The Efficient Synthesis of the Optically Active β-Hydroxyl-γ-butyrolactone Derivatives

Jin Xin Wang (王進欣), Ying Li* (李 瀛) and Chao Xin Zhang (張朝欣) National Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, P. R. China

The optically active β -hydroxyl- γ -butyrolactones were syn the sized from nonchiral starting material by employing reductive cleav age reaction, sharpless asymmetric epoxidation and dihydroxylation, and Lewis acid-catalysed cyclization as key steps. This strategy can be used to prepare many chiral β -hydroxyl- γ -butyrolactone analogues.

Keywords: Asymmetric synthesis; β-Hydroxy-γ-butyrolactone; Reductive cleavage reaction; Sharpless epoxidation and dihydroxylation; Cyclization.

INTRODUCTION

Chiral γ -butyrolactones have at tracted sub stan tial inter est in re cent years due to their pres ence in many strongly active nat u ral prod ucts having antitumor, fungicidal, antiinflammatory activity, 1,2,3 and their use as important precursors in nat u ral prod uct synthesis. Presently the asymmetric synthesis of β -hydroxy- γ -butyrolactones have been a target for organic synthesis in various laboratories. In the course of the total synthesis of the nat ural prod uct Tuxpanolide and its analogues, we prepared the various optically active β -hydroxyl- γ -butyrolactones derivatives as needed key in terme diates, finding a practical strategy for building chiral β -hydroxyl- γ -butyrolactones. In this paper, we present our results on the efficient stereocontrolled synthesis of β -hydroxyl- γ -butyrolactone derivatives from cheap and nonchiral starting material.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The start ing ma te rial isobutyaldehyde **1** was sub jected to the wittig re ac tion fol lowed by the re duc tion with LiAlH₄-AlCl₃ in dry ether to give the allylic alcohol **3**. Sharpless asymmetricepoxidation⁷ of the allyl alcohol **3** with (+)-DET, Ti(*i*-OPr)₄, TBHP led to the ep oxy alcohol (+)-**4** in 71% yield⁸ and 90%ee as de ter mined by ¹H NMR analy sis of the cor responding Moster's es ter. ⁹ Coupling reaction of alde hyde obtained by swern ox i dation of **4** and triphenyphos phorane afforded (+)-**5** (41% for two steps). After the syn the sis of four steps, by simply apply ing the reductive cleav age reaction of α , β -unsaturated ester **5** by mag ne siumin methanol, ¹⁰ we luckily accessed the sole product (+)-**6** in 72% yield (Scheme I).

Scheme I

(i) $Ph_3P=CHCOOEt$, CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C-r.t.; (ii) $LiAlH_4:AlCl_3=3:1$, Et_2O , -78 °C; (iii) $Ti(O-i-Pr)_4$, (+)-DET, TBHP, CH_2Cl_2 , -20 °C; (iv) (a) (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C; (b) $Ph_3P=CHCOOEt$, CH_2Cl_2 , r.t.; (v) Mg, MeOH, -23 °C.

Sub sequent treat ment of $\mathbf{6}$ with t-BuOOH-VO(acac)₂ cat a lyst sys tem in dry ben zene gave a 7.5:1 mix ture (de termined by GLC, 88% de) of the de sired α -epoxyal cohol (+)-7 and its β -iso mers in 61% yield. After the protection of a second ary hydroxy of 7, the (+)-8 was ob tained in 73% yield. Finally with the treat ment of 8 with camphosulfonic acid (CSA) catalysed cyclization, 11 we pro ceeded to construct a chiral butyrolactone (+)-9 in 63% yield, 98% de de ter mined by GLC, and 95% ee in ac cor dance with the ¹HNMR analysis of the corresponding Moster esters (Scheme II). This route success fully employed the sharpless cat a lytic asymmetric epoxidation re action, which allowed the two chiral centers of the intermediate 3 to re main S in a highly pre dict able way. More over, the configurations of C_3 , C_4 in the compound 7 were de ter mined by the fact that the va na dium-catalyzed epoxidation ex hib ited the cis stereoselectivity. 12 It pro vided

Scheme II

(i) *t*-BuOOH, VO(acac)₂, benzene, 5 °C-r.t. (ii) Ac₂O, DMAP, Py, r.t.; (iii) CSA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C-r.t.

the efficient stereocontrolled syn thetic approach for building the trans β -hydroxy- γ -butyrolactone blocks.

