### ARETHUSA MONOGRAPHS X

## IBN AŢ-ŢAYYIB

# PROCLUS' COMMENTARY ON THE PYTHAGOREAN GOLDEN VERSES

### **Arabic Text and Translation**

by

**Neil Linley** 

B241 .C373 I2613 1984

### ARETHUSA MONOGRAPHS X

## IBN AŢ-ŢAYYIB

# PROCLUS' COMMENTARY ON THE PYTHAGOREAN GOLDEN VERSES

**Arabic Text and Translation** 

by

**Neil Linley** 

Gift of L.G. Westerink Collection (donated by John M. Duffy)

Department of Classics

State University of New York at Buffalo

Buffalo, New York 14260



The author of this monograph, Neil Linley (born April 8, 1946), received his B.A. degree in Classics from Selwyn College, Cambridge, England (1967), and his M.A. also from the University of Cambridge (1972). He spent four and a half years, from 1970 to 1974, in the Near East, first in Libya, then in Saudi Arabia, teaching English to Arabic speaking students. From 1974 to 1978 he was a graduate student in the Department of Classics in the State University of New York at Buffalo. In the following years most of his time was devoted to his thesis project, the edition and translation of the Arabic text published in the present monograph. He died on June 23, 1982, only a few weeks before his planned thesis defense. The degree of Doctor of Philosophy was awarded posthumously by the State University of New York at Buffalo.

If Dr. Linley had lived to prepare this monograph for publication, he would have added at least the elements of a commentary, containing in particular the principal references to parallel passages in Greek texts. Under the circumstances, however, it was decided not to interfere with the work, apart from minor editorial adjustments, so that it should remain entirely Dr. Linley's contribution — and a very worthwhile one — to classical and Arabic scholarship. The Arabic text is a facsimile of Dr. Linley's handwritten copy.

Because the Department of Classics had no one competent to deal with a work in Arabic, Professor George F. Hourani, of the Department of Philosophy, very kindly undertook the task of thesis supervisor. The Department of Classics wants to express its gratitude to him and to the outside reader, Professor Franz Rosenthal (Yale), for their encouraging interest in the project and for many helpful suggestions; and to Dr. Gabriel Lahood, who besides eliminating some grammatical and orthographical anomalies, did a final collation of the Arabic text with the photocopies of the manuscript.

L. G. Westerink Editor, Arethusa Monographs

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1. Manuscript

The present edition is based upon the text preserved in MS 888 in the Arabic collection of the Escorial Library in Madrid. The title-page of the manuscript reads: kitāb an-nukat wat-timār at-tibbīya wal-falsafīya: "Book of Medical and Philosophical Gists and Fruits."

As for a date for the manuscript, F. Rosenthal<sup>1</sup> has noted two dated owner's marks on the title-page (the earliest 722/1322).

The manuscript consists of fourteen sections, of which the present text, the eighth section, occupies folios 91a to 114a. An incomplete list of the contents of the manuscript may be found in Brockelmann.<sup>2</sup>

One section only of the manuscript has been edited to date; the final section, dealing with the *Tabula Cebetis*, is treated by Rosenthal.<sup>3</sup>

The manuscript is clearly and carefully written, in a naskhī hand. Words omitted from the body of the text are inserted, vertically or horizontally, in the margin, and an omission-sign is inserted in the text, at the point at which the omission occurs.

As was the custom, the diacritics used in Arabic to distinguish between the phonetic values of consonants having the same basic written form (for example  $\mathbf{z}$ ,  $\mathbf{j}$ ,  $\mathbf{j}$ ,  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\mathbf{h}$ ) are frequently omitted, nor is altogether consistent use made of the sign of 'ihmāl, which indicates that diacritics are absent; this sign, a small subscript  $\mathbf{h}$ ā' (for use with jim,  $\mathbf{h}$ ā', and  $\mathbf{k}$  $\mathbf{h}$ ā') or 'ayn (to distinguish between 'ayn and  $\mathbf{g}$ hayn) is occasionally used by the scribe when in fact a diacritic should have been present (or must be read as present).

A further feature of the manuscript is the tendency of hamza to disappear, and this happens, generally speaking, in one of two ways; when

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Symbolism of the Tabula Cebetis," in Recherches d'Islamologie, Bibliothèque Philosophique de Louvain 26 (Louvain, 1978), pp. 274-83.

Geschichte der arabischen Literatur, 2nd ed. (Leiden, 1943-1949) I 635, and Supplementbände (Leiden, 1937-1942) I 884.

Op. cit., pp. 277-83.

the hamza is in final position, in which it lacks a bearer, it disappears entirely. For example:

| سوء     | becomes | سو     | (58.2) |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| ىپئىي   | becomes | ىثنى   | passim |
| للفرباء | becomes | للفربا | (4.7)  |
| الآباء  | becomes | الأيا  | (10.7) |

Otherwise, in the case of medial hamza, some alteration takes place; the original bearer of hamza usually recovers its vocalic or consonantal value (so that أ becomes ا, غ becomes ا و ساء , at 52.4 — and أ أناع becomes consonantal y). In cases where medial hamza could correctly be written without a bearer, the position disappears, as for example الرابية becomes الرابية becomes الرابية becomes الرابية (56.5) and الرابية becomes الرابية becomes الرابية (54.8).

In the section devoted to numerology (76.9-80.9) 'Zeus' is rendered (78.5); it may be better to read this as (Zāwš), as this was a common Arabic rendering of the name. 'Athene' becomes ('Aθηνᾶ), demonstrating an exact correspondence (*ibid.*); in the same sentence, the deity symbolized by the number seven is named as ,

which may well have been, as Dr Rosenthal suggested to me, بلون , or perhaps المون , that is ᾿Απόλλων, a perfectly acceptable rendering.

The allocation of the number three to Athene is puzzling, as Athene was normally associated with seven: because she was ἀμήτωρ and παρθένος, seven was the one number out of the decad which best suited her, 6 on the ground that of the numbers from one to ten, no two numbers can be combined by multiplication to generate seven, nor can seven combine by multiplication to produce any number that has the value ten or less. Hence, although in Iamblichus, at any rate, Apollo is nowhere found as an epithet of seven, 7 he is not ruled out as the name behind  $\vec{\lambda}$ , as the allocation of three to Athene is also unattested by Iamblichus.

Another imported word of great interest occurs in three forms in the text (two are apparently derived from an original importation kumr). At 20.3 the are said to be the servants or ministers of the demons. At 94.7 the same word is used adjectivally to describe a nature that is present within us, equated by the author with the Pythagorean  $\theta \epsilon \tilde{n}$  ov  $\gamma \epsilon vo c$  (verse 63), and identified with the intellect. This word does not appear in the standard lexicons. Dr Rosenthal has kindly pointed out to me that the plural form found at 20.3 may go back to "a pagan priest," derived from Aramaic  $kumr\bar{a}$ ,8 and which appears in Christian Arabic. The adjectival form occurring at 94.7 may have been generated by the present author.

#### 2. The Author

Brockelmann (GAL I<sup>2</sup> 635) provides the following identification:

Abu 'l-Farağ 'Abdallāh b. aṭ-Ṭayyib al-'Irāqī was Secretary to Catholicos Elias I, physician and teacher at the 'Adud Hospital in Baghdad; he died 435/1043.

In Suppl. I 884 he is named identically, except that  $al-\tilde{G}a\underline{th}al\bar{t}q$  is added to the name.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cf. Galeni Compendium Timaei Platonis, edd. P. Kraus, R. Walzer (London, 1951), (Plato Arabus, I), text p. μ.

P. Kunitzsch, "Zeus in Bagdad. Zu einem Gedicht von Abū Nuwās," in Diem and Wild (edd.), Studien aus Arabistik und Semitisik (Wiesbaden, 1980), pp. 99-113.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> [Iamblichus] Theologoumena Arithmeticae, 54.11 de Falco.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Op. cit. Index (Epitheta Numerorum), pp. 89, 90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Hollady's Concise Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament (Leiden, 1973), gives

<sup>&</sup>quot; במרים pl. פמרים: priest (of pagan gods)."

Graf<sup>9</sup> furnishes a full account of ibn at-Ṭayyib's life, literary output and teaching activity. The following account is given of his life:

Abu 'l-Farağ 'Abdallāh ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib al 'Irāqī, philosopher, physician, monk and priest in one person, worked and wrote during the first half of the eleventh century. The following dates in his life are confirmed:

'Abdallāh ibn at-Tayyib studied medicine and was already practising it in 1015/16 (406 H.) at the hospital named after its founder, 'Adud ad-Daula. He was Patriarchal Secretary under Catholicos Yūḥannā ibn Nāzūk (1012-1022), was held in the highest esteem by the new ruler of Baghdad and of all Iraq, Galāl ad-Daula, and chaired the electoral council that elected Elias (I) to the position of Catholicos (1028-1049). As the latter's secretary, in 1028, he prepared the ecclesiastical approval for the account of Elias of Nisibis on his "seven sessions." Ibn at-Tayyib died at the end of October 1043 and was buried in the church at Dartā.

#### 3. The Pythagorean Χρυσᾶ ἔπη in the Arabic Tradition

The Pythagorean poem known as the Χρυσᾶ ἔπη reached the Arabs in the form of a literal translation. Professor M. Ullmann, in an unpublished work (Diss. Munich 1959), collates a number of Arabic versions of the text. These versions may be regarded as copies of a single authoritative translation with some variants of a minor nature.

One Arabic version has been translated<sup>10</sup> into German, and thence into English.<sup>11</sup> On the whole, the standard of translation is quite high,

although at times the result is flat and obscure: τούς τε καταχθονίους . . . δαίμονας (verse 3), for example, comes out as عيّر الأرض, that is "inhabitants of the earth," and occasionally a gloss is resorted to.

Mullach<sup>12</sup> reports that the *interpres arabs* presents the reading ἀθάνατον . . . θεόν in place of the more regular plural, in verse 1. Judging only from the section of the present commentary which deals with the first verse, it is tempting to suppose either that the version of verse 1 having the singular form instead of the plural was in fact used by ibn at-Tayyib, or that he himself made the adjustment, possibly on the grounds that Muslim readers would find a single deity far more congenial, at least at the outset of the exposition, than the multiplicity of gods, which does, however, reappear at later stages in the work.

#### 4. Two Arabic Commentaries on the Χρυσᾶ ἔπη.

Ibn at-Tayyib's commentary on the Χρυσᾶ ἔπη shows not the slightest sign of dependence upon the standard Arabic translation of the poem. Whenever he needs to quote verses, he does so by means of what, in the absence of indications to the contrary, must be taken as his own paraphrase of the Greek original.<sup>13</sup>

This independence becomes the more impressive when one consults another Arabic commentary on the Χρυσᾶ ἔπη, preserved at Princeton (MS Garrett 308, ff. 303b - 308b), dated 677/1278-1279, and attributed in the title to Iamblichus. In this case, the commentary is based upon lemmata which, as quoted in the text, are identical with the standard Arabic version presented by Ullmann, with one significant exception:<sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Geschichte der Christlichen Arabischen Litteratur, II (Vatican, 1947), pp. 160ff.

By F. Rosenthal, in Das Fortleben der Antike im Islam (Artemis: Zürich, 1965), pp. 165-68.

In The Classical Heritage in Islam (a translation by E. and J. Marmorstein of Rosenthal's Fortleben), (Berkeley, 1975), pp. 118-120.

<sup>12</sup> See below p. viii, n. 18.

<sup>13</sup> One interesting case, possibly an exception, arises in his treatment of verse 36 καὶ πεφύλαξό γε ταῦτα ποιεῖν, ὁπόσα φθόνον ἴσχει, where φθόνος "envy" is rendered in the commentary by . Unfortunately, it appears that, at some stage, this word came to be read, not as .... "envy" (with 'ihmāl of the ḥā'), but as .... "body," since the commentary on this verse (ff. 105a-105b) has more to do with the body than it has with envy.

At this point it may be stated, in answer to a question raised by G. Endress (*Proclus Arabus* [Beirut 1973], p. 27), that the contents of the Escorial and Princeton MSS are certainly not identical; whether they ultimately go back to the same Greek source it has been impossible to determine on the basis of a preliminary examination of the 'Iamblichus' commentary.

#### 5. Ibn at-Tayyib's Commentary, Hierocles and Proclus

Mention has been made elsewhere in this introduction of the fact that the scribe who copied Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib's commentary was uneasy when confronted with Greek names. The name of Proclus occurs twice in the commentary, once in the title — tafsīr Brqls "Proclus' commentary" — and again at the very end of the text.

This has raised an extremely interesting question, namely, whether there ever was a commentary on the Χρυσᾶ ἔπη by Proclus, and whether Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib may have had access to it, where we have not; or whether Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib's commentary "is a misattribution, due to the misreading of Buruklus for the less known Neoplatonist Hierocles (which can be easily explained)." <sup>15</sup>

The explanation hinted at by Walzer depends precisely upon the vicissitudes suffered by Greek names at the hands of Arab scribes: in our manuscript, we are presented with the word  $\mathcal{L}=\mathrm{Brqls}$  (i.e., Πρόκλος); if, however, we had been presented with , differing from the above by the addition of a single dot, this would equal Yrqls, which is a reasonably adequate rendering of Ἱεροκλῆς. Since Greek names are frequently hard to identify when transplanted into Arabic, the question whether this is a case of misattribution, or misunderstanding of a relatively uncommon name — that of Hierocles — for a commoner one — that of Proclus — becomes the more pressing.

There are, in Arabic sources, references to a commentary by Proclus; an-Nadīm mentions, in his section on Proclus,  $^{16}$  a commentary on the Xpuoã  $\xi\pi\eta$ :

## كتاب تفسير وصايا فيثاغورس الذهبية فومائة

Noteworthy, however, is the fact (pointed out to me by Professor Westerink) that both commentaries mention the Pythagoreans' use of music, which is not mentioned by Hierocles, although both Iamblichus (V.P. 110) and Porphyry (V.P. 30) refer to it.

The exception to the general fidelity, in the 'Iamblichus' text, to the text furnished by Ullmann, occurs at 307r. 5ff., where the 'lemma' is completely different from the standard form.

# ورقة ويوصد سرياني علم لابنته وكان ثابت نقل منه ثلثة أوراق ثم توفى ولم يتمة

[Book of] commentary on the Golden Exhortations of Pythagoras, in about 100 folios; there is also a Syriac version; he made it for his daughter. Thābit translated three pages of it, and then died, without having completed it.

As was stated earlier, the question of the relationship between Ibn at-Tayyib's commentary and that of Hierocles was originally raised by Walzer. Hierocles' commentary<sup>17</sup> presents the matters raised in the Χρυσᾶ ἕπη in such a way as to make them suitable preparatory material for the student's progression towards the more exacting disciplines of Logic, Physics and Theology:

Ταῦτα δέ (ζωῆς ἀνθρωπίνης κάθαρσις καὶ τελειότης, sc. φιλοσοφία) πέφυκεν ἀρετὴ καὶ ἀλήθεια μάλιστα ἀπεργάζεσθαι, ἡ μὲν τὴν ἀμετρίαν τῶν παθῶν ἐξορίζουσα, ἡ δὲ τὸ θεῖον είδος τοῖς εὐφυῶς ἔχουσι προσκτωμένη. δεῖ οὐν πρὸς ταύτην τὴν ἔπιστήμην, τὴν μέλλουσαν ἡμᾶς καθαροὺς καὶ τελείους ποιεῖν, κανόνας ἔχειν τινὰς ἐν βραχεῖ διωρισμένους, οἰον ἀφορισμούς τινας τεχνικούς, ὅπως ἄν ἐν τάξει καὶ εὐμεθόδως πρὸς τὸ τῆς εὐζωΐας τέλος ἀφικώμεθα. τῶν δὲ τοιούτων κανόνων τῶν πρὸς τὴν ὁλην φιλοσοφίαν συντεινόντων τὰ Πυθαγορικὰ ἔπη τὰ οὕτως ἐπικαλούμενα Χρυσᾶ ἐν πρώτοις ἂν θείημεν εὐλόγως.

Now, virtue and truth are especially disposed to effectuate these things (the purification and perfection of human life, sc. philosophy). Virtue does this by banishing the excess of the passions, while

<sup>15</sup> R. Walzer, in Encyclopaedia of Islam, I (New Edition, Leiden, 1960), s.v. Buruklus.

Fihrist, ed. G. Flügel (Leipzig, 1871/2), p. 252; cf. al-Qifti, Ta'rikh al-Ḥukamā' 39.10 and Ḥājji Khalifah, Kashf az-Zunūn 2012b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Ed. Mullach (Berlin, 1853), superseded by Köhler (Teubner: Stuttgart, 1974).

truth provides the divine form as an addition to those already well-formed by nature. We must accordingly have certain canons, briefly defined, like technical rules, for this knowledge that will make us pure and perfect, so that we might reach the goal of the good life in an orderly and methodical fashion. In first place among such canons directed towards philosophy as a whole, we would justifiably rank the Pythagorean verses that are given the epithet "Golden."

