations. Crown 8vo, cloth gilt, red edges, 8s. 6d.; French morocco, 10s. 6d.; half bound calf, 10s. 6d.
11. **The Self-Aid Cyclopædia for Students.** Comprising General Drawing; Architectural, Mechanical, and Engineering Drawing, &c., &c. Demy 8vo, half-bound leather, 10s. 6d.
12. **Palestine: Its Holy Sites and Sacred Story.** An entirely New Work, amply illustrated with Maps, and more than 300 Wood Engravings. Demy 8vo, cloth gilt, marbled edges, 7s. 6d.; cloth gilt, gilt edges, 8s. 6d.; half calf, 10s. 6d.

London: WARD, LOCK, & CO.,

Warwick House, Dorset Buildings, Salisbury Square, E.C.

*The Cheapest and Best Reference Books in the World.*

## BEETON'S NATIONAL REFERENCE BOOKS.

Each Volume Complete in itself, and containing from 512 to 590 columns. Price 1s., in strong cloth binding.

*\*\* In an age of great competition and little leisure the value of Time is tolerably well understood. Men, wanting facts, like to get at them with as little expenditure as possible of money or minutes. BEETON'S NATIONAL REFERENCE BOOKS have been conceived and carried out in the belief that a set of Cheap and Handy Volumes in Biography, Geography, History (Sacred and Profane), Science, and Business, would be thoroughly welcome, because they would quickly answer many a question. In every case the type will be found clear and plain.*

1. **Beeton's British Gazetteer:** A Topographical and Historical Guide to the United Kingdom. Compiled from the Latest and Best Authorities. It gives the most Recent Improvements in Cities and Towns; states all the Railway Stations in the Three Kingdoms, the nearest Post Towns and Money Order Offices.
2. **Beeton's British Biography:** From the Earliest Times to the Accession of George III.
3. **Beeton's Modern Men and Women:** A British Biography from the Accession of George III. to the Present Time.
4. **Beeton's Bible Dictionary.** A Cyclopædia of the Geography, Biography, Narratives, and Truths of Scripture.  
The New Edition of the "Bible Dictionary" is thus alluded to by Mr. SPURGEON in the *Sword and Trowel*: "When this 'Bible Dictionary' came out at One Shilling in a paper cover, we recommended all poor students and teachers to buy it; but now it can be had bound at the same price, we feel persuaded that they will purchase it without persuasion."
5. **Beeton's Classical Dictionary:** A Cyclopædia of Greek and Roman Biography, Geography, Mythology, and Antiquities.
6. **Beeton's Medical Dictionary.** A Safe Guide for every Family, defining with perfect plainness the Symptoms and Treatment of all Ailments, Illnesses, and Diseases. 592 columns.
7. **Beeton's Date Book.** A British Chronology from the Earliest Records to the Present Day.
8. **Beeton's Dictionary of Commerce.** A Book of Reference. Containing an Account of the Natural Productions and Manufactures dealt with in the Commercial World; Explanations of the principal Terms used in, and modes of transacting Business at Home and Abroad.
9. **Beeton's Modern European Celebrities.** A Biography of Continental Men and Women of Note who have lived during the last Hundred Years, or are now living.

London: **WARD, LOCK, & CO.,**  
Warwick House, Dorset Buildings, Salisbury Square, E.C.

## Presentation Books for Boys.

# THE BOYS' OWN LIBRARY.

\* \* *The best set of Volumes for Prizes, Rewards, or Gifts to English Lads. They have all been prepared with a view to their fitness in manly tone and handsome appearance for Presents for Youth, amongst whom they enjoy an unrivalled degree of popularity, which never flags.*

Coloured Plates and Illustrations, price 5s. cloth ; or cloth gilt, gilt edges, 6s.