The Sharpless asym met ric dihydroxylation (ADs) of olefine is an in dis pens able tool for con tem po rary or ganic syn the sis. In Scheme III, the key in ter me di ate $\bf 6$ was allowed to re act with *tert*-butyldimethylchlorosilane (1.2 eq) and imidazole (3.0 eq) in an hy drous DMF. Af ter 6 hours, $\bf 10$ was ob tained in 82% yield. Then ADs of $\bf 10$ (in ac cordance with the liter a ture precedence $\bf 13$) provided lactonized dihydroxylation products $\bf 11$ (ee 73%) and $\bf 12$ (ee 78%), respectively, in 45% and 51% yield. Thus, the cis $\bf \beta$ -hydroxyl- $\bf \gamma$ -butyrolactones $\bf 11$ and $\bf 12$ were success fully obtained by this economical and efficient method. Remark ably, if $\bf 6$ was protected with an acetyl, the AD reaction did not hap pen.

Scheme III

(i) TBDMCl, Et₃N, DMAP, DMF, r.t; (ii) AD-mix- β , CH₃SO₂NH₂, t-BuOH-H₂O, r.t.; (iii) AD-mix- α , CH₃SO₂NH₂, t-BuOH-H₂O, r.t.

Here we re port the asym met ric syn the sis of the op tically active β -hydroxyl- γ -butyrolactone deriviatives 9, 11, 12. With the above Lewis acid cat a lyzed cyclization and ADs lactonization, the various chiral β -hydroxyl- γ -butyrolactones can be constructed generally and practically via the key in termediate 6 and its derivatives.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods

IR spec tra were re corded on an FT-170SX spec trom eter. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spec tra were re corded on Bruker AM-200 or AM-400 MHz in stru ments us ing tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the in ter nal stan dard. Mass spec tra were record on VG ZAB-HS or VG-7070 (70 ev) spectrameters. GLC anal y ses were car ried out on a Shimadzu GC-9AM instrument. Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin Elmer 341 in stru ment.

(E)-4-Methyl-2-pentenoate (2)

To a stirred so lu tion of (ethoxycarbonylmethylene) triphenylphosphorane (20 g, 57.4 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was added isobutyaldehyde (4.14 g, 57.5 mmol) un der an Ar at mo sphere. The re ac tion mix ture was stirred for 2 h at room tem per a ture and the sol vent was evap o rated. The crude residue was puri fied by col umn chromatog raphy (pe tro leum) to fur nish 2 as a col or less liq uid (6.5 g, 80%). IR (film): ν = 2982, 1708, 1651, 1514, 1436, 1314, 1290, 1207, 1150, 981 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 M, CDCl₃): δ 1.05 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1,23 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.38-2.42 (m, 1H), 4.12 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.72 (d,J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (dd,J = 15.8, 6.6 Hz, 1H). The data matched those re ported in the lit er a ture. ¹⁴

(*E*)-4-Methyl-2-penten-1-ol (3)

To a stirred and precooled (-78 °C) so lution of LiAlH₄ (294 mg, 7.75 mmol) and AlCl₃ (344 mg, 2.58 mmol) in dry ether (25 mL) was added dropwise com pound **2** (366 mg, 2.58 mmol). The re ac tion was stirred for 1.5 h at -78 °C and then H₂O was added slowly to quench the unreacted LiAlH₄. The mix ture was fil tered and the ether so lution was washed by wa ter and brine. The ethe real layer was dried over MgSO₄. Re moval of sol vent by ro tary evap or ation yielded a col or less liq uid **3** (237 mg, 92%). IR (film): ν = 3350, 2934, 2889, 1117, 1465, 1382, 1205, 980 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 M, CDCl₃): δ 0.94 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 2.22-2.27 (m, 1H), 2.43 (brs, OH), 4.01 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 5.48-5.55 (m, 1H), 5.6 (dd, J = 15.7 Hz, 6.0 Hz, 1H). EIMS: m/e 101, 81, 55, 43. The data were consistent with those reported in the liter a ture. ¹⁵