The impression given throughout the commentary of Hierocles is that it was composed specifically as a tool for beginners, using the Pythagorean poem as a text upon which to base a preparatory ethical treatise, and avoiding questions of Theology and of Philosophy.

Whatever original work lies behind the commentary of Ibn at-Tayyib, or even if, as may be, the work is the product of his own inspiration at least in part, there is no indication of any awareness that Ethics, and ethical texts, should properly be only the groundwork for further study in Philosophy; if such a limitation on the subject matter were recognized by the writer, one might expect him to avoid touching upon Metaphysics, which he does not. There is nowhere the idea that beginners only are being addressed; the pedagogic manner sometimes adopted is appropriate enough, when one considers that readers in Eleventh-Century Baghdad, even, it is likely, members of a circle such as Ibn at-Tayyib's own, would have found specific doctrines and definitions of Pythagorean, Platonic, or Neoplatonic philosophy unfamiliar, although, as Muslims, they would have found the emphatically monotheistic tone which surfaces in places in the commentary quite congenial.<sup>18</sup>

In the commentary of Ibn at-Tayyib, questions of Theology and Metaphysics are touched upon, and there is no indication that these are restricted matters. The soul's apprehension of God, even though it is referred to metaphorically (as a "glimpse"), is out of place in Ethics: further, the metaphysical construct, the triad Being — Life — Intelligence which occurs at 12.6-7, belongs with Philosophy, not with Ethics, as does the triad Soul — Body — Possessions of 48.8-50.6, although the latter triad here has ethical relevance, as illustrating the varying degrees of value exhibited by the elements of the triad in relation to human life.

As for the triad Being — Life — Intelligence, its occurrence here does not furnish evidence that a lost commentary by Proclus may have formed the basis for Ibn aṭ-Tayyib's work. While it is true that Proclus' system employs the triad, 19 it is equally true that the same triad is found so frequently in later Neoplatonic writers that it may be said to have become a commonplace; further, there is some evidence to suggest that its development as an analysis of Being may have begun very early. 20

Of interest here is the striking divergence between verse 1 and the commentary, which substitutes the singular "Allāh" for the Greek plural. Mullach (p. 3, note on verse 1) says: 'Eandem lectionem fortasse exprimit interpres arabs, cuius verba sic latine reddidit Joh. Elichmannus . . . "Primum eorum quae tibi praecipio post timorem Dei ter optimi maximi, ut reverenter habeas eos quibus non decreta est mors a Deo quique eius sunt amici, ut illis honorem exhibeas eum, quem approbat religio."' ('The Arabic interpreter perhaps expresses the same reading; J. Elichmann has rendered his words into Latin as follows: "First of all those things to which I enjoin you, after the fear of God thrice best and greatest, is that you hold in veneration those for whom God has not decreed death, and also those who are His friends, so that you might show to Them the honor commended by religion."') This shift, from plural to singular, which Ibn at-Tayyib exhibits, may help to explain the at times bewildering alternation in the commentary between Allāh "God" and al-'ālihah "gods"; he may be trying to reconcile polytheism with the preferences of a monotheistic audience.

at 78.5 he is stated to possess exclusive unity ( is normally applied only to God), and yet at 92.10 he is declared to be "the one unique Father" and "father of souls." Although his (divine) unity would allow him to be identified with Allāh, his paternal function would not: Qur 'ān, Sūra 112, states that "(Allāh) has not begotten, nor was He begotten."

Proclus, Elements of Theology, ed. E. R. Dodds (Oxford, 1933 [repr. 1963]), propositions 101-103, and expecially Dodds' notes pp. 252-253; for its frequency in the Platonic Theology, see Saffrey and Westerink, Théologie Platonicienne, Vol. I (Paris, 1968), pp. LXV-LXVI.

Dodds, pp. 252-253; for a case for the part of the triad in Porphyry, see P. Hadot, Porphyre et Victorinus (Paris, 1968), especially chapter IV.

B .C I2 1!

It is interesting to note that the anecdote attributed at 38.3-4 to Theano: "If I were not angry, I would beat you," was also attributed to Plato, as well as to others. Diogenes Laertius<sup>21</sup> reports the anecdote in Greek as "μεμαστίγωσο ἄν . . . εἰ μὴ ἀργιζόμην." It appears that the ascription of this anecdote to Theano is found only in a work of Proclus, surviving (in William of Moerbeke's translation) under the title *De decem dubitationibus circa providentiam*, <sup>22</sup> where it runs (p. 86 Boese):

Et Theana illa ad ministram dixit: si non essem irata, verberarem utique te.<sup>23</sup>

To conclude, there is no positive ground to support the view that Ibn at-Tayyib had access to a commentary by Proclus on the Χρυσᾶ ἔπη, and made use of it as a basis for his own work. Until such time as further material is forthcoming, it can be said simply that the tone, compass and atmosphere of Hierocles' work do not immediately invite the suggestion that his work formed a basis for Ibn at-Tayyib's commentary; there is a thoroughly reverential atmosphere developed and sustained by Ibn at-Tayyib which may stem from a Greek original, but hardly from Hierocles. Proclus did, however, generate a reverential tone which is not discordant with Ibn at-Tayyib here; yet on the grounds of all the material contained in Ibn at-Tayyib's commentary, the only yield as to the question of its authorship is that Walzer's suggestion (p. vi, above) must be abandoned. The text as here reproduced provides no justification for assuming a palaeographical error as the cause of its attribution to Proclus, nor any convincing grounds for upholding its attribution to Hierocles.

#### 6. The Present Text and Translation

The major difficulty in editing this text, apart from the problem, outlined elsewhere in this introduction, of the lack of specific identification of

words through lack of diacritics that may be relied upon, has been the availability of only one manuscript.

Increasing familiarity with the author's work, specifically in this text, though in other manuscript works also, enabled me to achieve reasonable certainty as to the identity of a word in cases where ambiguity existed: either the pointing for such words has been inserted into the text as it is here reproduced, or the word has been written without diacritics, in the critical apparatus or in the body of the text, to indicate that in my opinion some ambiguity still remains; in the latter case, I have tried to indicate the ambiguity.

There are one or two instances in the text of dittography; these have been relatively easy to recognize and to rectify; I have called attention to them in the critical apparatus.

Where there are grounds to suspect an omission which has not been immediately and automatically correctible, I have simply indicated that something is missing, and have made no effort to 'restore' the lost word or words.

Wherever I have been shown solutions to problems beyond my skill, I have tried to record the suggested solution and its originator. Most helpful in this respect have been comments from Dr Rosenthal, who has apprised me of likely omissions to the text. Such omissions render the task of working with a unique copy of a manuscript extremely difficult; at certain points, in the present text, I can be sure only of an approximate result; there may be other points at which errors occur of which I am totally unaware.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> III 38, 39,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> A. S. Riginos, *Platonica* (Leiden, 1976), p. 156, records this and other ascriptions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> And the famous Theana said to her maid-servant: "If I were not angry, I would beat you."

В .C **I2** 19

91a

استثار الشيخ الفاضل أبي الفرج عبدالله بن الطيب لمقالة فيثاغورس المعروفة بالذهبية

تفسيئ برقلس

فيتاغورس من جزيرة سياموس ويقال إن الكهانة أنذرت بولده وإنه ولد من عذراء والكيانة هي إخبار ساوي من الإله فكان يجالس من الحكاء السبعة ثاليس ومضى إلى مصر والبحر وخالط الحكماء وتعلم الهندسة والأوضاع الكهانية وانتقل إلى بابل وفاوض المنجين والمجوس وفلاطن يقول إن تفسير المجوسية العادة لله وإن حكمته بلغت الغاية حتى

The learned Shaykh 'Abu '1-Faraj 'Abdullah ibn at-Tayyib: the essentials of the treatise of Pythagoras known as "the Golden." Proclus' commentary.

fol. 91a

Pythagoras was from the island of Samos. His birth is said to have been forecast by prophecy, and he is said to have been born of a virgin — "prophecy" is heavenly communication from God —; it is said that he kept company with Thales, another of the Seven Sages; that he went to Egypt and the River Nile, associated with the wise men, and learned geometry and the principles of prophecy; that he went also to Babylon, where he consulted the astrologers and the Magi — the meaning of "Magism," according to Plato, is the veneration of God —; it is said that his wisdom came to be

ا مسئل الله الرحن الرصيم - with which the text begins.

الدرت: Ullmann retains this (i.e. تابدرت).

5

صار إليه الحكاء من البلد، وبلغ في الرتبة من الحكمة إلى اطرّاح المال وعَل الإعباز وكان يأمر المتعلمين أن يسكتوا خس سنين شم يلقّنهم شيئا من الفلسفة والتعاليم وفي هذه المدّة كانوا يكسرون شهواتهم ويصلحون نفوسهم ويتأدبون الآداب الأخلافية وكانوا هؤلاء الفلاسفة يدمنون العمت لترجع عقولهم إلى ذواتها وتكونَ مفاوضتهم لها لا للغرباء وكان يعرض لهم إذا فاوضوا الفير أن يتطهروا تطهُّرُ مَن تدنس بانجداب عقله إلى خارج وكان أتباع فيتاغورس خو مائتين وطسين نفسا ومن المقدمين فيم كان أمبادقلس و في واضع الألفاظ الذهبية

such, that wise men of all countries came to him, and that he attained so advanced a stage of philosophy as to repudiate wealth and perform miracles.

He used to command his pupils to maintain silence for five years, whereupon he would teach them some philosophy and mathematics. During this period, they would curb | their appetites, 91b improve themselves spiritually, and undergo training in ethical conduct. These philosophers kept silence so as to allow their intellects to revert to their essential nature, and to prevent their discourse with themselves from reaching outsiders, and, should they hold converse with an outsider, they would have to purify themselves in the manner appropriate for one who had become polluted by having his intellect won over to something alien.

Prominent amongst Pythagoras' disciples — who numbered about two hundred and fifty — was Empedocles, the author of the Golden Sayings.

<sup>1</sup> امادماس 1 بالحداث أوالسالم 1

شعرا ويقول مرباعية الأسطقسات ويعتقد أن كمال التدبير الفلسفي فو تألُّهُ النفس وأن النفس إذا فارقت البدن تصير إلى الأثير وبفير دم وغير مائتة أمادقلس شديد التنسك ومُتُ الطهارة

وغرض الدُّلفاظ الذهبية أن تشوّق النفوس إلى كالها وطهارتها وتجعل الناس ناسا وتقودهم إلى السيرة الحسنة والكال يتم للإنسان بالفضيلة التي في الفاية والعلم اليقيني والسيرة الفاضلة وقال قوم ف ف الألفاظ الذهبية إنها المُرشدة إلى الحياة الإلهية والاقتداء بالله والترُّو من الهولي وشَّمت ذفيةً تشمها

Empedocles held that the elements were four. and believed that the regimen of philosophy reaches completion when the soul becomes divine, and that when the soul is separated from the body, it travels, bloodless and immortal, into the ether. Empedocles was a rigorous ascetic, and a lover of purity.

The object of the Golden Sayings is to inspire souls with longing for their perfection and purity, to make people human, and to guide them towards a proper way of life; man achieves perfection by means of absolute virtue, certain knowledge, and virtuous conduct. Some maintain that the Golden Sayings act as a guide towards divine life, the imitation of God, and liberation from matter.

They are called "golden" to make the comparison between their purity and that of gold. 92a

<sup>1</sup> Either \_g should be excised, or a verb (e.g. تبقي) supplied. نصير إلى الأثير .... ونكون : (Cf. 113a ( paraphrase of vv. 70,71 إلافيين غيرمائتن ويغير دم ولانقيل الموت

<sup>[</sup>اللالهية مع Rosenthal بالفضيلة [والفضيلة ] للناس

أوّل الوصايا الذهبية الإخبار بأن المعظّم أوّلا على فرائض السنة من غير المائتين هو الله فاللهُ تُعالى هو سبب جميع الموجودات وأصل كلّ الحيرات الموجودة في الكل ومَن هذه صفتُه فواجبٌ تعظيمه وتعظيم الإله يكون بالاعتقاد الصحيح فيه بفعل النير قُدَّامَه والاعتقاد الصحيح في الله أنه ضر ووالاب الخيرات وأنه ليس بملَّة للشرور وأنه لا بناله التفير لأنه في الغابة والتفير ائتقال من شيء إلى شيء وأنه العالم بالشيء على مقيقته من غير أن يشوب علمه كذبَّ فعُعل الخير ختلف جسب فاعله ومرتبته فلكل رتبة غر ما The first of the Golden Exhortations is the reminder that, among the immortals, the first to be honoured according to the statutes of the law is God, for God is the cause of all that exists and the source of all the good things that are present in the Totality, and whoever is characterized by this quality must necessarily be glorified.

The glorification of God is effected by true belief in Him, and by doing good before Him; the true belief about Him is that He is good, and the giver of good things; that He does not cause evil; that He is not susceptible to change, because He is absolute, while change is a transition from something to something else, and that He is the Knower of a thing as it truly is, with a knowledge uncontaminated by falsehood.

Doing good varies according to the doer and his station, and so for each different rank there are differences in sacrifices, incense, the use

<sup>1</sup> Admiratous pèr reporte deois, vopy às Siameites

<sup>2</sup> Típa

ا Ноияди (و) بفعل <sup>1</sup>

للأصرى من الذمائع والبخورات والخنزلام / والخ والأعياد وغيرها ويُتبع في ذلك وضع المسلاف وهذه في المسهاة سننا وإنا وُضِعت مُتلفة جسب اضتلاف عقول الناس ومذاهبه ومساكنهم فسنة أثينة أن يقربوا الخنزيرة والخر الممزوج وأهل مصر يمتنفون من تقريب الخنازير وهذه أصول أُخذت من هرمس وهو الآمر بأن يتبع الإنسان سنن الآباء والأسلاف ولا عضى مع الأشياء الغربية وجسب ميل كل أمّة من الناس ووضع أسلافهم اختلف وضع الحكاء لسنتم في القربان والأعياد والبخور ونسوا أوضاعهم إلى الآلهة ولهذا إذا طالفت أمّة من الأمم عادتها هلكت وأهل مصر واليونانيون طلطا عاداتها وبعض الأمم يرى الصلاة إلى الجنوب وبعضها إلى

of pigs and wine, and festivals, and so on, and

in this matter ancestral ruling is followed; this is what is meant by "law." The reason why the rulings

of the law differ is that they conform to the difference among the minds, beliefs and habitations

of men: the law of the Athenians was to sacrifice the pig | and to make offerings of diluted wine.

whereas the Egyptians refuse to sacrifice pigs. These are principles which were derived from

Hermes, who commanded man to abide by the laws of his fathers and ancestors, and to avoid alien

practices. In accordance with the disposition of

م اسبه أوالحر عنه supplevit Rosenthal. أوالحر

92b

each nation of mankind and its ancestral rule, the usages established by the wise men regarding sacrifice, festivals and incense vary, and they trace back these principles to the gods. This is why, if any nation transgresses against its own custom, it perishes.

The Egyptians and the Greeks mingled their customs together, while some peoples think it appropriate to direct prayer toward the south, others

<sup>[</sup> واليونانين أ

الشمال وبعضها إلى غير ذلك فالأوضاع السلاة تأمر بتعظيم الإله على حسب السنن التي وضعها الآباء من تقريب القرابئ والبخورات وجهات السجور وغير ذلك والقول فيه انه غير مائت معناه أن صاته لا انقفاء لها ولا يتقير ولا يفسد فالحياة الدائمة والوجود الدائم والعلم جميع الموجودات موجود له والحياة متوسطة بن الوجود والعلم فالشيء يكون موجودا وحيا وعالما لله لا يقود إليه نفعا لكن النفع يعود على المعظم له بأن لكمل ذاته وبكون متصرفا نحو الغابة الفضل 2 وكي أن أعيننا إذا نظرت إلى الشمس لا تغيدها نورا لكن تعصرُ يَنُو بِشُطِها هَكُذا تُعظيم الله لا يكسبه إعظاما لكن يفيد نفوسنا استنارةً وتعظيما وإعظام النفس ذاتها بأن لا to the north, and others in other directions, for the prescriptions of the law require the glorification of God in accordance with the regulations laid down by the ancestors concerning the offering of sacrifices, incense, the directions of prayer, and so forth.

The meaning of the statement that God is "immortal" is that He has endless life, is unchanging and undecaying, for He possesses everlasting life, everlasting existence and knowledge of all existing things; life is intermediate between existence and knowledge, so that a thing may exist, and live, and have knowledge.

