

Facebook Is Really DARPA LifeLog Black Op



The Pentagon's R&D department DARPA had big plans for the LifeLog-project in the early 2000s. It would be an über diary. The very same day as the Pentagon killed the

LifeLog-project, Mark Zuckerberg founded the social media platform Facebook. Even more troublesome for Facebook's CEO is the fact that he has headhunted DARPA's research director to lead Facebook's research on artificial intelligence.

In August last year, it became known that [the Swedish government at that time had a "hotline" to Facebook](#) to get posts that expressed political thoughts that the Swedish establishment disliked deleted from the platform. People that criticize the censorship that occurs in social media says that the social media companies should be regulated just like utilities to prevent that political dissidents does not get shut down because of their political views. The connection between the Swedish government, MSB and political parties with Facebook to oppress ideas that the establishment does not like confirms that Facebook is not a private company like other private companies. Facebook operates in a liberal economy, but when it comes to freedom of speech, censorship hits citizens that try to express ideas and thoughts that the establishment does not approve.

On Monday, Facebook and Instagram will begin to ban political views that celebrate "White nationalism" and "White separatism" while allowing all other ethnic/religious groups to continue to advocate for their own in-groups. That makes this article more important than ever before.

Now when this article has finally been re-published and translated to English I hope it will reach out to a broader audience.

Enjoy the reading!

Carl Gram, Nynäshamn on March 30th 2019

Something happened after the committee hearings by Mark Zuckerberg in Washington D. C. this week. At the moment, the issue is being raised in social media if Facebook, in fact, is a rebranded Pentagon DARPA-project that previously went under the name LifeLog. When the prototype for LifeLog was presented to the research community in 2003, it was described as a way for DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) to gather information from each individual's life – thereafter dump everything in a database – to then spin all the information to storytelling threads in order to track relationships, events and experiences, writes Wired Magazine. The Pentagon used to talk about the LifeLog project as an experiment to build an all-inclusive über diary. A kind of equivalent to today's Facebook.



Some people believe Edward Snowden is behind the drop at 8chan that revealed the link between the Pentagon's LifeLog-project and Facebook. Foto © Twitter

There are many things that indicate it is the mythical whistleblower Edward Snowden who is behind the release of the news that finally connects the Pentagon's cancelled project and Facebook with each other. It seems like he did it late Wednesday evening at the American chat forum 8chan. A little bit like it was done upon request.

1124 Apr 10 2018 14:16:11 (EST) Q !xowAT4Z3VQ
ID: 06cd81 [985368→](#)
@Snowden
Yes.
Testify then drop.
We have it all.
These people are stupid.
Fireworks.
POTUS' weekly address.
END.
Q

The whistleblower QANON's cryptic message on the chat forum 8chan. Foto © Twitter

Five years ago – in 2013 – Edward Snowden got the status of a hero after the American leaked thousands of top secret papers from the National Security Agency, the NSA, to the British newspaper The Guardian. The NSA leak reveals electronic mass surveillance of the American people. At first Edward Snowden escaped to Hong Kong, but he soon travelled to Moscow where he was being stranded when his passport was withdrawn.

Facebook – a front of the CIA Deep State?

In his possession – he may have had as much as 1.5 million top secret NSA documents – some of them describe the construction of algorithms on social platforms such as Facebook and Twitter. Algorithms that control the event flow that users can see on their computer screens when they log on to, for example, Facebook. Yet no one has really managed to figure out whether Edward Snowden is a *Black Hat* or a *White Hat* or if he plays solo.

When the spectacular committee hearings with Mark Zuckerberg ended on Wednesday, rumours started to buzz about Pentagon's LifeLog project in social media such as 8chan, Twitter and YouTube. No one knows for sure who the whistleblower on 8chan might be. But many people point their fingers in the direction of Edward Snowden.

Maybe that LifeLog-connection came from Snowden? That's crazy. I mean, I guess it's no shock that Snowden is on the boards posting. I suspect all the intelligence individuals are on there watching. But I'm sure some of them are interacting, says Dustin Nemos who runs a YouTube-channel with thousands of followers.

In his latest podcast, he goes through his own Twitter feed. Nemos points out [a tweet](#) that he thinks is particularly interesting.

Yeah, and then she (Lisa Mei Crowley, reds. anm) thinks that this 1131 post is Q confirming that it was Snowden posting that particular connection. So this will really make Mark Zuckerberg fearful I think. His entire foundation of the company's premise that he started it all in college. All of this other BS, says Dustin Nemos.

Because the very same day as the Pentagon killed the LifeLog project in early 2004, Mark Zuckerberg founded the social media platform Facebook to connect people all over the world. No one really knows why the LifeLog-project was shut down. Nobody wanted to talk about it really.