(+)-(4S,5S)-6-Methyl-4,5-epoxy-2-heptenoate (5)

To a stirred so lu tion of oxalyl chlo ride (0.23 mL, 2.6 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise DMSO (0.37 mL, 5.2 mmol). Upon complete addition, **4** (150 mg, 1.3 mmol) dis solved in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) was added dropwise. The initially clear solution became white and cloudy af ter stir ring for 1.5 h. Triethylamine (657 mg, 6.5

mmol) was then added dropwise at -78 °C. Then the reaction mix ture was warmed slowly to -10 °C for 2 h and quenched by ad di tion of water (0.3 mL). The or ganic layer was sep arated and washed with wa ter and brine; the com bined aqueous washes were ex tracted with CH2Cl2. The or ganic phases were com bined and dried over MgSO₄. Af ter the re moval of sol vent, buff oil (100 mg) was obtained. To a stirred so lution of (ethoxycarbonylmethylene) triphenylphosphorane (306 mg, 0.89 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added to the buff oil (100 mg) un der an Ar at mo sphere. The re ac tion mix ture was stirred for 2 h at room tem per a ture and the sol vent was evap o rated. The crude resi due was puri fied by column chromatography (petro leum: EtOAc, 32:1) to fur nish 5 as a buff oil (110 mg, two steps 41%). [α] ²⁷ 10.6° (c 1.4 CH₂Cl₂). IR (film): y = 2962, 1725, 1661, 1593, 1439, 1307, 1275, 1192,978, 857 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 M , CDCl₃): δ 0.96 (d, J = 7.1Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.56-1.64 (m, 1H), 2.67 (dd, J = 1.8, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (dd, J= 6.8, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 6.11 (d, J = 15.6 m)Hz, 1H), 6.69 (dd, J = 15.6, 6.7 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (50 M, CDCl₃): \(\delta \) 14.07, 18.04, 18.74, 30.41, 55.09, 60.38, 66.50, 123.26, 144.79, 165.55. EIMS: m/e 185, 139, 111, 98, 83, 56, 45, 43, 41.

(+)-(5S)-6-Methyl-5-hydroxy-3-heptenoate (6)

The sub strate 5 (160 mg, 0.87 mmol) in dry meth a nol (5 mL) was cooled at -23 °C be fore mag ne sium pow der (63 mg, 2.61 mmol) was added. The re action mix ture was stirred for 2 h un der Ar at mo sphere. To the gray so lu tion was added an equal volume of diethyl ether, the whole mix ture was fil tered through a sil ica gel pad and con centrated in vacuo, and crude product was purified by flash chro matog raphy (SiO₂) (pe troleum:EtOAc, 8:1) to ob tain a col or less oil 6 (11 mg, 72%). $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ +12.1° (c 1.8 CH₂Cl₂). IR (film): γ = 3410, 2922, 1733, 1626, 1405, 1381, 1158, 1072, 1023 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 M, CDCl₃): δ 0.87 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.63-1.70 (m, 1H), 3.05 (brd, 2H),3.88 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.55 (dd, J =7.2, 15.4 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (dt, J = 7.5, 15.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (50 M, CDCl₃): § 14.11, 17.88, 18.10, 33.64, 37.69, 60.63, 72.33, 123.80, 135.30, 171.65. EIMS: m/e 143, 130, 97, 73, 43. HRMS (M+NH₄) calcd for C₁₀H₂₂O₃N 204.1594, found 204.1597.