The glorification of God does not bring any benefit to Him; rather the benefit accrues to the person glorifying Him, so that he brings himself to perfection, and will proceed towards the most excellent goal; just as, when our eyes look at the sun, they do not furnish it with light, but rather see by means of it, so to glorify God does not impart grandeur to Him; instead, it imparts illumination and exaltation to ourselves. The soul's exaltation of itself consists in its being impervious to faults

93a

ا Text not clear; in the margin: بيان: القرابين على على المنافقة على المنافقة المنا

<sup>?</sup> نبصر read : [ سفى أ

**93**5

.( I

تنفعل من الأدناس ولا تظلم بالأوساخ بل تتصرف بحسب النطق وتحياً صياة إلهية لا تدنس إلهيتها بل تعّد ببارتها يأمر بالتحدر من الأيان والأيان هي قول يقرن به الأمر الدُّفضل ليعضد قولا مدّعي ويشهد له بالصحة والأيان تخاف من قبل إضافة اسم الله إليها والله تعالى يكون الإنسان عنده مكرما والله عنده مخوف فلهذا بقع النبي عن اليمين به والتعظيم لله لأجل تصوّر الفكر لجلالته والخوف منه للخبرة به ولهذا يجب أن لا يعرض ذكره لما اتفق ولاعندأى شيء اتفق وخاصةً ق الشرور والأمور الدنية لأنه يقبح أن نجعله شاهدا على أفعالنا التي في شرور فهذا استخفاف جلاله وفهله وبينتقم من فاعلى هذا الفعل لتقصيرهم بالأمور الإلهية

and unobscured by filth, and in its acting according to reason, living a life of unalloyed divinity, and even becoming united with its creator.

He commands us to guard against oaths. Oaths are statements to which is attached that which is most excellent, so as to corroborate and witness the truthfulness of a claim. Oaths are held in awe because the name of God is added to them. Now God holds man in esteem, and is feared by man, which is why the prohibition of oaths in His name comes about.

God is glorified because thought can conceive of His majesty, whereas He is feared by virtue of experience of Him. Consequently, He must not be mentioned by name at any opportunity, nor in every chance context, and particularly not in evil things nor in connection with vile matters, since it would be shameful for us to make Him act as witness | to all our evil deeds; this would be to hold His majesty and excellence in contempt, and He has revenge upon those who act thus, since they have neglected divine matters.

93t

<sup>2</sup> καὶ σέβου ὅρκον 2 3

النيره ? ونشهد ؟ لنعضد ? نقرن ا vt saepe ا وتحى ا

وقد كان للقدماء أيان إلية والأمان إذا كانت صقيقية وجارية على ما ينبعي فالأمور يُحترس بها من قبل استدعاء الأمور الإلهية الشهادة والكذب في هذه الأيان يُبهد من الأمور الإلهية ويكون الإنسان بها عبدا لشرار الشياطين لطاعته لهم والأيان تكون على ضروب فاصعبها أيان الذين يخدمون الذبائح والأسرار الإلهية بألا يكشفوها للأصاس والهنت في هذه قبيم ومن بعد الأيانُ بالأمور المدنية العامية التي تكون من بعض المدن لبعض وذلك أن هذه يشهد بها أصحاب المدن والمتوجهون فيهم فععلون العقوبة للكذاب ومن بعد تكون في المعاملة الخاصية بين الناس والهادق في أيانه بكون فريدا من الله وبنال الخرات أقل بيته من يعده والأيان الإلهية جب أن يُحرِّس من في الأيام المُعظَّة

Nonetheless, the ancients did have divine oaths, and if oaths are genuine and proper, then the matters themselves will be made secure through them, because the divine realities have been invoked as witnesses. Falsehood in these oaths causes alienation from things divine, and through it a person is made a slave to the most evil devils for his having submitted to them.

Oaths are of various kinds: the most stringent amongst them are the oaths taken by those who perform sacrifices and administer the divine mysteries, that they will not divulge them to the impure; violating these oaths is an abomination.

Next are oaths relating to civil and public affairs, such as one city may make to another; this is because the rulers and officials of cities act as witnesses to them, and impose penalties for the perjuror.

Next are oaths occurring in private dealings between people; the man who is truthful in his oaths comes to be close to God, and his family secures benefits after him.

Care must be taken to avoid the use of divine oaths on those days which are revered.

الافيه [ سحرس [الافه

94a

2.3 (٥) رتبُ الأفاضل الذين لهم عناية جنسُ الناس 940 ثلث الإله صل اسمه والسكينات وايراوس هو المستولى والرئيس والسكنات في شدية بالآلية وخادمة لها ولا تخط إلى سيرة البشر وايراوس في النفوس التي جرت سيرتها مع البشر وبقيت معهم بفير دنس وكانت أسبابا لخيراتهم وبعد انصرافها تصير إلى الحق وتعتلى وتكون لاصطة للأمور الإلهية والسكنات قرسة للاتصال بالله ولهذا تُعظم تعظما كبيرا لقربها من الله وتُكُرم ويذبح له الذبائح ويكون ذلك دون ما يُفعل بالإله تعالى فايراوس تعظيمها من بعد ﴿مُوثِهِ ﴾ وفذه تستغيد التأله بالحياة التي لا يشوبها دنس وتقبل الرئاسة بعد فراقها لجنس النشى ويجالف التعظيم الهده التعظيم

The most excellent ranks, those who are concerned | with the human species, are three: God, the demons and the heroes.

God is the Master and the Supreme Being; the demons are similar to the gods, and serve them; they do not descend into human life. Heroes are souls which have passed lives as humans, and have remained with humans without becoming polluted, and were causes of their goods. After their departure, they go to the Truth, and are elevated, and glimpse the things that are divine.

The demons are near to being united with God, and are accordingly greatly glorified because of their closeness to God, and are held in honour, and have sacrifices offered to them. This, however, is lesser honour than that paid to God, while that paid to the heroes is less again. Heroes acquire divinity in lives which have been unblemished by pollution, and receive their leading status after their separation from mankind. The honour that is paid to them differs from

Erreiθ' ήρωας άγαυούς,

<sup>3</sup> Tous te kataxoovicus débe baipavas

<sup>1</sup> ستعدد [ كاولاء Haurani عنس أحبس

والسنة فضت للنفوس التي سيرتها فحافنا مخالفة لسيرة تلك 3 لهذه التعظيم يوما واحدا في السّنة ولايراوس في كل شه به ما فأما السكينات فتعظيمها دائم أواكريون الخادمون لها يترتبون طها٩ تحسب تربّها في الشرف السكينات اسم يقع على النفوس المفارقة الأبدان المتألمة في الفاية وموت أمثال فذه في سقوطها عن الحياة الإلبية وايراوس إشارة إلى النفوس أنضا المعارفة للأبدان التي كانت سم تها جميلة إلَّا أن مكان الذه أسعل ومكان تلك فوق ولحذه أيضا عنده مكرمة وإكراما بأن يُعتقد فيها أنها أبدية الوجود وأنها تُسيء وتحسن إلى من أصسى إليها وأساء في وجب لها التعظيم والبخور والتقريب وآل فشاع رس في اليوم الخامس عشر من كانون الأخير يوصون السغر البعيد إلى الموتى الأفاضل المصلاة على قبورهم وإن المجازاة تكون عن ذلك والإساءة إلى من خالفه من الله

The Pythagoreans enjoin long journeys to visit the most virtuous dead, and to pray over their graves, and lay it down that God gives rewards for this, and punishes those who do not do their duty.

that paid to souls whose conduct in this life differs from theirs. For these the law prescribes celebration for one day per year; for the heroes, the regulation is for one day's celebration per month, while for the demons perpetual celebration is ordained.

The priests who are the demons' attendants are ranked according to the status of the demons themselves.

"Demons" is a name which is applied to souls which are separated from bodies, and which have attained ultimate divinity; for these, death is the lapse from divine life.

"Heroes" refers also to souls separated from bodies, whose conduct has been good, except that these are located in a lower position than the demons. Pythagoras held the heroes in esteem also, and we honour them by believing them to be eternally existent, and by believing that they requite with evil or good whoever does harm or good to them. For them there are prescribed exaltation, incense and sacrifice on the twenty-fifth day of January.

<sup>3</sup> Ervoter bezwr.

<sup>[</sup>والاساه و الصلاة [واسا و اسي و الما ا

العلَّة في أمره بإكرام الآباء لأن لم نسبة الخالق والإله يسمّ أبا و فذا بأن نصرف الهمّة إلى مصالحهم وألّا جور عليه في لفظ ولا فعل وتعينهم بالنفس والبدن ونذكرهم بعد الوفاة بكل ذكر صس ولجعل طاعتم بعد طاعة الله 95a عليم خرقٌ الطبيعة والرتبة الأبوية وتجاوزه لرسوم السُّنة والعدل وتجلب سخطا من الرتدة الإلهدة وفلاطن يحدّر من دعاء الآباء وإن كان يفير عدل فقلا ان يكون بعدل ويوجب إكرام الأخيار لأنم سبب الهداية إلى الأفعال الجملة والتودد إلى أبناء الجنس وإكرامهم الأنم من الطبيعة ونفعل ذلك معم جسب الاستحقاق ونتألم لألمم ونفضل الأقادب لنا على فيرام ونكبر البعض أكثر سبب الفضيلة الة, معلته ضيرا ونشاركه في الحياة ونحفظ الاعتدال في فضائل

The reason why Pythagoras commands that parents be held in honour is that their relationship is that of creator, and that God is known as "Father." This honour takes the form of our taking care of their well-being, and avoiding wronging them in what we may either say or do; in rendering them spiritual and corporal assistance, and preserving them in all good memory after their deaths; and that we should place obedience to them second only to obedience to God.

A sin committed against one's parents is a violation of nature and the parental rank, and a breach of the regulations of law and of justice, and it incurs the indignation of the divine rank: Plato gives a warning against the curse of parents, when it is without good cause, and even with good cause.

Pythagoras makes it a duty to honour good men, since they are the source of guidance to the doing of good deeds, and to show affection to relatives, and to treat them with respect, since we have them through nature. We should honour them in a measure commensurate with their merits, and suffer for their sufferings. We should give preferential treatment to our relatives as against those who are not related to us; we should also show greater honour to certain individuals because of the virtue which has made them good, and make them partners in our lives. We should maintain moderation in the virtues of both the soul and of the body.

95a

النفس والجسم

الصديق يُؤْخذُ بالإرادة لا بالجنس ونحتاج أن نراعي اضتاره وسم زما نستقي معه صي لا جي عليه وعمل إذا عرت منه هموة وعمد فو وأبناء الجنس بالكرامة ونفض أبناء الحنس لأن وصلتم طبعية وجوهرية لا مكتسبة ووصلة الصديق اختيادية ولنا أن أنطرحه والصداقة مفظمة عند شيعة **95**b فيناغورس وكانوا جعلون الهداقة مثالا لاتحاد الآلهة ولما كانت الفضائل ثلثة نفسنة وصعيم ومن خارج فيجب أن يكون اختيار العديق من جهة فعيلته النفسية لا السية ولا التي من الخارج ولا نطلب أن يكون موسمًا صَسَى الهيئة بل

م من كانت نفسه عادلة فاضلة والعديق يسط إليه العديق

في أمور منزلم والأشياء الحيوبة عنده ويعمّد عليه في سائر

Friends are to be chosen according to their goodwill, not according to kinship. We must exercise caution in choosing a friend, and be careful, in our dealings with him, that we do not do him harm; when he makes a slip, we must be tolerant, and treat both him and our relatives with deference, although we should show preference to our relatives because their connection with us is natural and essential, not an acquired one, whereas our connection with a friend is a voluntary one, and it is within our power to repudiate him.

Friendship was venerated among the Pythagoreans, who regarded it as a symbol of union with the gods.

Now since the virtues are of three types — those belonging to the soul, those to the body, and those that are external — it follows that the choice of a friend should be made from the standpoint of his virtue of soul, not of body, nor of that which is external; we should not look for a friend who is well off, nor for one of comely appearance, but rather for one possessing a just and virtuous soul.

Between friends, there can be frank discussion of domestic affairs and intimate concerns, and a person may rely unreservedly upon his 95b

<sup>5</sup> των δ' ἄλλων ἀρετή ποιεῦ φίλον ὅστις ἄριστος.

<sup>6</sup> Πραέσι 6' εἶκε λόγοις, ἔργοισι τ' ἐπωφελίγοισι,

ا ويعتمد Rosenkhal يؤخذ الربب ا

أعواله من غير صدر ويدتفه عشورته وإعانته في شدائده والاستفادة منه بأكثر من الذلف لأصل فهه وعدله وأمثال فذه من الإعانات لا يعني فيها اليسار وحُسن الهيئة لكن الغم وصودة الأطلاق واليسار وجودة السئة غير مأمونين في بقائهما والأصدقاء الأضارهم الذين تكون صداقتهم لأجل النفائل النعسية وففيلة البدن والحال تُحدث عن في فيه المرد الامتهان والاستعفاف بفيره ومن قصرا فيه أحدثا فيه عَلَقًا لِمِن فُوقه والجال البدني يفيد لذَّةً للمستحسن له والذي يكون ٩٥٥ ق الحال عِنْع من وجود العدالة على الذُّكُمُّ والانفعالات النادثة من أصل الشهوة والعضب تفرق من الأمور وتباعدها والنطق جامع موصّد وكان فلاطن يلمس في صلاته ويطلب أن يجعل الله الأسماع مشتركة والأعين والحواس ويكون القول أن لى ولس لى سهاً لا معنى له عند الاصدقاء

الامتيان <sup>1</sup> السما <sup>2</sup> الامتيان

friend no matter what his circumstances may be, and profit from his advice and assistance when difficulties befall. The benefit which is to be derived from a friend is of a higher value than gold, in virtue of his understanding, his uprightness, and similar aids; wealth and a fine appearance are of no value in these matters, although understanding and moral excellence are, and wealth and fine appearance are, moreover, of uncertain durability.

The best friends are those with whom one's friendship is based on the virtues of the soul, whereas virtue of body and of condition give rise, in those who possess them, to the notion of superiority, and engender contempt for others, whereas they produce in those in whom they are deficient obsequiousness | towards those above them.

96a

Physical beauty gives pleasure to those who appreciate it, although anyone who is in this condition is on the whole powerless to possess uprightness. The effects produced by passion and anger separate things and keep them apart, while reason brings them together and unifies them.

In his prayers, Plato used to ask and call upon God to make hearing, sight, and senses common to all. The saying "I have, or I do not have, a share" is meaningless within the context of friend-

كان بقول إن العدل إذا كان غم موجود فالجور ليس بوجود لأن الجورة إن لم يقسكوا بقانون اتفاق بينم لم يتم فاذا كانت الرذيلة لا تم الله بالعدل فكم أولى بالفضيلة الداعية إلى الألفة وإعادة النفوس إلى الحال التي كانت عليها قبل مقارنة وبالواصب قيل إن الفصلة الباعثة على احتيار الأصدقاء مرك متيقظ وجاكم لا يُردّ مكه وصيث العضلة أكثر فالهداقة أوكد والذي يظم منه عمدة صحيحة في الففيلة فهو الوثيق المودّة أَنْ نَلْمُس مِن الصديق كثرة الكرامة لنا فهذا يضعف أ الصداقة واللنة ربًّا فرقت الصداقة إذا ثقل استعمالها من العديق والغضب المفرط يحلّ رباط العداقة وإن افتيله الصديق دفعات والمؤاساة والمساواة في القول 2 ... ] MS here repeats dikkographically: ألَكْرُ بالصداقة أوكد والذي يظهر منه حمية صحيحة في الفضيلة (٩٥٥)

ship. Plato also said that if there were no justice, there could be no injustice either, since if the unjust could find no standard upon which to agree, injustice could not be actualized, so that if injustice can only be realized through justice, then how much more worthy is the virtue which arouses congeniality and causes souls to revert to the state in which they were prior to their attachment to bodies! It is rightly said that the virtue which prompts one to choose friends is an alert instigator, and a judge whose verdicts are incontrovertible. And the more abundant is the virtue, the more stable will be the friendship, and anyone who exhibits a genuine ardour for virtue will be a staunch friend.