1. **Stories of the Wars.** From the Rise of the Dutch Republic to the Death of Oliver Cromwell. B JOHN TILLOTSON.
2. **A Boy's Adventures in the Barons' Wars ; or, How I Won my Spurs.** By J. G. EDGAR. Illustrated by numerous Woodcuts, from Designs principally by K. HUTTULA and J. C. DANBY.
3. **Cressy and Poitiers ; or, The Story of the Black Prince's Page.** By J. G. EDGAR. Illustrated with numerous Engravings, principally from Designs by ROBERT DUDLEY and GUSTAVE DORE.
4. **Runnymede and Lincoln Fair.** A Story of the Great Charter. By J. G. EDGAR. Illustrated by ROBERT DUDLEY. The Heraldic Headings and Tailpieces by W. HARRY ROGERS.
5. **Wild Sports of the World.** A Book of Natural History and Adventure. By JAMES GREENWOOD. With Woodcuts from Designs by HARDEN MELVILLE and WILLIAM HARVEY, Portraits of Celebrated Hunters, and Eight Coloured Illustrations by HARRISON WEIR, &c.
6. **Curiosities of Savage Life.** By the Author of "Wild Sports of the World."
7. **Hubert Ellis.** A Story of King Richard the Second's Day. By FRANCIS DAVENANT.
8. **Don Quixote.** Translated from the Spanish of MIGUEL DE CERVANTES SAAVEDRA by CHAS. JARVIS, Esq. Carefully revised and corrected. Illustrated by TONY JOHANNOT.
9. **Gulliver's Travels into several Remote Nations of the World.** By Dean SWIFT. With a Memoir of the Author. Illustrated by Wood Engravings from Designs by J. G. THOMSON.
10. **Robinson Crusoe.** By DANIEL DEFOE. With a Memoir of the Author. Illustrated by numerous Woodcuts inserted in the Text, Designed by T. H. NICHOLSON.
11. **Silas the Conjuror : His Travels and Perils.** By JAMES GREENWOOD.
12. **Savage Habits and Customs.** By the Author of "Wild Sports of the World."
13. **Reuben Davidger.** By J. GREENWOOD.
14. **Brave British Soldiers and the Victoria Cross.** A General Account of the Regiments and Men of the British Army, and Stories of the Brave Deeds which Won the Prize for Valour.
15. **Zoological Recreations.** By W. J. BRODERIP, F.R.S.
16. **Wild Animals in Freedom and Captivity.** With 120 Illustrations by HARRISON WEIR, T. W. WOOD, and other Artists.
17. **The World's Explorers.** Including Livingstone's Discoveries and Stanley's Search. By H. W. DULCKEN, Ph.D. Illustrated with many Engravings from Designs by Eminent Artists.
18. **The Man among the Monkeys ; or, Ninety Days in Apeland.** To which are added many other Stories of Men and Animals. Illustrated by G. DORE.
19. **Golden America.** By JOHN TILLOTSON.

London: WARD, LOCK, AND CO.,

Warwick House, Dorset Buildings, Salisbury Square, E.C.

# THE WORLD LIBRARY OF STANDARD WORKS.

This Series includes many of the acknowledged Masterpieces of Historical and Critical Literature, made more accessible than hitherto to the general reader, by publication in a convenient form and at a moderate price. No student of European History, or of the development of the English Constitution, can dispense with Hallam's erudite and luminous works on these subjects, which form a portion of the "World Library."

1. **Hallam's Constitutional History of England.**  
5s.
2. **Hallam's Europe during the Middle Ages.**  
3s. 6d. Library Edition, cloth gilt, 6s.
3. **Hallam's Church and State.** 2s. 6d.
5. **Adam Smith's Wealth of Nations.** 782  
pages. 3s. 6d.
7. **Hume's History of England.** From the In-  
vasion of Julius Cæsar to the Revolution in 1688. By DAVID  
HUME, Esq. In Three Vols. 2,240 pages. 10s. 6d.
8. **Hume's Essays—Literary, Moral, and Political.**  
By DAVID HUME, the Historian. 558 pages. 3s. 6d.
9. **Montaigne's Essays.** All the Essays of  
MICHAEL the Seigneur de Montaigne. 684 pages. 3s. 6d.
10. **Warton's History of English Poetry.** From  
the Eleventh to the Seventeenth Century. By THOMAS WARTON,  
B.D. 1,032 pages. 6s.
11. **Aiken's Court and Times of Queen Eliza-  
beth.** 3s. 6d.
12. **Burke's Choice Pieces.** By EDMUND BURKE.  
Speech on the Law of Libel—Reflections on Revolution in France  
—On the Sublime and Beautiful—Abridgment of English History.  
Crown 8vo, cloth plain, 3s. 6d.
16. **Locke's Letters on Toleration.** 400 pages.  
Library Edition, crown 8vo, cloth plain, 3s. 6d.
23. **Macaulay: Reviews, Essays, and Poems.**  
3s. 6d.
24. **Sydney Smith. Essays, Social and Political.**  
Crown 8vo, cloth plain, 3s. 6d.
25. **Lord Bacon. The Proficiency and Advance-  
ment of Learning—The New Atlantis—Historical Sketches; with  
his Essays, Civil and Moral.** Crown 8vo, cloth plain, 3s. 6d.

London: WARD, LOCK, & CO.,

Warwick House, Dorset Buildings, Salisbury, Square, E.C.



GETTY RESEARCH INSTITUTE



3 3125 01335 6585