(+)-(3R,4S,5S)-6-Methyl-5-hydroxy-3,4-epoxy-heptanoate (7)

To a stirred so lu tion of $\mathbf{6}$ (136 mg, 0.73 mmol) in an hydrous ben zene (5 mL at 5°C un der Ar at mo sphere, was added VO(acac)₂ 10 mg (0.04 mmol). Af ter the re ac tion mix ture

was stirred for 10 min utes at 5 °C, tert-butylhydroperoxide 0.5 mL (1.46 mmol) was added dropwise and the re sult ing mix ture was stirred for 12 h at the room tem per a ture. The quenching was made by adding a saturated solution of NaHCO₃, fol lowed by ex trac tion with ben zene. Then the organic layer was washed with sat u rated so lu tion of Na₂S₂O₃, wa ter and then brine, and dried over MgSO₄. After evap o ration of the sol vent, the res i due was subjected to silica gel column chro ma tog ra phy (pe tro leum: EtOAc, 4:1) to give a 7.5:1 mix ture of α -epoxide 7 (79 mg, 54%, 88% de) and β -epoxides (11 mg, 7%, 12% de) as a col or less oil. Com pound 7: $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ $+8.0^{\circ}$ (c 2.0 CH₂Cl₂). IR (film): v = 414, 2971, 1733, 1467,1373, 1235, 1026 cm⁻¹. 1 H NMR (200 M, CDCl₃): δ 0.96 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.6 Hz,3H), 1.60-1.71 (m, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J = 5.6, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, J = 5.8, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dd, J = 2.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.30(ddd, J = 2.2, 6.0, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J = 5.0, 6.6 Hz, 1H),4.20 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (50 M, CDCl₃): δ 14.14, 18.28, 19.55, 29.91, 32.37, 37.04, 52.18, 60.47, 71.23, 169.53. EIMS: m/e 159, 131, 117, 85, 71, 43. HRMS (M+NH₄) calcd for $C_{10}H_{22}O_4N$ 220.1543, found 220.1539.

(+)-(3*R*,4*S*,5*S*)-6-Methyl-5-acetoxy-3,4-epoxy-heptanoate (8)

To a so lu tion of 7 (83 mg, 0.41 mmol) in pyridine (1 mL) was added acid anhydride (63 mg, 0.62 mmol) and DMAP (3 mg, 0.02 mmol); the re ac tion mix ture was stirred for 12 h at room temper a ture. The mix ture was ex tracted with EtOAc and then the or ganic layer was washed with aque ous 10% NaOH, 5% HCl, H₂O and brine, re spec tively, then dried over MgSO₄. Af ter re moval of the sol vent, the res i due was subjected to silicagel column chromatog raphy (petrolum: EtOAc, 8:1) to fin ish **8** (74 mg, 73%) as a buff oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ $+11.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.1 CH₂Cl₂). IR (film): V = 2971, 2925, 1739, 1467,1373, 1235, 1183, 1026 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃): δ 0.96 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (t, J =7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.96-2.02 (m, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.52 (dd, J = 5.3, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, J = 5.8, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dd, J =1.8, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (ddd, J = 1.8, 6.0, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (q,J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 M, CDCl₃): \(\delta \) 14.06, 18.25, 18.60, 20.80, 28.96, 37.08, 52.53, 57.83, 60.88, 75.95, 169.73, 170.22. EIMS: *m/e* 201, 159, 131, 117, 85, 71, 43.

(+)-(3R,4R,5S)-4-(1-acetoxy-isopropyl)-3-Hydroxy-butyrolactone (9)

To a stirred so lu tion of **8** (109 mg, 0.45 mmol) in an hydrous CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) at 0°C un der Ar at mo sphere, was added camphosulfic acid (11 mg, 0.045 mmol). The re ac tion mix-