We ought not to expect much from a friend in the way of respect — this would arise from a defective friendship —; and pleasure too will sometimes disrupt a friendship, if indulgence in it is burdensome to one's friend; excessive anger can also dissolve the bond of friendship, even though one's friend may tolerate it repeatedly. Instead, it is sharing one's worldly possessions, and placing one's friend on an equal footing with oneself, both

والفعل تثبت الصداقة فالصداقة في تأليف كتأليف الموسيقارية ووفاقٌ فإذا ارتفع ذلك ارتفعت الصداقة وعسر الانتياد جدّاً صنفٌ من الشرّ وسهولته تدعوا إلى الملق والاعتمال للصديق والانقياد يفعل ما أدّى إلى غاية محورة وإذا أحسن الصديق إلى الصديق يجب أن يعتقد نفسه مقصرًا وإذا أحسن صديقه إليه فيجب أن يعتد له غاية الاستعداد فهذا كبر لفس وبالجملة لا ينبغي أن نستكثر ما نفعله معم ولا نلمس المحمدة من جهتم بل نعترف لم بالفصل ونجعل الفاية بنينا وبدنم استفادة

7.8 وهو يأمر بألّا نضيع الصديق بسبب الجنايات اليسيرة بل نصبر له ونتواضع الاحتفاظ بصداقته وننسب ذلك منه إلى

verbally and practically, which render friendship enduring, for friendship is a harmony like musical harmony, and a concord: if the harmony is destroyed, the friendship is destroyed.

Too little pliability is a fault of a kind; too much of it leads to flattery, but tolerance of the friend and pliability produce the wherewithal for progress to a praiseworthy end.

When someone does his friend a service, he should consider himself as having been deficient; when, however, his friend does him a service, he should be given the greatest credit for it; for this is magnanimity. On the whole, we should not begrudge what we do for friends, nor expect gratitude from them, but rather should acknowledge their goodness. We should make it our aim, in our relations with friends, to act in a way that is beneficial to the friendship.

7,8 Pythagoras commands us not to drop a friend because of trifling wrongs: instead we should bear with him and be humble in order to retain the friendship; we should ascribe such actions

Τ Μηδ' έχθαιρε φίλον σον άμαρτάδος είνανα μικρής,

<sup>8</sup> ὄφρ<sub>α</sub> δύνη.

المداقة المحالة المحا

ضفف الطبيعة البشرية فارتفاع الخطأ هي لطبيعة أعلى من 972 طيبهة البشر ومتى انتقلنا عن ذلك رجعنا باللوم على تقوسنا وتقعل ذلك ضاصةً مع من قدم عهد صداقته وصغير الجنايات التى لا تطرح الصداقة من أجل ماكانت في الأمور العالمية كالأموال والمنازل عند الملوك والأشياء الخادثة بالبخت فهذا لا ينبغي للصديق أن يعتقد عداوة صديقه في الفاية ان دفعه عنها فهذه اللمور عند ذوى العقول صفيرة جدًّا فأما الجنايات الكبار فهم الأفعال التي يَغْفَلُ الصديق مِع صديقه فينسب لأجلها إلى الشر بعد أن كان يظن به أنه ضير والدُشياء التي تُبعد من الله وتسخطه ومن يقودنا إلى مثل ذلك يجب أن نهرب منه فحرَّننا من ش الأعداء

on his part to the infirmity of human nature, | since the removal of error is for a nature that is higher than that of man. And since we are removed from that, we place the blame upon ourselves, and we should do this particularly in cases where our friendship with someone is of long standing. Minor wrongs, which would not warrant the termination of a friendship, concern worldly things such as property, preferment by rulers, and things that are the result of chance. If one can turn one's friend away from such errors, one should not regard him as ultimately a foe, for intelligent persons consider these matters to be extremely trivial.

Major wrongs are actions because of which the person who inflicts them upon his friend is regarded as a vicious man, when previously his friend had thought well of him, and doings which cause alienation from God, and arouse His anger; we must flee from anyone who would induce us to behave in such a way as we would flee from our worst enemy.

ر وهي <sup>2</sup> [انفعلنا <sup>1</sup>

إن القوة قريبة من الفرورة

والقوة يشير بها إلى شدّة النفس وامتناع من الانطباع

الانفهالات والفرورة بشيربها إلى الحياة الدائمة فإن

المياة الدائمة ثابتة لا تتغير ولأجلها تجرى أفعالنا على موجب ط٩٦

العقل وبسبها يفعل الأخيار الخيرات فالشيء الذي يعسى

النفعاله يناسب ما لا يتفير وضعف النفس يُودّى إلى الحياة

النائدة واقتناء الحال الأولى يقربنا من الطبيعة الإلهية والنائية

ء تنعدنا منها

11- 9 فيثاغورس يأمر بالصبر عن النوم والبطنة والشبن والغضب لأن هذه انفعالات صادئة عن القوة الشهوانية والغضبية إذا فرجنا ولم فض على سنن العقل وبترك هذه تتم رتبة المياة

Pythagoras says that potential is close to necessity.

By "potential" he is referring to strength of soul, and its imperviousness to passivities; by "necessity" he means perpetual life, because perpetual life has permanence and is unchanging, and because of it our actions | follow the requirements of intellect; it is the reason why good men do good actions, since what is resistant to passivities is related to what is unchanging. On the other hand, feebleness of soul results in a transitory life; possession of the former state brings us close to the divine nature, while the latter distances us from it.

Pythagoras enjoins abstention from sleep, gluttony, carnality and anger, because these are reactions arising from the faculties of appetition and anger, when we deviate from the norms of intellect instead of adhering to them. It is by the rejection of these reactions that we realize that life

<sup>8</sup> δύνεμε γὰρ ἀνάγκης ἔγγύθι ναίει.

٩ ٢٥٩٢٤١٠ ٤ فأورؤوه تشهود

<sup>10</sup> yartpos pèr πρώτιστα, καὶ ύπνου λαγναίης τε

<sup>11</sup> καὶ θυμοῦ,

الخاصة بنا وتكون نفوسنا الهمية منفادة للتصرف النطقى والأذه الانفعالات تنمى بنمق المزاج وتنقص بنقصانه فن الواجب قع الشهوانية والعصبية حتى لا تكدر (١) الأمر الإلى وتحذباه إلى خلاف طاعه فافروج عن الاعتدال في المطع والمشرب والطبيعة معله لفرورة الحياة يشبه فيه الأمر الرسمي وبالجلة النفنن أ في هذه والسرف في الكم والكيف يخرجان عن حدّ تصرف النطق فينا وتكون سيرتنا مسب اللذة لامسب الحاجة والحاجة إلى المطعم 98a ولها منه أن تكون تجسب قوام البدن والحياة الإطلاف عوض التعلل وإذا تدرّج الإنسان استهان بتفني ما يوضع بين يديّه من المأكولات وأخذ ماجته وعدل بالباق إلى غيره ويمنب كثرة النوم لئلًا تصير به كالنبات ويعم التصرف الحيوان والنطقى بتصرف العقل بتوسط الحيوانية والحواسٌ ويكون على ضد ما عليه الآلهة من أنهم which is properly ours, and our bestial souls come to act rationally.

These reactions increase and diminish with the growth and decrease of temperament; it is therefore vital that we subdue our passionate and irascible parts, so that they will not make turbid the part which is divine, and attract it to what is alien to its nature, since immoderation in food, drink and matters of nature cause it, by virtue of life's necessity, to resemble when it engages in these things, the bestial part.

In general, variety and extravagance in quantity and quality result from our overstepping the bounds of rational behaviour, which is present within us, and lead us | to conduct ourselves so as to follow the dictates of pleasure, rather than of need.

98a

As regards food, our needs should be only so much as will sustain the body and life, to compensate by replacement for what has been dissipated. When a person does this gradually, he comes to think little of the variety of food that is set before him, and will take what he requires, and distribute the remainder to others. He will avoid sleeping much, so that it does not render him like a vegetable, bereft of animal and rational functions through the intellect's operation through the medium of the animal faculty and of the senses, and so become the opposite to the gods, in that they are

<sup>1</sup> ومحنث 1 يكون أ النفى 1 معلت 1 معلت أ

أيقاظ لاينامون ولا يمتاجون إلى الاستراحة فالنوم غبر لائق بالنطق وعائق عن تصرفاته والكمر تفسيره مقرّب الذبائح والخنين بسبب ضطايا الشعب ويقال إن تُونُو لِمَّا عَضبت على ضادم لها قالت لولا أننى عضى لأذيتك فالحاكم على الأمور العقل والله يفسِّم العضب أن يهيم في الوقت الذي ينبغي وأن جرى مرى الكلب الذي يهر" إذا فسم له صاحبه في الهرير فأما تفسى على غير لأذا الوجه فليس من الواجب فهذه القوة الوصنية تخضع مسب العقل المؤدّب لي ولأن العضب تورانه عن مراج البدن 48P ما ينبغى أن مكسر سورة الأعذية والحرارة المطبقة جواضع القلب ومن ينشئ الغضب فكلُّما كُسمت القوى المهمية بكون العقل أشد ضبطا لها وكما أن أفل المدينة إذا مضوا مع اللذات والشهوات أدّى ذلك إلى سخط الخالق عليم فكذا البدن إذا مضى مع PROCLUS De decem dub. circa prov., 86 Boese ministram dixit: si non essem irata, verberarem utique te:

alert and unsleeping, and have no need of rest, since sleep is incompatible with reason, and a hindrance to its operations.

The meaning of a "pagan priest" is one who performs sacrifices and acts of atonement for the sins of the people.

It is said of Theano that when she was angry with one of her servants, she told the servant "If I were not angry, I would hurt you": since it is intellect which has control over things, and which gives anger licence to erupt at the appropriate moment, and to behave in the same way as does a dog: when its master permits it to howl, it may do so freely, but anger must not be permitted in any other circumstance.

This brutish force submits according to intellect, | which keeps it under control. Because anger originates out of temperament, it must not be permitted to break through the confines of food or the warmth surrounding the heart, for it is from these that anger arises, and whenever the bestial powers are subdued, intellect's grip on them becomes firmer. In the same way as the people of a town will incur the Creator's anger when they indulge excessively in pleasures and passions, so the body's excessive indulgence in pleasures brings

98b

[اوالميني

م ما); = المناف Westerink

1 شوره

الملذات أدّى هذا إلى سنخط العقل عليه لأنه ميضى مع الشهوات التى لا تقتضيها السنة ولا الطبيعة وينقاد إلى شهوة العُور التي لا شفاء لها وهذه الأشياء إذا طرصت شدريج أدى اطراحها على هذه الصفة إلى تركها بالكلية بالتشاعل بالأشفال العائقة عنها وتلطيف الأغذية التي ثقل معها وكان آل فتاغورس يستعلون الألان الفضول المُرزنة لغم هذه الشهوات وخاصةً وقت النوم صلى لا يبقى في التخيل آثاد تزع في المنام وطبيعة الشهوة أمر مضلل للعقل وَجَادَبُ لَهُ إِلَى البِينَ وَيَعَارِقَ لِي [ إِلَى ] الْمِياةُ الإلهيةُ وإذا قويت افترست العقل كالسبع وطننت بأن الق عبد في وفي ووجه يدَيها وفي الحقيقة أن لذات الأبدان استماحات من ألم لا لذات فبالواجب قبل أن مال الطبيعة إلى الأمر الخارج عن

down upon it the anger of the intellect, because the body has indulged appetites which neither law nor nature require, and has succumbed to the craving for debauchery, which is insatiable.

If these desires are gradually shed, this way of discarding them will culminate in their total rejection, by means of one's busying oneself with concerns which discourage them, and by attenuating foods which entail heavy waste.

The Pythagoreans used to employ melancholy music to subdue these appetites; they did this particularly when it was time for sleep, so as to ensure that there remained in the imagination no impressions which might disturb their sleep.

It is the nature of appetite to mislead the intellect, and to draw it towards the body and cause it to lose contact with the divine life, and, when once it has the power to do so, | it will pounce upon the intellect like a beast of prey; and you might suppose that the truth is its slave, and under its control, whereas the truth of the matter is that the sensual delights of the body are not really pleasures, but respites from pain. So it is reasonable that first nature should tend towards something

99a

طاعا صى تلذ بالإعادة والسورة الفضيية كالسبع الوحشى وضيط العقل لها يصعب وتُخذب لأملها القحة وفظاظة اللفظ وتُقطع رباطات العقل وذوال هذه العادة يعين عليه الاعتباد وَّلْهَ النوم يعين عليه قلة الغذاء والعادة والزناء والإفراط في الجاع لا ضرورة إليه كالنوم والفذاء وحب أن نألف تركه للمفظ منه \* الله دفاتنا ونهرب من القبائح ونجعل العلة استحياءً من نفوسنا فاستحياء مِي نَفُوسِنا كاستَحِياءٌ مِن غيرِنا عِنعِنا بالقَهِي مِن النظاء وأَكْثَرُ أُسِباب الامتناع النوف من الله عنياء الإنسان من ذاته عنعه من فعل القبيع وليس في شنا خار ما عن والشريعة والعقل به صان رمذه

فيثاغورس يأمر باستمال الاعتدال في الفعل والقول 49b

ويستى ذلك عدلا لأن فيه جمالا ... النفس أن تكون أفعال

that is alien to its character, so as to have pleasure in being brought back again.

The force of anger is like a wild beast, and it is hard for the intellect to keep it under control; because of anger, insolence and uncouthness of verbal expression exercise influence, and the bonds of intellect are severed. The eradication of this habit is facilitated by habituation and the restriction of sleep is facilitated by limiting the intake of food and by habit.

There is no need for adultery or for overindulgence in sex, as there is for sleep and food, and
we ought to accustom ourselves to their rejection,
so as to preserve ourselves from them. We should
flee from depravity, and take as a motive the sense
of shame before ourselves, because, like shame
before others, shame before ourselves can be a
forceful restraint against wrongdoing, while the
fear of God is the chief restraint. A man's shame of
himself prevents him from doing wicked things.
This is not something alien to him, but both religious
law and the intellect prescribe it.

Pythagoras insists that moderation be observed in both action and speech. He calls this "justice" because there is in it a beauty for the soul, that we be moderate in what we do.

99b

<sup>13</sup> Εἶτα δικαιοσύνην ἀσκεῖν ἔργῳ τε λόγῳτε:

1 الستمانًا الماسمانًا الماسمانًا المستمانًا المستمانًا المستمانًا المستمانًا المستمانًا المستمانًا المستمانًا المستمانية المستمان

معتدلة وجسب الرأى المشهور إن الحسن ما مُدح الناس عليه والتبيج ما ذُمُّوا بسببه وفي القيقة إن الحسن ما أنَّع فيه الجزء الناطق والقبيم ما أتبع منه الجرء غير الناطق فالقبيم والحسن صقائق في طياعها وكذلك الخم والشر لا يكونان في المقتقة جِسب اعتقادنا فإن القبيح في نفسه لو وصفه واصفٌ عشرة ألف مَّة بأنه صبيح لَمُ أَخْرِجِه قول الواصف له مِن أن يكون قبحا وإذا كان القبيم أمراً في نفسه فكذا فينبغى أن نجنبه لعينه الله الأجل حضور الناس بل نحرس ذواتنا من قباصته حضروا أو عُانُوا فَاسْتَحِياء الإنسان مِن ذاته أولى به مِن استَمَاعِه مِن غُمِهِ عَالَمُ ا وبالجلة الاستحياء من العقل الموجود أولى من الاستحاء من كل شيء وبالجلة جميع الانفعالات البدئية يجب أن جعلها كالخادم بين يدى الملك كالفضب والشهوة وغيرهما ولا ملكها

According to the common view, "good" is what brings people praise, while "evil" is what earns them reproof. In fact, "good" is that with respect to which the rational part of us is followed, while "evil" is that with respect to which the irrational part is followed, so that evil and good are things which truly exist in their own right. In the same way, goodness and badness do not owe their objective reality to our believing that they are real, for if someone were to say ten thousand times that evil is good, merely saying it was so could never cause it to cease being evil, and since evil is something real in itself, we must in the same way avoid 11,12 the thing because of what it is in itself, not because there may be others present, but rather we must preserve ourselves from its evilness, whether others are present or not. Hence a person's shame before himself is more worthy of him than shame before all others, and on the whole shame felt before the intellect that is there is more worthy of him than is shame before anything else at all.

Generally speaking, we should make all bodily reactions like a servant who serves a king, reactions such as anger, appetite and so on, and we should not allow them to act as they please.

<sup>11</sup> πρήξης δ'αισχρόν ποτε μήτε μετ' άλλου,

<sup>12</sup> μητ' ίδίη. πάντων δὲ μάλιστ' αἰσχύνεο σαυτόν.

<sup>1</sup> [ امر

اضيارها فكما أن الفضب والشره والشبق قبيحة فكذا القنوط والكسل والظلم وجميع أفعال النفس غير الناطقة التي هي انفعالات مدنية وكما أن الهبولى قدمة في نفسها هكذا الانفعالات الكائنة فدا قبحة في نفسها فأما آداب العقل وفضائله في المستحسنة والعدل في القول من دون الفعل أمره فارغ لا ينتفع به والأقاويل الرديئة إذا صدرت من الأخيار جرت مجرىً منكرا منزلة مدح غير الشده وذمّ الشبيه فالعادل بندعى أن تقسط أقواله وأفعاله ويعطى على كل واحد ما يستحقه وجعل العدالة نصب عينه لأنها حاكم عدل ويكون ما نفعله مع ذواتنا شهر بطباعنا لا غربا منها وألَّه نهرب من الحق بوجه من الوصور ونلمس لأصدقائنا مثل ما نلمسه لنقوسنا ويقعل أففال العدالة على الكمال مأن نكون أعفاء وشجعان وحكماء

Now just as anger, gluttony and licentiousness are evil, so too are despondency, idleness, oppression and all activities of the irrational soul
which are bodily reactions; and just as matter in itself is evil, so are the reactions which arise within
it evil in themselves; but the morals and virtues of
the intellect are commendable and worth treasuring.

Justice that is merely verbal, without being put into practice, is a useless thing, bringing no benefits, and vile words coming from good men are shocking, like praising what is not praiseworthy, and condemning what ought to be praised. Hence a just man should be just in what he says and what he does, and should give every individual what he deserves, and should make justice his main concern, since it is an impartial referee.

We should ensure that our dealings with ourselves are in keeping with our natures, not foreign to them, and we should not shrink from the truth on any grounds whatever. We should also seek to obtain for our friends the same as we should hope to attain for ourselves, and we should make our actions those of perfect justice, by being temperate, courageous and wise, and by giving

ونوفى الله صقه والطباع التي في أعلى منّا والأسلاف والأقارب الما والأصدقاء وحبّ الأخيار لذواتم لا لكبما يحسنوا إلبنا وتجنب ط 100 الأشرار وإن لم يضروا بنا ولا غنع الأخيار واجبم حسدًا ولا غدج الأشرار خوفًا منهم

ال وفيناعورس يأمر بأن نعتاد أن تكون أفعالنا مع روبة ونتمسك بشرائط العقل ونعتاد التمييز للنير منها من الشر والأفعال التي تكون مع فكر لهي سيرة إنسانية ومع غير فكر لهي سيرة بهيمية ويؤكد الحث على استعال ما قاله فكر لهي سيرة بهيمية ويؤكد الحث على استعال ما قاله فكر لهي سيرة بهيمية أبداننا مائتة ومقتنياتها فاسدة فنجعل العناية بهما بقدد ما يستمن أن يُعني بالمنقرض البائد وضعتص دين لأن أكثر حرص الناس البهيمين من أجلها والغفلاء إنا عنايتهم بما يخص النفس لأنها من غير المائيتين وبالبدن

God His due, and giving due to the nature that is higher than our own, to our ancestors, our relatives, and our friends, and by loving those who are good, for themselves, not in the hopes of receiving favours from them; and by avoiding vicious people, even though they may do us no harm; and by not keeping from good people what they deserve out of envy of them, not praising the wicked out of fear of them.

Pythagoras instructs us to accustom ourselves to accompany our actions with reflection, and to adhere to the stipulations of the intellect, and to make it a habit to distinguish between good actions and bad.

Actions that are undertaken together with thought represent the way human beings behave, while to act without thinking is the way animals behave.

He is giving emphasis to his command that we put his instructions into practice, to alert our intellects and to make them realize that our bodies are by their nature mortal, and our bodies' possessions perishable. We must therefore concern ourselves with them only to the extent that is appropriate for that which is transitory and subject to extinction; he refers specifically to these two things because the main desire of bestial people is for them, whereas virtuous people are concerned solely with what is proper to the soul, because it is numbered among immortal beings, while they have only a secondary concern with the body, which

ιι μηδ' άλογίστως σκυτον έχειν περί μηδέν έθιζε.

<sup>15</sup> άλλά γνῶθι μὲν ὡς θανέειν πέπρωται ἄπασιν,

<sup>16</sup> Χρήματα δ'άλλοτε μεν κτασθαι φιλεί, άλλοτ' όλέσθαι.

LAHOOD وخصص (الدثنين اشارة > الأن الم Possibly وخصص

من بعد محسب ما يستحق لأنه من المائنة وبالمقتنيات من بعد لأنها في الرتبة الأخيرة إذكانت من الأشياء الخارجة وليست داخلة فيما به قوامنا ولكون ما نفعله في ذلك مسبب مُقتضى النطق والتأدب لا محسب عادات الناس التي يبضون فيها على جهة غير نطقية فإنه يرون أن العناية بالقنايا عظيمة ثم بافسم ولكاد أن لا

17-20 فيثاغودس يأمر باصمال الآلام الطارئة على جهة الاتفاق وألا ثكر الاستصعب لها وهداواتها بحسب الإمكان والاعتقاد بأنه ليس قسط الأضيار منها كبيرا والجهور على ضد لاذا لأنهم ينسخطون عند طول الأشياء الحادثة بهم عن الاتفاق كالمرض وفقد القنايا والأهل والحصول في الأزمان الصعبة ولاذا العالم يشبه

is as much as it deserves, inasmuch as it is in the mortal category, and their last concern is with possessions, since they come into the final category insofar as they belong to the class of externals, and do not enter into that class of things which are essential for our sustenance. Regarding the latter category, we should treat them as the requirements of intellect and proper behaviour dictate, not according to the habits of men, to which they cling irrationally; for they regard possessions as deserving the most exclusive attention; only then do they attend to physical concerns, while they are virtually oblivious of the possessions of the soul.

Pythagoras tells us to tolerate the sufferings which befall us through chance, without taking them too hard, but to alleviate them as far as we are able, and to be assured that the portion of them that falls to good men is not a large one.

The majority of people do the opposite of this, in that they become resentful when afflicted by chance calamities, such as illness, loss of property or family, or the setting in of hard times.

The world resembles a city, in which there

<sup>17</sup> οσσα τε βαιμονίησι τύχαις βροτοί άλγε έχουσιν,

<sup>18</sup> ην ών μοιραν έχης, ταύτην φέρε, μηδ' άγανάκτει.

اع المحاصد فد مهد مده بعد المعافدة من في المحاصد المعافدة المعافدة

<sup>20</sup> οὐ πάνυ τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς τούτων πολύ μοῖρα δίδωσι.

مدينة فيها ملك على قدم الأيام جالس لا يتفير وأصار بعده يتنفونه ويتقيلونه والنفوس من بعدهم التي أو حدال الأب مولدال وصفلا تتصرف على اختارها ولأصل اختارها منالها الخاء والعقاب من الرؤساء ولهذه يعرض المنت والجزاء والعقاب عا اليامن هذه  $\stackrel{4}{\searrow}$  الحياة أو في الأخرى والبخت صادر عن الرتبة الأولى السماوية وحركان ولأجل البحت صارت مدّة الحياة  $^{5}$ ЮЬ مقرونة بالأم والتعب وللبعض باللذة والطيب ولهذا يجب أن نقبل ما يتَّفق لنا من ذلك بسكون والبخت يكون لنا من الأجرام الكائلة ومن الحرية أعنى من صركات السماء ومن صركاتنا فلا يلبغي أَنْ تُنْسَخُطُ لأَجِلَ طُرُوقَ فَذَهُ لنا ولا نظَىٰ أَنَا صَعَلَتُ لنا باستُعَاقَ بل تتقرف التصرفات النطقية لنقبل العطاء من والمي الياة لنا ولا نَعْتَقُدُ أَنَّهُ يَكُونَ مِنَ الآلِهَ جُورُ وأَنَّهَ لا تَقَصِدُ بِالْحَرَضُ ولا بِفَقْرٍ ولا

is an ancient king, sitting unalterably, and the priests come after him, and follow and resemble him; and then come the souls, whom the Father has brought into existence, giving them birth, and has arranged for them to act according to their own free choice. As a consequence of their exercising this freedom of choice, they are given rewards or punishment by the rulers. These souls are subject to fate, and reward or punishment for their having been affected more by this life, or by the life to come.

Fate has its origin in the first rank, | the 101b heavenly, and in its motions. It is owing to fate that the span of one person's life is attended with suffering and struggle, while that of another is filled with pleasure and delight. Consequently we must accept with equanimity the fate that falls to our lot.

The coming of fate to us is the result of the generated bodies and of freedom, which is to say, of heavenly motions and those of ourselves; we should not therefore become annoyed because these things happen to us, nor should we suppose that they befall us because we have deserved them; instead we should behave rationally, so as to be able to accept the gift of those who gave us life. We must not think, either, that the gods are responsible for injustice, but should believe that sickness and

<sup>1</sup> ausc] 2 elast? [ elast? [ ar a mission here.

<sup>[</sup>والبعث ألياة (للمعنى مقرونة ? 5 [والعث 4

م الحريد ? [ الحريد ? الحريد ! الحريد ! الحريد !

غيره ونتشبه عند حلول الشدائد بنا ولا خضع لها ونعتقد في شهيًّا وحمد أن نعود في الطارئات علينا إلى السبب الشافي لنا من فَقَر ومرض وغيره فبالقوة الفكرية المميّزة الأشباء مُصُصنا من دون الحيوان الباق وإن كانت العاية أعطتها خواصًا تصلح بها أحوالها ولكن على جهة معدودة لا جهة متفننة والصنائع التي اكتسبنا معرفتها من الالهة معينة لنا في الشدائد الطارئة علينا في حياتنا 102a فِلأَنَّا أَجِزاء لهذا الكل مُنفعلُ في صاننا مُقلباته وبما معنا من القوة الفكرية نشفى أنفسنا وقل ما تكون البخوت الديئة الأصار لأجل طهادتم وتقبضم من هذا العاكم الذي يحدث فيه الاتَّفَاقيّات ولهذا يجب أن عرجي على التأدب الذي نصير به أطيار لَنْخُلِص مِن فَذِهِ الدَّلامِ الطارئة على الأكثر فعدم التألم أعلى من الطبيعة البشرية ولعل قلّة ما يلحقم من ذلك إشارة إلى [?سنس؟]

poverty and so on are not divinely premeditated. and be courageous when hardships afflict us, and not give in to them; we should regard them as something coming from lots, and when we are afflicted, take refuge in the means of our salvation from poverty, illness and the rest. For the capacity to think, which distinguishes among things, sets us apart from all other animals, even though Providence has provided them with special qualities with which to improve their lot, but in a limited, not a versatile, way.

The skills, the knowledge of which we have derived from the gods, are of help to us in the hard- 102a ships that beset us during the course of our lives, for, since we are parts of this Whole, we are affected throughout our lives by its upheavals, although, by virtue of the capacity for thinking which we possess, we are in a position to heal ourselves.

The good are seldom given a bad fate, because of their purity and their withdrawal from this world, in which the happenings of chance take place. For this reason we must strive for the education which renders us good, so that we may rid ourselves of these sufferings which overtake the majority of people, since to be impervious to pain is beyond human nature; perhaps the fact that good people are but little touched by it is an indication of

استها منه به يطرأ من موت وفقر وعداب وفقد مدح له ولأصدقاء فم فركات الدوريات وتقلبها به بتقلب ما تحت الفلك ولأجله ما يكون البخت والاتّغاق لجيع ما تحت فلك القر

واحتمال التكذب بعد أن يكون الإنسان في نفسه بريئًا من سائرها والذمّ واحتمال التكذب بعد أن يكون الإنسان في نفسه بريئًا من سائرها فإنّ لمّا وجب علينا أن نصبر على المنيات والشرور الطارئة علينا وفي أمور مكذا جب أن نصبر على الأقاويل الكاذبة والعادقة الطارئة علينا ومُيترفا ونعرف ما وقع منها موقعه وما لم يقع موقعه والعادئة علينا ومُيترفا ونعرف ما وقع منها موقعه وما لم يقع موقعه والعاديم من السقيم والكذاب يجب إرشاده فإن سمع وإلّا فالشاعد عنه والمنار كذب أقاويلنا وبالجلة يجب أن تكون أقاويلنا وأفغالنا على حمة حسنة فاضلة لأن صُسب التصرف بالفعل فكذا

their disdain for the occurrence of death, poverty, torment and the loss of their own or their friends' reputations. For it is the cycles of the heavens and their fluctuation which generate inconstancy throughout the sublunar world, and this fluctuation produces the uncertainties of fate and chance which befall all that exists in that world.

Pythagoras commands us to disregard expressions of praise and blame, and to be tolerant of falsehood, when once one has become free within oneself from all these things. For since it is our duty | to bear calmly the fortunes and misfortunes which befall us, which are real things, we must in the same way be patient in the face of false and true statements which affect us, and distinguish between them, and recognize which of them are apt, and which inappropriate, and distinguish the sound from the faulty.

When someone is untruthful, we must correct him; if he is willing to be corrected, well and good; but if he is not, we must keep our distance from him, and expose his lies in the gentlest way. In general, we must keep to what is good and virtuous in the things we say and do, because correct be-

<sup>21</sup> Πολλοί δ' ανθρώποισι λάγοι δειλοί τε καὶ ἐσθλοί

<sup>22</sup> προσπίπτουσ', ὧν μήτ' ἐκπλήσσεο, μήτ' ἄρ' ἐἰσης

<sup>23</sup> ειργεσθαι σαυτόν : ψεύδος δ' ήνπερ τι λέγηται,

<sup>24</sup> mpáws elX.

يُقتفى ويوجّب الاحتراس ف الأقاويل والأفعال وبمدوا لنمسك بالذي فو منها عدل وضي والفلال في ذلك يأتي من سوء تهم ف القوة الميزة الفاصصة عن الأقاويل الكاذبة والسادقة وأمثال فؤلاء متدعون بالأقاويل المقنعة والذي يُصرف الأفكار عن السلوك في الطريقة المثلى الأمرُ الملذ فالجهور إنا يفارق الفضيلة لأجل اللذة ولأجلها يعدمون التأدب النطقى ويستبينون بالشيء الأفضل ويعتدون الكرامات والمقتنيات والسلطان والقدرة 103a وعيلون من الياة الإلهية إلى الياة الأرضية وكثيرون بخطّون من الحال الفاضلة في الاعتقادات بأقاويل سوفسطائية تقنعم وتخرج يتقوسهم من حد الحرية إلى حد العبودية فالنفس الحرة لا تنصرف عَيْ الدُّم الأفضل بخديعة ولا لذَّة ولو نُصُبت لها كل الضلالات ويأمر باستعمال المشورة في المفعل قبل فعله صلى لا

obliged to be cautious in what we say and do, to exercise judgment over it, and to hold fast to what is just and good. The cause of error in this is faulty application of the power of judgment, which scrutinizes what is said for falsehood and truthfulness. People who do not use judgment properly are deceived by what sounds convincing, and the cause of thought's being led astray from the ideal procedure is the pleasant. Pleasure is the only reason why the multitude abandon virtue, and is the cause of their lack of a rational mode of behaviour and of their disdain for what is most excellent; instead, they prize honours, possessions, authority and power, and show a disinclination for the divine life, being more in sympathy with the earth-bound life. There are many who lapse from a state of excellence while giving credence to plausible sophisms which remove them from the realm of liberty and bring them to that of servitude. So a free soul will not deviate from excellence by any stratagem or for any pleasure, not even if every kind of delusion is placed in its way.

haviour in our actions requires it. We are also

He instructs us to deliberate about what we intend doing before we do it, so that our action will not

<sup>27</sup> Βουλεύου δὲ πρὸ ἔργου, ὅπως μὴ μωρὰ πέληται:

<sup>[</sup>ليتمسك أ

يكون الفعل الذي يُععل على جهة الجهل والقبح وعلى غير جهة النطق ولا الكون عواقبه مضرّة والمشورة أمر نقيز به من الهيوانات غير الناطقة إذ كانت أفعالها اضطرارية وأفعالنا اختيارية وإلا فالمشورة فاذا تنفع والمشورة تنفع في المزمعات من الأمور وليس لا حمالة يُبلع معها الفرض لكن قد تقوق عوائق ولهذا ما يقال إن المسورة موفية للنفس تنفع في المزمعات ممّا نفعل والأفعال التي تُفعل ينتفع فيها بالفكرة الصافية والمشورة والفكرة باستعمال 1036 الإنسان لعقل نفسه والمشورة باستفانته بعقل غبره فيما يفعله وإلى أَيْ فَائدة يؤدّى وفي أي زمان وأي مكان وبأي أداةٍ يهل إلى ذلك 28 العقل والإنسان الذي يفعل فعلم نغير مشورة فو الشقى الجاري ق أفعاله على جهة بهمية وأمثال فؤلاء يكثر تندهم لأنه يقفون عند غير البغية والطلب وتخيب آماله ويقفون في شرور عظام

28 δειλοῦ τοι πρήσσειν τε λέγειν Τ' ἀνόητα πρὸς ἀνδρός:

be one done in ignorance, or be evil or devoid of sense, and so that there may be no harmful consequences.

By the capacity to deliberate we are distinguished from dumb animals, because they act out of compulsion, whereas our actions are the product of choice — and if they were not, what would be the use of deliberation? Deliberation gives service in our perplexities, although when we employ it, there is no guarantee that we will achieve our object, but at times obstacles may intervene. For this reason he says that deliberation is a gift, given to the soul, which is beneficial when it is perplexed as to what to do, and the actions it performs will profit from pure thought and deliberation.