ture was stirred for 24 h at room temper a ture. The quench ing was made by add ing a sat u rated so lu tion of NaHCO₃, followed by ex trac tion with CH₂Cl₂, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to give the crude prod uct puri fied by sil ica gel column chro ma tog raphy (pe tro leum:EtOAc, 4:1), yielded a colorless gum **9** (56 mg, 63%, 99%de). [α]_D²⁶ +5.6° (c 0.7 CH₂Cl₂). IR (film): ν = 3414, 2964, 1783, 1743, 1378, 1235, 1178, 1073 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃): δ 97 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.70-1.95 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.49 (dd, J = 1.6, 18.6 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (dd, J = 7.2, 18.5 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 3.7, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (dd, J = 1.7, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (dd, J = 1.6, 7.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 M, CDCl₃): δ 18.16, 18.87, 29.92, 35.92, 70.34, 85.84, 170.19,175.17. EIMS: m/e 173, 155, 1 43, 84, 43. HRMS (M+NH₄) calcd for C₁₀H₂₂O₅N 234.1336, found 234.1336.

(+)-(5*S*)-6-Methyl-5-*tert*-butyldimethyl-siloxy-3-heptenoate (10)

To a so lu tion of 6 (350 mg, 1.88 mmol) in an hy drous DMF (3 mL) at r.t. un der Ar at mo sphere, were added Et₃N (1.44 mL), TBDMCl (340 mg, 2.26 mmol) and DMAP (12 mg, 0.094 mmol). The mix ture was stirred 6 hours at r.t., quenched with a saturated so lution of NH₄Cl (1.5 mL) and diluted with Et₂O. The or ganic layer was washed with H₂O and brine, dried over MgSO₄ and con cen trated to give the crude product purified by silicagel column chromatography (petroleum:EtOAc, 16:1), yielded a col or less oil **10** (463 mg, 82%). $[\alpha]_{\rm p}^{20} + 9.6^{\circ}$ (c 1.7 CH₂Cl₂). IR (film): $\gamma = 2922$, 1733, 1626, 1405, 1381, 1158, 1072, 1023 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (200 M, CDCl₃): δ 0.04 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.83 (brs, 3H), 0.86 (brs, 3H), 090 (s, 12H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.63-1.70 (m, 1H), 3.04-3.09 (m, 2H), 3.80 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.2Hz, 2H), 5.54-5.62 (m, 2H). EIMS: m/e 243, 213, 185, 143, 130, 117, 97, 73, 43.

(+)-(3S,4R,5S)-4-(1-tert-butyldimethylsiloxy-isopropyl)-3-hydroxy-butyrolactone (11)

To com pound **10** (208 mg, 0.68 mmol) was added a mix ture of t-BuOH (3.5 mL), H_2O (3.5 mL), AD-mix β (966 mg, 0.69 mmol), and methanesulfonyl am ide (66 mg, 0.69 mmol). The so lu tion was stirred for 72 hours at 0 °C. Af ter the addition of saturated Na_2SO_3 (1.0 g, 0.69 mmol), the mixture was stirred for 40 min utes and di luted with EtOAc. The or ganic layer was washed with H_2O and brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to give the crude product purified by silica gel column chromatog raphy (petro leum: EtOAc, 4:1), yielded a color less fis sile crystal **11** (82 mg, 45%). $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +7.0° (c 1.9 CH₂Cl₂). IR (film): \forall = 3467, 2956, 2930, 2856, 1770, 1754, 1469, 1389, 1252, 1128, 1065, 841 cm⁻¹. 1 H

NMR (400 M, CDCl₃): δ 0.14 (s, 3H), 0.20 (s, 3H), 0.91(s, 12H), 1.04 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 3H), 2.03-2.08 (m, 1H), 2.59 (brd, 1H), 2.69 (dd, J = 4.8, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (dd, J = 1.9, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33-4.35 (m, 1H), 4.71 (dd, J = 1.8, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, OH). ¹³C NMR (100 M, CDCl₃): δ 18.10, 19.02, 19.19, 25.82, 31.48, 40.48, 69.99, 81.31, 175.33. EIMS: m/e 287, 245, 231, 201, 187, 171, 159, 147, 129, 117, 113, 101, 25, 43. HRMS (M+NH₄) calcd for C $_{14}$ H $_{32}$ O₄NSi 306.2095, found 306.2099.

(-)-(3*R*,4*S*,5*S*)-4-(1-*tert*-butyldimethylsiloxy-isopropyl)-3-hydroxy-butyrolactone (12)

Com pound **12** was pre pared in the same method as **11**, ob tained a col or less fis sile crys tal in yield 51%. [α]_D²⁰ -14.0° (c 1.0 CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (200 M, CDCl₃): δ 0.13 (s, 3H), 0.19 (s, 3H), 0.90 (s, 12H), 1.04 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 3H), 1.99-2.10 (m, 1H), 2.61-2.69 (m, 2H), 4.07 (dd, J = 3.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.31-4.35 (m, 1H), 4.69-4.71 (m, 1H), 4.96 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, OH). ¹³C NMR (50 M, CDCl₃): δ 18.10, 19.02, 19.22, 25.82, 31.49, 40.48, 70.01, 81.29, 175.33. IR, EIMS and HRMS were same as com pound **11**.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the Natural Science Foundation of China (20272020) and Special Research Grant for Doctor Sites in Chinese University.

Received January 2, 2003.

REFERENCES

- (a) Schelewer, G.; Stampf, J. L.; Benezra, C. J. Med. Chem.
 1980, 23, 1031. (B) Niwa, M.; Iguchi, M.; Yamamura, S. Tetrahe dron Lett.
 1975, 49, 4395. (c) Papathanasopoulos, N.; Laz a rus, H.; Foley, G. E.; Mod est, E. J. J. Med. Chem.
 1974, 17, 672. (d) Lee, K. H.; Ibuka, R. Y.; Geissman, T. A. Phytochemistry
 1977, 16, 1177. (e) Kupchan, S. M.; Eakin, M. A.; Thomas, A. M. J. Med. Chem.
 1971, 14, 1147. (f) Nair, V.; Sinhababu, A. K. J. Org. Chem.
 1980, 45, 1893.
- 2. Slob, A.; Jekel, B.; Jong, B. Phytochemistry 1975, 14, 1997.
- (a) Niwa, M.; Iguchi, M.; Yamamura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 49, 1539. (b) Takeda, K.; Sakurawi, K.; Ishii, H. Tetrahedron 1972, 28, 3757. (c) Martines, J. C. V.; Yoshida, M.; Gottlieb, O. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 12, 1021. (d) Barbier, P.; Benezra, C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2705. (e) Rollinson, S. W.; Amos, R. A.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J.

- Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4114.
- 4. (a) Koch, S. S. C.; Chemberlin, A. R. In Enantiomerically Pure \(\gamma - Butyrolactones \) in Natural Products Synthesis; Atta-ur-Rahman, Ed.; Elsevier Sci ence: Am ster dam, 1995; pp 686-725. (b) Xu, M. H.; Wang, W.; Xia, L. J.; Lin, G. Q. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3953.
- 5. Maldonado, E.; Bello, M.; Villasenor, J. L.; Ortega, A. Phytochemistry 1998, 49, 1115.
- 6. Wang, J. X.; Zheng, S. Z.; Sun, L. P.; Shen, X. W.; Li, Y. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2002, 49, 437.
- 7. Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5974.
- 8. Caldwell, C. G.; Bondy, S. S. Synthesis 1990, 1, 34.
- 9. (a) Hassner, A.; Alexanian, V. TetrahedronLett. 1978, 46, 4475. (b) Hagiwara, H.; Uda, H. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53,

- 2308.
- 10. Pak, C. S.; Lee, E.; Lee, G. H. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1523.
- 11. (a) Nacro, K.; Baltas, M.; Escudier, J. M.; Gorrichon, L. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 659. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Prasad, C. V. C.; Somers, P. K.; Hwang, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5330.
- 12. (a) Henbest, H. B.; Wil son, R. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 1958. (b) Itoh, T.; Jitsukawa, K.; Kaneda, K.; Teranishi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 162.
- 13. Wang, Z. M.; Zhang, X. L.; Sharpless, K. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6407.
- 14. Marmor, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2901.
- 15. Gorthey, L. A.; Vairamani, M.; Djerassi, C. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 1511.