Thought takes place when a person puts his own intellect to use, while deliberation occurs when he has recourse to someone else's intellect, over what course of action to take, what benefit it will lead to, the time and the place for doing it, and the devices whereby he will effect the action.

Anyone who acts without deliberation is a wretch, whose actions are those of an animal. People of this kind frequently have cause for regret because they fail to achieve their desire and goal, and their hopes are dashed, and they fall into great calamities;

Rosenthal ينتفع فيها بالنكرة [ مسفع بها في الفكرة [ تبلع أ يكون أ

والذفعال التي تكون بتقسيطات العقل وموصياته لا يتندم فاعلها اليتّة وإن لم يبلغ إلى الفرض بعوائق ولا يتعرض مثل هذا لما لا يَصْلَ إليه ولا إلى ما لا يدركه ولا يتندم على ما فات إذا لم يقدر عليه لأنه قد تقدّم بالممييز العقلي ﴿فَهُتُعُمُّ أَنْهُ لا يدركُهُ 30,31 يأمر بأن يتقدم الإنسان فيعلم ما يريد أن يفعله ويتعلم الأشياء النافعة التي إذا كان عارفاً بها تكون سيرته جميلة مفردة والقوة الإلهية التي فينا في العقلية وقذه إذا تصرف الإنسان بحسبها لم يخطئ 104a ق أفعاله البتة وتكون أفعاله ضيرات كلها ويكون مجدا للقوم العارفين والفعل الذي يكون على غير بصيرة عقلية يشبه مسالك العي فينبغى أن نقتنى الضياء الخاص بطباعنا وهو العلم ثم تفعل أفعالنا في أوقاتها وأنمانها وكما أن الطبب لا يجوز منه التعرض للفعل في طبيعة البدن من غير علم به فكذا الأفعال العقلية لا ينبغى أن نصدرها إلَّا πρήσσε δὲ μηδὲν τῶν μὴ ἐπίστασαι, ἄλλλ διδάσκευ 30

whereas actions that are performed according to the apportionments and requirements of intellect do not occasion the least regret to the person who does them, even though he may be prevented by obstacles from achieving his goal. Such persons do not run the risk of taking on actions which are impossible or unattainable, nor do they regret failing at something beyond their grasp, because it would have been impossible for them, since they will have previously exercised intellectual discernment, and so discovered that they would have heen unable to achieve it.

Pythagoras commands that one must be-30.31 gin by finding out what it is one wishes to do, and learning what are the useful things a knowledge of which will make one's way of life singularly beautiful.

The divine faculty within us is that of the intellect; | if a person bases his actions upon this, he will never err in his actions, and all his actions will be good ones, and knowledgeable people will hold him in esteem. However, an action that is not accompanied by intellectual insight will be like the groping steps of the blind; hence we must possess the illumination which is peculiarly our own, and that is knowledge. Then our actions will fall at the appropriate time. Just as it is inconceivable that a doctor who knew nothing of physiology would be allowed to practise it, so we must not embark upon any action involving the intellect without previous

όσσα Χρεών, και τερπνότατον βίον ώδε διάξεις.

Hourani (ف)

بعد تقدم علم به ليسرنا فعاله ولا نتندم عليها

32-34 ويوصى مراعاة صحة البدن بأن يكون ما نتناوله من الأعذية

والأشربة معتدلًا وكذلك الرياضات والمعتدل فوالذي لا يؤلم

35 و يوصى بأن يكون الفذاء بسيطًا غير مركّب وهذا لأن البدن آلة

للنفس فيجب أن تقع العناية لتكون تصرفات النفس على ما ينبغى

فكما أن النفس موهوبة من الآلهة كذلك البدن لا ينبغي أن

يُفسد مواهب الآلهة لئلَّة اتكون شرارا لا امانة لنا والبدن ط 104

العبل عسر الانقياد للنفس فلهذا ينبفى أن خُفّه والعناية بالبدن

بالزيادة والتنقيص التنقيص لفضلاته والزيادة بالإخلاف لما علل

منه الأوّل بالرياضة والثان بالغذاء والأغذية يُراعَى اعتدال كُبّتها

يَأَلُّنَّ تَرْيِدٍ وِلِدُ لِّنْقُصِ عُمَّ يَبْغِي صَى لِد تَنْقِلِ أَو تَعُوزُ فَكُلِّ قُوةِ البدن

knowledge of it, so that we may be delighted by what we have done, rather than regretting it.

Pythagoras exhorts us to give attention to the health of our bodies, by being moderate in our consumption of food and drink, as well as in taking exercise; the moderate amount is that which does not cause harm.

He recommends also that *food be simple, not elaborate*.

This is because the body is a tool of the soul, and hence must be treated with care, so that the soul may function properly. For just as the soul is a gift from the gods, so the body must not corrupt the gods' gifts, | in case they become a 104b liability to us rather than a safeguard.

A body that is bulky will follow the soul's lead only with difficulty, and therefore we must make it lean.

The care of the body is by means of supplement and reduction — reduction to eliminate waste products, and supplement to restore what is eliminated; the first process is effected by exercise, the second through nutrition. Moderation should be observed in the quantity of food that is taken, whereby neither more nor less is taken than is required, so that we become neither corpulent nor emaciated, which would destroy the body's strength.

<sup>32</sup> Οὐδ' ύγιείης της περί σωμ' ἀμέλειαν ἔχειν Χρή.

<sup>33</sup> Αλλά ποτού τε μέτρον και σίτου γυμνασίων τε

<sup>34</sup> ποιείσθαι · μέτρον δὲ λέγω τόδ', δ μή σ' ἀνιήσει.

<sup>35</sup> Elbisou be blautav Exery Habaperov, appuntor

والغذاء المتفنن الفليظ يفر بالقوى الطبيعية ويكدتا كدا عظيما وجلب الأمراض والبسيط لا يكدوا والنقى بثير الروح وبهذب الفكر ولهذا صارت حياة المياسير مُكدرة بالأمراض ويحرصون على صيد الحيوانات والسمك ويقتنون الصناعات في إفلاكها ويفننون ألوان الطبيخ بسبب الشهوة الهميمة حتى أنم يذلون لمن يصلحها لم ويدارونه ولا يؤدبون أولادهم في هذا المعنى بل يُنشونه عليه والوصية الفوتاغورية بهد ذلك لأنها توصى في الفذا بيساطته ونقاعه وأكثر الأعذية الفيفة 105a المل في من غير المتنفس للآكله وإن طن طن الله يندفي أن نفتذي أ بالسنفس لآكله فليكن من مستنشق الهواء

ويأمر باطراح الجسد لأن الحياة المبرأة من الجسم ألد من

Food which is varied and coarse impairs the natural powers and burdens them severely, and brings on diseases, while simple food does not burden them, and pure food stimulates the spirit and disciplines the thoughts. This is why the lives of prosperous people are vexed by disease, and why they are bent on hunting animals and fishes, and acquire skills in killing them, and develop dishes so elaborate, at the prompting of bestial craving, that they humble themselves to anyone who improves them for them, and flatter him; nor do they punish their offspring for this attitude, but rather rear them in accordance with it. But Pythagoras' admonition runs contrary to this, in that it advises that food be simple and pure. Most | foods that are easily digested by the 105a eater come from inanimate sources, and those who believe that we ought to nourish ourselves on food that is animate, are being foolish.

Pythagoras commands that we reject the body, because to those who possess intelligence, a life of freedom from the body is sweeter than

<sup>36</sup> καὶ πεφύλαξό γε ταυτα ποιείν, δπόσα φθόνον "σχει. (!)

عه ويقتنون إنها أو بعسون ينبي ? [سي أو البسط عام البسط عام البسط البسط عام البسط ال earlier in the line. \* aib line. I wish

وان طن ظانٌ انه يلبغي أن بعتدي : MS here repeats dittographically بالمتنفب

<sup>(= \$00</sup>vos) الحسد One would expect عسكا (= \$00vos)

التي تكون مع الجسم عند ذوى العقول فالحياة مع الجسم مضطربة ومع غير الجسم ساكنة لذيذة والحياة الجسمانية تمضى مع اللذة والفجر والعبب والنهج وأمثال فؤلاء يجتهدون تزيين نفوسهم بكثرة المقتليات الهيولانية والمطرح لهذه لا يسرع إليه الحسد لكن مُحد وزُمًّا صُسد لأجل الحد وحُسن السيرة يكون جسن المشاركة للناس والاعتدال في المعاملات وصسى اللقاء وجميل السيرة وطافة اللفظ والإعانة للفير وألَّا بذكر الزلل الذي زلَّ فيه الإنسان وأمثال هذه لا مُسد الإنسان عليها وما يجذب إليه السدكترة المقتنيات والرئاسة والشلط في السياسة والمتأله 105Ь فو الذي يُبعد من فذه الأمور ويطلب النيرات التي في بالحقيقة صرات وفذه لا يحسد أهل العالم من أجلها لأن الحسد انفعال من المنعالات الهيولانية ومن أعرق في الفضيلة بكالها فأهل الفضائل

with it, since life with the body is subject to agitation, while without it life is calm and delightful. The life of the body involves sensual pleasures, debauchery, pride and aggression, and those who follow it try to improve themselves by amassing material possessions, while one who rejects the life of the body is not readily envied, but is rather praised, although he may perhaps be envied the praise he receives. Good conduct is to be achieved through co-operating well with people, through moderation in dealings with them, good social comportment, courteous behaviour, grace of speech, and helpfulness towards others; further, by not reminding people of slips they have committed: characters of this sort are not envied by people; what makes a person envied is abundance of possessions, prominence and political power.

The divine man is one who is aloof from 105b such concerns, and who seeks the good things that are truly good. These things do not excite the envy of ordinary people, because envy is a material reaction, and a person who is thoroughly steeped in

المرصوة لا يحسدونه

فيثاغورس يأمر بألَّد نبذر المقتنيات على جهة غير محمودة في 31,38 غير أوانها ونتمسك بها إلى حد فالاعتدال في كل شيء جميل والمقتنيات تُعَدّ ضيرا في الرتبة الثالثة فلهذا لمّا تكلّم في ضيرات النفس والجسم انتقل إليها وفيها يكون الحسد والتعبد لها والتمسك بها قبيح وتبذيرها أيضا فببم في أيّ وقت كان فإن تبذيرها لا يكون إلّا لأجل الشره والمحمود الدقَّفاق على ما ينبغى وفي الوقت الذي ينبغى ومع من ينتفى ولا يقع شره في المأكولات والمشروبات والمتمسك بالمال عاشق له يعينه كما قد يعشق الإنسان البخورات وغيرها والمبذر أعذر من المتمسك لأن فذا يزول عنه صد العطا والإفضال | والاعتدال محبوب عند الطبيعة ما 1068 لأنه مفيد الكمال لكل شيء

ويأمر بفعل ما لا يفر والارتياء قبل الفعل والتمييز بين

perfect virtue will not be envied by those whose virtue is spurious.

Pythagoras instructs us not to squander what 17.38 we possess improperly and unseasonably, nor to be excessively niggardly with what we have, since moderation in all things is best.

Possessions are accounted as a good of the third class; after he has discussed the goods of the soul and those of the body, he goes on to talk about these goods, which are the object of envy. To be enthralled by them, or greedy for them, is disgraceful, as it is also to be wasteful of them at any time whatsoever, since prodigality arises only from cupidity, whereas the creditable course is to conform to the appropriate measure, the proper time, and with individuals with whom it is appropriate; it is correct also to avoid gluttonous consumption of food and drink. A person who clings fast to his money is infatuated with money itself, in the same way as someone else may perhaps be addicted, say, to incenses.

However, the wastrel is more excusable than the niggard, because the latter is no longer able to give gifts or render favours.

Moderation is beloved by nature because it 106a. gives all things perfection.

Pythagoras tells us to do that which is not harmful, and to consider before we act, and to make

<sup>37</sup> Μή βαπανάν παρά καιρόν, δποία καλών άδαήμων

μηδ' ἀνελεύθερος ἴσθι · μέτρον δ' ἐπὶ πῶσιν ἄριστον.

<sup>39</sup> πρήσσε δὲ ταῦθ' ἄ σε μὴ βλάψει . λόγισαι δὲ πρὸ ἔργου.

<sup>[</sup> الملحودات

الخيرات والشرور من الأشياء العقلية والمكمة رئاسة يدخل تحتها جميع الغضائل العملية فتجعل غاية العفة ضبط الشهوات والشجاعة والاستعداد للثاء الأمور المخوفة واستعداب ورود الموت فن الواجب أن خلوت (ومقارنة / أفعالنا مِع فكر صِيّد نأمن به عواقب رداءة فيها وكما أنّا جِب أن نفكر ف أفعالنا قبل أن نفعلها فكذا ينبغي أن نقرن إلى الفكرة المشورة فيها حتى لا نستضر بعوا قبها بانباع شهوة أوغضب 44- منا ويأمر بألَّد ينام الإنسان إلَّا بعد تَصفِّح أفعاله التي مضت له ف لاه ثلث دفعات صى يعلم ما تجوّن فيه فيزجر نفسه بسببه وما أمسن فيه فيرهم به وآل فيناغورس كانوا يحتّون على التأدّب الذي يفيط النفس ويقهرها عن الانبساط في الأمور البدئية

a distinction between good and bad among the things of the intellect.

The supreme authority rests with wisdom, and all the practical virtues are subsumed under its head, for it makes it the goal of moderation to control the passions, and courage, and readiness to confront the things which frighten us, and renders tolerable the fact that death will come to us — for it is certain that we shall die.

When we act in conjunction with sound thought, this preserves us from evil consequences, and just as we ought to think about what we are going to do before we do it, similarly we must combine thought with deliberation concerning it, so as to avoid damaging consequences as a result of being swayed by passion or anger.

Pythagoras commands that no-one should sleep until he has examined three times all that he has done during the day, in order to discover where he has transgressed, and scold himself for it, and what was correctly done, and congratulate himself upon it.

The Pythagoreans used to recommend a discipline which gave them control over themselves and restrained them perforce from indulging their physical urges.

<sup>10</sup> Mys, nunon harakojain şu, ahhaar uboapegaagar

LI πρὶν τῶν ἡμερινῶν ἔργων λογίσασθαι ἔκαστον·

<sup>42.</sup> πη παρέβην; τί δ' έρεξα; τί μοι δέον οὐκ ἐτελέσθη;

<sup>43</sup> βρξάμενος δ' ἀπὸ πρώτου ἐπέξιθι καὶ μετέπειτα

<sup>44</sup> δειλά μεν εμπρήξας επιπλήσσεο, Χρηστά δέ, τέρπευ.

There is an omission in the MS here.

الله ويأمرون بالحمية ففيها عضد اللقوة الناطقة على الشهوانية

ويستعملون عند المنام الألمان التي تزيل الخيالات الرديئة من النفس

ويفكر وا فيما فعلوه نهارًا وما كانوا يطلقون النوم عند طلوع الشمس

لئلًا يطلع واهب النور والحياة وهم نيام فالإبطال لفعلٍ ليس بفضيلة

وفكرهم فيما عفى نهادا ليس لأنهم يستدركوا الغائت لكن صتى لا

يفعلوا مثله وأن يستأنفوا من الأفعال ماقد اضبروا الحيد منه

من الردئ و زجر النفس لذاتها هو بأن تعود إلى موهرها وتفكر ف

أفعال ولا تحتاج إلى آخر ينبهها كالنائمة

والفعل لهذه الأمور الموصى بها يبلغ بالإنسان إلى نحو الفضائل

البالية والكد والحرص والامتحان للأفعال لؤدى إلى جورة التأدّب

والمُبّة تخص النفس الناطقة والعفّة لفير الناطقة والفضائل والفضائل

الإلهية في الوصول إلى العلم الإلهي والتألّه في الأفعال لاقتناء الهياة

They used also to insist upon fervent zeal, because it furnishes | an ally for the rational faculty to combat the power of passion.

For the period of sleep, they would employ music such as would purge the soul of malignant fantasies, and they would think about what they had done during the day. They used not to countenance sleeping at sunrise, so that the giver of light and life should not rise while they were asleep, for there is no virtue in nullifying any action.

The reason for their thinking about their daytime activities was not to regain lost opportunities, but in order to avoid repeating what they had done, and so as to resume those of their activities which they would discover by testing them to be good, as distinct from the bad.

The soul's rebuking itself was so that it would revert to its true self and reflect upon its actions, and not need anyone else to awaken it as though it were asleep.

Putting these admonitions into practice brings an individual near to divine virtue; effort, striving, and examination of one's actions result in excellence of manners. Love is peculiar to the rational soul, whereas moderation properly belongs to the irrational soul.

The "divine virtues" are the attainment of divine knowledge; and divinity in one's actions is for the purpose of acquiring everlasting life and

<sup>45</sup> Ταύτα πόνει, ταῦτ' ἐκμελέτα: τούτων Χρὴ ἐρῶν σε,

<sup>46</sup> ταθτά σε της θείης αρετής είς Ίχνια θήσει.

1072

الدائمة والوصول إلى السعادة العلمية العلوية التي بها يُتَّصَل بالإله

ومن وصل إلى هذه الرتبة فهو الخير في الحقيقة

47.48 وفيتاغورس جفَّق ما قاله وانه على ما قاله بالقسم الذي

منع النفس المادّة الرباعية من الطبيعة التي لا تسكن وليس إمّا خالف

ما ومى به في النهى عن الأيان لكن فكل هذا تحقيقاً وتأكيدا وحثا

على استُعمال وقدا مبالغة ومن الناس من كان يعتقد ف

فيناغورس أنه إله ومنهم من كان يمتقد أنه إنسان وكان يقسم به

أفل زمانه لنألهه وبلوغه أقفى المنازل في الفضائل الإلهية

وفيتاغورس جعل الأعداد مثلًا ورموزا على الأمور الإلهية لاسمّا

العدد الكائن من الواحد إلى العشرة ويرفع كلًّا عنها إلى سبب غير ما .

اللُّخر وبوجب له من الفعل غير ما يوجب للآخر والعشارية هي

الناعلة عندة للعالم وانما جعل القسم بالرباعية من دونها لأن

realizing exalted intellectual felicity, whereby we may be united with God; anyone who has attained this | stage is truly good.

107a

does so in a manner compatible with his teaching—with the oath "By Him who has given to the soul its fourfold substance of unabating nature."

He is not, in taking this oath, violating his own precept in which he prohibits oath-taking; rather his reason for taking the oath is by way of verification and confirmation, and to encourage the implementation of his oath: it is done as a rhetorical hyperbole.

There were people who were convinced that Pythagoras was a god, while others regarded him as a mortal. His contemporaries used to swear an oath by him, because of his godliness, and the fact that he had attained the ultimate station in the divine virtues.

Pythagoras regarded numbers as symbolic images of divine realities, particularly the number which is composed of the units from one to ten; he ascribed to each different number a different cause, and postulated for each of them a different activity. In his view, tenfoldness was the maker of the world, and the reason for his citing, in his oath, fourfoldness, rather than tenfoldness, is that Empedocles, who composed these sayings,

<sup>47</sup> ναι μα τον άμετέρα ψυχά παραδόντα τετρακτύν,

<sup>48</sup> παγάν ἀενάου φύσεως.

dFOI

مدون الألفاظ الدليدة امبادقلس كان يقول برياعية الأسطقسات وأنها أصل العالم والطبيعة أو لأن عدد العشارية منطور ف الرباعية فأول العدد إشارة إلى الله تعالى لأن له الوصدائية الخالصة وإذا أُصِيف إليه عدد آخر كان صور الآلهة النواني على ما يقولون فإن معدون الوصدانية لزاوس ويسمونه به ويسمون اثنا بالثلاثية و(١) بلون بالسباعية وفكذا في غيرف والاعداد الاول إنا في سمات اللهمة والثوان في الصور المعقولة التي في الموصودات والثوالث في الفور النفسانية لأنه يجعل قوام النفوس الذب المولد لها من الأعداد والروابع صور الأشياء الطبيعية إلى صب الهبولي ورتبتها بالصورة وإذا كانت م اتب الأعداد أربعة العدر الإلى والعظلي والنفساني والطبيعي فبالواصب يكون آخر الأعداد في الرماعي و قوم ستدلون على تفضيل العدد الرباع لأن عليه عدد

taught a doctrine of four elements: he held that they were the source of the world and of nature. Alternatively, he may have made fourfoldness the subject of the oath because tenfoldness is contained in it: for the first number symbolizes God, because he possesses perfect unity, and if any number were to be added to unity, the resulting number would then have been, according to the Pythagorean system, a representation of the secondary divinities, since they reserved unity exclusively for Zeus, whom they called by that name.

They named Athene by threefoldness, and Apollo by sevenfoldness, and did similarly with the other numbers.

The first numbers are characteristic of the gods, the second represent the intelligible forms that are found in all that exists, the third are representations of soul, since Pythagoras held that souls are directed by the Father, who caused them to be born out of numbers, while the fourth are representations of natural objects, in keeping with matter and its ordering by form; and since there are four categories of numbers — divine, intellectual, those of soul, and natural numbers — the last category of numbers is rightly located in the fourfold number.

Some have accounted for Pythagoras' favouring fourfoldness on the grounds that it corresponds to the number of seasons in the year,

وَهُولُ السنة و مسبه عدد الحيوانات - فنها سماويّة وللوائيّة ومائيّة ومائيّة والرضية - وعدد الفضائل أربع - الحكمة والشباعة والعقة والعدل - 1088 فالموجود بدأ من الواحد الإلى وانتهى إلى الرباعية الطبيعية والرباعية إذًا من بين العدد خاصّة بالعشارية التى في الكال وبالواجد الذى فو المبدأ فقسمه بالرباعية لأنها خاصة بنا فتقدير الكلام "وجق واقب الينبوع الاربعى " ( ) الذى به كالنا وواقبه فو الواحد وإنا ضعنا بالينبوع الاربعى لأنه كال إنّا العشرة فيه - ولأن فينا طبيعة العقل والنفس الحيوانية والطبعية

الله في تكميله وأنت فينبغى أن تعلم أن العلوم المؤدّية إلى الأعال إلى الله في تكميله وأنت فينبغى أن تعلم أن العلوم المؤدّية إلى الأعال الله في تكميله في العمل صارت لفظاً ساذجا لا معنى له العمل صارت لفظاً ساذجا لا معنى له السماعنا

or to the number of kinds of animal — for there are celestial, aerial, aquatic and terrestrial animals — or because the number of virtues is four: | wis- 108a dom, courage, moderation and justice.

The existents thus began with divine unity, and ended with the natural number four, and consequently fourfoldness is, of all numbers, most peculiarly associated both with tenfoldness — which is perfection — and with unity, which is the beginning, and so he swears by fourfoldness because it is a number characteristic of ourselves.

Thus, the true meaning of the expression "Yea, by the giver of the fourfold source" — is tenfoldness wherein is our perfection, and its giver who is One. The reason for his associating the "fourfold source" specifically with us is because it is perfection — since it contains ten — and because we contain the natures of intellect, of animal and vegetable soul, and a physical nature.

48,49 Pythagoras commands that when activity is contemplated, a prayer be made to God, that He bring the action to its completion.

You must realize that every kind of knowledge which is effectively practical must necessarily be combined with practice, if it is not to be mere meaningless verbiage; for when we give credence

<sup>48 2</sup>xx 2pxeu 2n 2pxov,

<sup>49</sup> θεοίσιν ἐπευξάμενος τελέσαι.

aud]

للفضائل ينبغي أن نقرن به علاً حتى نكون مقسكين بها على ما ينبغي ونطرح سائر الشهوات البدنية ونعتضد بالتأييد الإلى في المعونة على ما نلقاه من الجهاد ولا نكون كمن قال إنني لا احتاج إلى الصلاة 1086 والابتهال لِبُلُوغي الفضيلة وغلطوا لأن الذي يكتسب الوجود والفضيلة من آخر فيجب أن يبقى أبدًا متمسك بذلك الآخر ولأن ما سوى الله فهو ﴿ يكتسب عنه ما يحتاج في الكال إليه و إن بلغ عاية الفصيلة

> وفيتاغورس يعلمنا أن المقسك بهذه الأوامر ينتهي إلى L9 - 51 المراتب الإلهية وقد تقدم فأعلمنا أن الفضيلة هي في صحّة الاعتقاد ق التَّالَمة وجميل الرأى في ايراوس وفي حرمة النفوس والإكرام للمولدين والحرص على الإفضال على الصديق والمناسب واستعمال

եԳ

to the virtues, we must also combine this with practising them, so as properly to abide by them, and reject all bodily passions; and we must seek divine aid to help us in our exertions, rather than act as do those who say "I do | not need to pray or 108b beseech, because I have already attained virtue." People who say this are misguided, since whatever derives its existence and its virtue from a source that is other than itself, must cling everlastingly and unceasingly to that other source, and because all except God needs Him for its perfection, even though it may have attained the acme of virtue.

Pythagoras informs us that anyone who perseveres with these commands will ultimately reach the divine ranks.

He has pointed out previously that virtue consists in correctness of belief about God, in having appropriate respect for the heroes and for the inviolability of souls, in honouring one's parents, in striving to render favour to one's friends and relatives, and in practising justice and sound

τούτων δὲ κρατήσας,

γνώσεαι άθανάτων τε θεών θνητών τ' άνθρώπων 50

σύστασιν, ή τε έκαστα διέρχεται ή τε κρατείται

العدل وجودة الرأى والنير الواصل إلينا من ذلك فوالوصول إلى العضيلة الإلهية بالعلم والاتَّهال بها بأن يعلم العقل وجور الأمور الإلهية والإنسية وكيفكن وجود الآلهة متقدمًا وكيف وُجد البشي عَنها وأن الدَّلهة أعلى من العالمُ وهي العالمة بنفوسنا وليس كل فعل يليق بها وكيف رتبها وصركاتها ودورانها وهل غاياتها فيما دون 109a أوفيما فوأعلى وأن البشرمنه جسماني وغير جسهاني والجسماني أداة لفير الجسماني وكيف يخالط غيرالبسماني الجسماني وكيف ينفرد عدة وكيف تكون مرتبته بعد الانفراد والل يبقى في العالم العلوى أبدًا أو يعود نازلًا والعقول إذا تفلسفت بلغت إلى درجة أنفع وإلى كالاتها الناصة بها والأدون أبدا يبلغ إلى رتبة الأعلى ويقف فله حركةٌ في العضيلة ووقوف في الرتبة الإلهية وعلى مذهب امنادقلس الوقوف فو الذي يكون عن الحبيّة مجمِع الطبيعة واحدة

judgment; and the good that will accrue to us through following these injunctions is that we shall attain to divine virtue through knowledge, and our knowledge of it will be such that our intellects will discover the existence of both divine and human realities, and learn how the gods are prior, while human beings owe their existence to them, and how the gods are exalted beyond the world, and have knowledge of the souls which belong to them; we shall come to see that not all activity is worthy of them, and we shall learn about their ranks, their movements and their rotation, | and whether their 109a goals are lowly or more exalted; that a human being has a corporeal part, and a part that is incorporeal; that the corporeal part is an instrument for the incorporeal, and the way in which the incorporeal part is associated with the corporeal, and in what sense it is detached from it; the rank it possesses, once it has detached itself, and whether it remains for ever in the upper world or comes back down from it.

When intellects philosophize, they reach a stage that is more beneficial, and attain their respective perfections. A more lowly intellect can always attain a higher rank, and stay there, for it is able to move in terms of virtue, and to station itself at the divine level.

According to the system of Empedocles, rest is the product of Love's binding all nature into a

والركة تعلبه الغلبة بالتشار الواحد إلى الكثرة ولما كانت طبائع أتذلهة بسيطة ومتشابهة وغير منكثرة فياتها بالبساطة والحيوانات غير الناطقة لما كانت مع الكثرة والارتباط فياتها كذلك والإنسان وهو متوسط بالعقل والجوافر الإلى يدخل في البساطة والتأمد وبالبدن ف التركيب والتكثير والظلمة فالطبيعة غير 109b النقية لا تتوقع العلق إلى الأعالى فليس فذا في طبعها والطبيعة العلوية لا تخط إلى الأسافل فليس هذا في طبعها فأما الطبيعة الإنسانية قلا ينبغي أن ينجذب الأعلى فيها بمقارنته الأسفل البتة لكن ينبغي أن 53 يمذب الأسطل إليه ولا تطلب ما الوأعلى ما قدرته في عرف ذاته النَّمْس لها مقدارما يستمقه حسب والأمور الناصة بنا والتي لمي مُوْفِرُنَا فَلَنَا أَنْ نَتْصَرَفَ بِحَسْبِهَا وَقَدْ ﴿ يَكُونَ فَى } قَدْرَتْنَا الْبِلُوغُ إِلِيهَا فأما الأشياء التي تكون بالبغت كاليسار والمنزلة عند السلطان وطول WOTE OF PHT' SEXAT' EXHISELY,

single entity, whereas motion comes about when Strife gains the upper hand by the dissolution of Unity into Multiplicity. Now since the gods have simple, homogeneous natures, rather than manifold ones, their lives are simple, whereas irrational animals are tied up with plurality and articulation, and live accordingly; whilst man, being in an intermediate position, partakes, in virtue of his intellect and his divine essential nature, in simplicity and unity, and, by virtue of his body, in compositeness, multiplicity and gloom. It is not to be ex- 109b pected that an impure nature will be elevated to the topmost heights, because to do so would be uncharacteristic, nor is a celestial nature likely to sink to the lower levels, for it is not in its nature to do so; but concerning the nature of a human being, that which is higher in it must never be dragged down through its being in association with the lower; instead, it should draw the lower towards itself. 53 Nor should anyone try to achieve anything that is beyond his powers, since someone who knows himself will strive only after that to which he is entitled. We must be active with respect to the things that are properly our concerns and which constitute our true nature, since it may be within our power to attain them; whereas things that come about by chance, such as prosperity, positions of authority,

54 العمر فليست إلينا فلا ينبغي أن تحرص فيها وسوء الحت الكائن للسشم عُرِ اخْتِيارِهِ المؤدِّي إلى سِعَاءِ وبه عدولِه عرافيرات الناصة بذاته ووقوعه في الشرور السهلة عليه الوقوع فيها ونفس الإنسان لأن له حركة إرادية واختيارية تتصرف بأن تعلو وتنحط بإيثارها ولها أن تخيار السيرة المحودة والمذمومة ولهذا يختلف محلّها إذا تصرفت بالكون فوق ١١٥٥ الأرض وتحتها والنفس المتصرفة بحسب النطق تميا في الخداث صياةً إرادية وتتنع (و)إذا تعرفت جسب الفضب والسروة تيا صَّاة الشِّي وتنال العقاب الدامُّ وتحصل في الطَّلَمات الهيولائية ولا تخالط النيرات الإلهية والعقل والويصر النفس والعقل الداني من الشرور مثقل بالأنفعالات الهيولانية والعقول ما دامت مع الهيولي فإنها تستنبر بالإلميات كاستنارة النائم في الشمس ولو لا يعلم صي إدا الله وانكشفت له صواسه شاهدما هوفيه والعقل المتخرج بالففائل يلحظ لحظا يسيرا أنه

يتنقل من الشرور والذي يجعل العقل بهذه

and longevity are beyond our control, and we must not therefore desire them.

Ill fate comes to human beings as the result of their making choices that lead to unhappiness, and which deflect them from the good things that are proper to them, and which throw them into calamities into which they readily fall. Because the human soul is capable of motion resulting from will and choice, it can so behave as either to rise or to sink. as it may prefer; and it has the choice of following either a praiseworthy or a reprehensible way of life, and consequently its position will vary according as it has acted by the world above the earth, or 110a that below it.

A soul which has behaved rationally will live a life of free choice among good things, while if it has followed anger or appetite, it will lead a miserable life, and be subjected to everlasting punishment, and will end up among the gloom of what is material. with no share in the divine goods.

When in the proximity of vices, the intellect - which is the soul's eye - becomes burdened with material reactions. Now as long as intellects remain connected with matter, they are continually being illuminated by the divine beings, in the same way that someone may be sleeping in the sun, and not realize it until he awakens, and recovers his senses, and sees for himself the situation he is in. An intellect which has been educated by means of the virtues can

<sup>5</sup> L γνώση δ' ανθρώπους αὐθαίρετα πήρατ' έχοντας

الصفة فوالعلم فالنفس إذا شعرت تُؤثر صلّ رباطها من الهيولي وإنا جذبتها الهيول إليها لم تؤثّر مل الرباط والوقت الذي تؤثّر فيه صل الرباط فوالوقت الذي تطرح فيه اللذات البدئية كالحواس والكرامات واليسار والسلطان والنفس المستحقة لذلك في التي تكون معتقة من 1106 58 الشرور وقد يربط النفس بالشرالهوى أيضًا ولأن الشرور اختيارية في عُمْنَى بلا نهاية والعقول الإلمية وإن كانت احتيارية فركرتا دورًا بالدنفطاف على ذاتها فأما نفوس الناس فتتحرك على الدستقامة فإنها تمضى هو الشهوانية والعضبية وتأتى بها إليها وبالأول تكون مستعدة وبالثاني مستعبدة وإذا انجذبت الناطقة من الشهوائية لا تكون مختارة بل مقهورة ومتصرفة تصرفا سدفيا

59,60 فيثاغورس ينهى عن العداوة فإنها مفسدة ومؤلمة

58 ἀπείρονα πήματ' ἔχοντες.

see quite clearly that it has been dissociated from evil things, and it is knowledge which imparts this quality to intellect.

When the soul becomes aware, it will prefer to dissolve the bond which ties it to matter, but when matter drags the soul along with it, it will not choose to dissolve the bond; the moment at which the soul chooses to break the connection is the moment at which it casts aside bodily pleasures such as sensual gratification, honours, wealth and authority. Any soul which has | freed itself from 110b vice is entitled to make that choice. Sometimes, too, passion may bind the soul to vice. And since vices are the objects of free choice, they may go on endlessly.

Although divine intellects have freedom of choice, still their motion is circular, because they incline fondly towards themselves. The motion of human souls, however, follows a straight path, since they tend towards the appetitive and the irascible, and draw these powers towards them, and become subject to the one, and subject to the other.

When the rational soul submits to the attractive influence of the appetitive soul, it forfeits freedom of choice and becomes subject to coercion, and behaves badly.

59,60 Pythagoras forbids enmity, because it is pernicious and causes suffering.

<sup>59</sup> λυγρή γώρ συνοπαδός έρις βλάπτουσα λέληθε

<sup>60</sup> σύμφυτος, ήν οὐ δεῖ προώγειν, εἴκοντα δὲ φεύγειν.

وامادقلس معتقد أن فينا حمية وغلية وبالفلية نتشتت ونتفي ق وبلقى المؤلمات وبالحية نتأخد ونلقى الملذات وبالحية نعلو وبالغلبة لسفل ووقوعنا في الشيور الاختيارية عندما نخط إلى أسفل ويرد العقل إلى عَالَمُ الكون ونَصْر بالعَكَر ونجعله بعالًا لَا مِن ضراته الخاصّة به وإذا مصل فَ مثل هذا المرض لم يقبل الشفاء بسرعة والنفس غير الناطقة إذا Illa أُدَّ في عنائها سارت جسب طباعها ويسيى المساحمة بيسطها - وقبضها يُّتُمُّ بالفكر والفكر يقسط أفعالها بأن ينسم لها نارةً جسب ما ينبغي وتارةٌ يُقْبِضُها ثم يدرُّجها متى يقبضها بالجلة والنفس تعييرمن معدنها إلى هذا المكان وتشابك المائت وتخط من شرفها وتعود من معدن الشرور إلى عالها وزاوس الأب المتوحد والوأبُّ للنفوس والسكائن في النفوس 61,62 الموجودة للناس المتألهة الصادرة من معدن الآلهة وبها يستريح الحيوان فينا من اللّالم الجسدانية حذب الميوانية إليها والنفس[8] التي تخلص

According to the doctrine of Empedocles, we have in us Love and Strife; through Strife we become dissolved and dissipated, and encounter pain, while through Love we are unified and meet with delight. By the agency of Love we are elevated, and by that of Strife we are made to sink, and our falling into evils of our own choosing occurs when we sink to the lower level, and our intellects enter the world of coming-to-be; we thus damage our faculties of thought, and cause them to abandon the good things that are properly theirs, and anyone who finds himself ailing in such a fashion as this will find no ready cure.

111a

When the irrational soul is given its head, its conduct conforms to its nature, and becomes permissive in its looseness; and its restraint is accomplished by thought. Thought imposes limits upon its activity by alternately giving it reasonable freedom, and then restraining it; it then gradually strengthens its grip upon it until it has it completely under restraint.

The soul departs from its source, takes up residence here, and becomes entangled with mortality, and sinks from its eminent place; then it returns from the abode of evil to its own world.

Zeus is the one unique Father: He is father of souls.

Demons are souls which have been present in men, and have become divine; they emanate from the divine source, and are the means whereby the animal part of us gains respite from corporeal sufferings, which animality brings upon us. A soul

<sup>61</sup> Ζεῦ πάτερ, ἦ πολλών με μακών λύσειας ἄπαντας,

<sup>62</sup> εὶ πλοιν δείξαις, οξύ τῷ δείμονι Χρώνται.

<sup>[19</sup> من د خلس

ذاتها من الدنفعالات الجسدانية في التى لها رتبة فاضلة والإله عارفٌ بكل سكينة وإين محلها كل يعرف الأب ولده والنفوس العقلية كلها تسمّى سكائن التى فوق (و) في الأرض والتى في الأرض في التى في جنس المائين والتى فوق الأرض في جنس العلوبين

26 - 63 وفي الناس طبيعة إلمية إذا تمسكت بالوصايا الفاضلة عادت إلى عالمها واستراحت من الآلام الجسدانية والطبيعية والطبيعة الإلمية التي في الناس في العقل المستى نفسًا ناطقة وتستى فذه الطبيعة كرية وفنه الطبيعة توجد لفابط الكل ولجيع الآلهة والسكينات التي في قوام علينا وصقا أن السكائن شهود على البشر وبكاد أن يكونوا يتم الملائكة المؤلّون بم والطبيعة الكرية التي فينا تحيا صاةً عقلية سليمة من الشوائب والآفات وترى الأمور بالعين النفية وبتصرفها محسب طباعها تتبرأ من هذه

which has freed itself from bodily passivities attains a superior rank.

God has knowledge of each demon, and of where it is to be found, just as a father knows his own offspring. The name "demon" is applied to all intellectual souls; those which are on the earth belong to the mortal species, whilst those that are above the earth are of the heavenly species.

111b

63-65 There is a divine nature in man: if it adheres to these excellent admonitions, it will return to its own world, and be delivered from bodily suffering. This divine nature in man is the intellect, called also the rational soul; this nature is also called "priestly."

This nature exists in the controller of the Universe, and in all the gods and demons who are our guardians. The demons are deservedly the witnesses of humankind, since they are close to being the angels who are entrusted with their care.

The priestly nature in us lives an intellectual life that is free from blemish and harm, and sees things in a mysterious way. When it acts according to its nature, it becomes free from these wearisome

<sup>63</sup> Αλλλ συ θάρσει, ἐπεὶ θεῖον γένος ἐστὶ βροτοίσιν,

bl+ cis ιερώ προφέρουσα φύσις δείκνυσιν έκαστα.

<sup>65</sup> בא פו ספו דו שברבשדו, אף אדק שבו של שב אבאבנים,

<sup>66</sup> Efekéses ψυχήν δε πόνων ἐπὸ τῶνδε σεώσεις.

الدُّلام الحسدانية المتعبة وتصبى به مطم ة أمشفاة من الانفعالات التي تكسيها من عدم النطق وهذه الانفعالات إذا الطاع لها العقل فيي أمراضه لأنه يتشبه باليوان غيرالناطق وبقها بصم ملك ولا يكون عبدا وتبقر التعالات البدن على البدن ولا يتعرض لها كا قال سقراط عند صل 112a قيده: إنني وصدتُ ألمَّا في ساق لا في ذات ومن الواحب لنا أن خلى الواس واليوائدة التي فينا ونعتقدها جوهم اغرية من العقل ولا نسلُّهما على الفقل ويكون الفقل في علو مرتدته وهي في استفال مرتدتها ونهرب من المنالات غير النطقية التي في جهالات وعوائق وقواطع صي يكون الجوالر الذي فينا مصفى مهذبا ونُلقى عنا الأفواء التي لا تلين بهذا الجوفي المصفى لذَّن تحمله مظلما كدر؛ فإذا فرب العقل من فذه الحياة القدَّرة السَّيَّة أَفَانه يعير مطهرا مهذبا ويكون في الأمر الطبيعي لا في الأمر الخارج ع: الطبع وفي الناص به لافي الفريب

bodily afflictions, and thus becomes purified and healed of the passivities which it acquires through irrationality. When the intellect succumbs to these passivities, they become diseases within it, because it comes to resemble a dumb animal, whereas if it overcomes them, it becomes master rather than slave, and leaves the passivities of the body behind with the body, and is not subjected to them. As 112a Socrates said when his fetters were removed: "I can feel a pain, but in my leg, not in myself"!

It is our duty to let go of the senses and the animality that is in us, and to look upon them as something intrinsically alien to the intellect, since the intellect is the highest member of its class, whilst the animal faculty is the most inferior member of its class; and we ought to shun irrational fantasies, which are follies, blockages and obstacles, so that our true nature may be clarified and refined. Further, we should discard our passions, since these are not in keeping with this purified essential self, for they would render it murky and turbid. When the intellect shuns this squalid life of the senses, it becomes pure and refined, and can abide by its natural and proper character, rather than conform to a course which is unrelated and alien to it.

67,68,69 فيتأغورس بأمرأن بقرن جوهر العقل الطبارة وأن نحل عنه الرباطات العاقلة له بالنفس الهمية وأن تكون الأفعال الإنسانية قت تدبيرات العقل والنطق ومبدأ طهارة العقل استعمال ما يحل عنه 1126 رباطات الجسم والانطياع لبهميته وما يكدر حياته الناصة به ولا تُندّعنا ولا يجل بالإنسان التعبد للعطئ والمواصلة لما يدنسه الأعذية بتقننها وصلاوتها لكن نفتمد النافع منها والأبسط والألطف بل يعن نفسه المطهرة في حوافي المهذبة في عنصرنا من هذه الانفعالات القباح وألد منطاع لأكل اللمايات المنتنة الدوائح والحبوب الفليظة لتكسفها للروح وتفليظها البسم وتفنن الأطبخة جادب فواسنا إلى شهوة كل شيء و مُرح لنا من الاعتدال إلى عدم الاعتدال والإغراق في الشراب مُفسد العقول ومن الواجب أن يكون ما

67,68,69 Pythagoras commands us to combine purity with the essential intellect, and to free it from the bonds which bind it to the irrational soul; he commands also, that man's actions should be subject to the regulations of the intellect and of reason.

The starting-point towards intellectual purity is the undertaking of practices such as will loosen the bonds attaching it to the body, and release it 112b from its submission to the bestiality that is in it, and from whatever may defile its proper life. It is unseemly for a man to devote himself to his belly, or to be closely involved in anything that may pollute him. (We must not allow ourselves to be beguiled by variety or sweetness of foodstuffs, but should rely upon foods that are beneficial and those that are simplest and most delicate). Instead he should aid the soul that has been purified in its essence, and whose substance has been cleansed of these vile reactions. Nor should we permit ourselves to consume stinking pieces of meat, or coarse grains, because they darken the spirit and render the body gross, or variety of dishes, which allure our senses and induce them to crave all kinds of things. and render us no longer moderate but immoderate. Excessive drinking is also pernicious to the intellect. It is vital that we only consume as much food as is necessary.

<sup>67 &</sup>quot;ΑΧΧ είργου βρωτών, ών είπορεν, έν τε καθαρμοίς,

<sup>68</sup> ev te húder ψυχής κρίνων, και φράξευ έκαστα,

<sup>69</sup> ηνίοχου γνώμην στήσες κεθύπερθεν έρίστην.

نتناوله من الغذاء هو الضروري وإنا أمر أن يكون التدبي جسب النطق لأن العقل عارف بالأسباب وحتى كون مالك سيرتنا في الشيء الدُّفضل فينا وفلاطن يقول إن الشهوة هي ضعة توصل إلى الشرور والعقل لا يصير بنا إلى المستلذ لكن إلى الأنفع والأصسى بنا أن نكون في مدة عرنا كله قادرين على غلبة الشهوه بالطهارة صى 113a لا تخدعنا انفعالات البدن وتزلفنا خو الشهوات المسدة لنا والمصرة لشريف طاعنا بهيميًّا لكن منطاع إلى طباع النير القيمّ علينا والمدبر لنا والهو الذي يلحظ منافعنا لا مطارنا

> ويحتم فيتاغورس كلامه بالوعد لنا أنّا نصير إذا انفعا 70,71 الطبيعة العقلية إلى الأثير الذي هو مسكننا عند تألهنا ونكون إلهس غير مائلين وبغير دم ولا نقبل الموت وبالجلة عام هذا الشبير الذي فينا أكتساب الفصلة التي لها يترقى العقل ويصير إلى معدن الراحة

The reason for Pythagoras' commanding that diet be in accordance with reason is that the intellect is familiar with causes, and so that our conduct may be governed by that which is best in us. Plato says that appetite is a snare which leads to disaster; but the intellect does not lead us to what is pleasurable, rather to what is most beneficial, and what is best for us is that throughout our lives we should be capable of overcoming desire with the 113a help of purity, so that the reactions to which the body is subject will not deceive us, and bring us near to desires which may destroy us and render irrational our noble natures. Instead we should submit to the nature of the good, which is our overseer and our director, and which attends to our interests, not to what is harmful to us.

Pythagoras concludes his discourse by 70.71 promising us that if we submit to the intellectual nature, we shall travel to the ether which will be our home when we become gods, and that we shall be immortals, bloodless gods who will never die.

In general terms, the conclusion of this inward discipline is for us the acquisition of the virtue whereby the intellect ascends and travels to

ήν δ' απολείψας σώρα ές αλθέρ' έλεύθερον έλθης,

<sup>71</sup> εσσεκι άθάνατος, θεός αμβροτος, σύκ ετι θνητός.

وينتقل من الدَّلام الجسدائية ففاية صاتنا أن نكون إلْهمن وهذا يتم لنا إذا ما اقتنت النفس التأله في الحوفي وتعرفت حسب أصل طاعيا لأنها من معلولات الدله وفي بطبوا عم مائلة وعالمة عقلمة، وتلحق بهذه التصرفات بعالها وترجع إلى أصلها القديم وإذا تألهت بقيت أبدًا غير مائلة وتتنقل من عالم السفل إلى عالم العلو وتنتقل من صارةٍ مركَّنة إلى صاة يسبطة تخصِّها وتعتدي من الأشياء التي كانت تقاسيها من الطبعة المائنة وتترد من الأمور المُفسدة في القيقة التي من أحلها تُعير مائتة أرضية لا سماوية غير مائتة والمأوى في الأثم يُعيد النفس من عالم الكون الذي تهرب منه إلى عالمها الناص وكا أن كل واحد من الأجسام الطبيعية يطلب عالمه الناص مه فكذا النفوس له ب من عالم الكون بأن تطلب عالمها الخاص مها والأثير فو المكان الشريف حدًّا الذي تحت فلك القر القابل

the source of repose, and is removed from bodily sufferings; hence the purpose of life for us is to become divine, and we realize this goal when the soul, in its essential nature, attains divinity, and is active according to its fundamental character — which is, since it is one of God's creatures, to be by nature and immortal, possessing knowledge and having an intellective function. Through these activities it will join its own world, and revert to its eternal source.

When once it becomes divine, it will endure for ever, immortally, and be transported from the | nether world to the upper world, leaving behind it a 113b life of complexity to assume the life of simplicity which is proper to it; it will transcend the things which it has had to endure, which arise from mortal nature, and rid itself of the truly corruptive things which render it mortal and earthbound instead of heavenly and immortal.

When the soul takes refuge in the ether, its so doing returns it from the world of becoming, from which it is fleeing, to its proper goal. Just as every natural body seeks its own proper world, so do souls flee from the world of becoming in their search for their proper world.

The ether is the most exalted place that exists beneath the lunar sphere, receiving souls

<sup>[</sup>يطلب عالمها الخاص بها 2 و تعرد

النفوس التي تهرب من الكون وتحيا صاةً إلهمة فالشبيه أبدا يطلب شبيهه فالذي يميا حياةً إلمية يطلب أبدا المكان المرتبِّ المنتظم والذي يها صاةً غم إلهنة وانفعالية بطلب المكان غير المنتظم المظلم الذي تحت الدُّرض الموضوع في مقابلة الدُّثير المُشْرِق المُفيء الذي هو الهواء العافى لا الكدر المخالط أسفل وثم مسكن النقوس التي تطهرت 114a ولو عالمها وآل فيناغورس يقولون أن النفوس التي صسنت سيرتها في حياتها ترتقي إلى ما فناك وتتحرك دورًا في جميع المكان الذي تحت القر

> وفافنا تنقطع غرة مقالة فيناغورس الذهبة بتفسير برقلس ولله الحمد والشكم كاستحقاقه

that are fleeing from becoming and leading divine lives; for like always seeks like; thus that which is living a divine life always seeks out a stable and orderly environment, whilst that which is leading a life which is not divine but passive will seek an environment that is murky and disordered, and this place is to be found under the earth, opposite to the ether which is radiant and lucid and consists of air which is clear, not turbid or intermixed with 114a air from a lower region. It is this which is the abode of souls which have become pure, and this is their world.

The Pythagoreans say that souls whose conduct in life has been good are elevated to this place, and revolve in a circular motion which covers the whole of space in the sublunar region.

> Here breaks off the piece on the salient points of Pythagoras' golden discourse, according to Proclus' commentary, and to God be due praise and gratitude.