A change in priorities, says Jan Walker, spokeswoman at DARPA, to [Wired News](#).

DARPA is the US Department of Defense's research branch. The Pentagon's LifeLog-project was an ambitious attempt to map a person's entire existence. Everything would be gathered on a digital platform – LifeLog – where more or less everything a person says, watches or does: phone calls made, the TV-shows watched, the magazines read, the plane tickets bought, health status, sent and received by mail. Everything on LifeLog was supposed to be saved.



A [Twitter user](#) notices that deleted posts on Facebook are saved – even though Mark Zuckerberg claims that Facebook also deletes these posts. Foto © Twitter

There are too many similarities between LifeLog and Facebook which cannot be dismissed as a mere coincidence. You might compare Facebook to a computer that maps everything into an individual's life through images, searches, videos, music, chatting, contacts and relationships. Not even people who stay away from Facebook avoid digital surveillance. Everyone who does not exist on Facebook probably knows someone who has registered a Facebook account. This way Facebook also keeps track of people outside the platform.

I don't believe in coincidences. I believe that Facebook is obviously just a front of the CIA Deep State. And of course this LifeLog-project was just realized through a non-governmental agency that wouldn't have to be subject to any kind of oversight or FOIA requests or legal restrictions, says vlogger Dustin Nemos.

He continues.

Please take my Twitter that I posted. It's a meme of this and then repost it. Make it go viral. Put this thing out there. Let people know that there is a connection between these social media tech giants and the CIA and the Deep State. People realize it's there. People know about the Deep State now.

If the Pentagon's LifeLog-project would have become a reality, the digital platform could have played an important role in developing what is called Artificial Intelligence, AI. Thus how a computer – like a human – structures a large amount of information in order to create a logical context from chaos. The research world did not understand what happened when the Pentagon decided to scrap the LifeLog project from one day to another.

Obviously we're quite disappointed. We were very interested in the research focus of the program ... how to help a person capture and organize his or her experience. This is a theme with great importance to both AI and cognitive science, says Howard Shrobe, who led a team from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Artificial Intelligence Laboratory which spent weeks preparing a bid for a LifeLog contract, to Wired News.

He used to work in a team at the MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, when it became known that the LifeLog project was cancelled. At this time – in 2004 – there were similar AI projects in many other areas of the high-tech industry. While the Pentagon dumped the LifeLog project, Microsoft continued to find ways to sort and store large amounts of data through Microsoft's own MyLifeBits project. It seems like no

politician has investigated the links between DARPA, LifeLog and Facebook from what has been known from the committee hearing on Capitol Hill,. Although it is known that Mark Zuckerberg has headhunted key personnel from the LifeLog project.

Any concerns about Regina Dugan, former head of DARPA (LifeLog), being hired by Zuck to lead FB's "Building 8" team for R&D on new hardware, VR, AI, etc?

Research for yourselves and decide.

(Article from 2016) <https://t.co/MkZJBnpJqj>

— Ida Kettner (@Idakettner) [12 april 2018](#)

Instead, in the hearing Mark Zuckerberg focused on to describe Facebook as a social platform that is all about connecting people and ideas. Nothing else. During [Tuesday's Senate Committee Hearing](#), Mark Zuckerberg faced tough questions from Republican Ted Cruz.

Mr Zuckerberg, does Facebook consider itself as a neutral public forum, asked Ted Cruz.

Senator, we consider ourselves to be a platform for all ideas, answered Mark Zuckerberg.

A year ago (2017) at the F8 Congress, Facebook Inc revealed that it has a team of 60 engineers. They work on building a so-called brain-computer interface – brain computer interface. Something that will allow a person to write texts with the mind without foreign implants implanted in the body, according to the American journal [TechCrunch](#). The Facebook team plans to use optical imaging to scan the brain a hundred times a second to be able to read the thoughts inside the head. To then transfer the thoughts to text mass on the computer. At the F8 conference in 2017, Mark Zuckerberg and the company's Chief Technology Officer made jokes with some examples from what Facebook itself calls "direct brain interface" technology.

What if you could type directly from your brain? asked Regina Dugan, the head of Facebook's R&D division Building 8, to the conference attendees.

<https://newsgram.se/pentagon-facebook-true/>

Most of Facebook Censorship Board Has Ties to Leftwing Billionaire George Soros



The recently appointed Facebook [oversight board](#) that will decide which posts get blocked from the world's most popular social networking website is stacked with leftists, including a close friend of leftwing billionaire George Soros who served on the board of directors of his Open Society Foundations (OSF). Judicial Watch conducted a deep dive into the new panel that will make content rulings for the technology company that was slammed last year with a \$5 billion fine for privacy violations. The information uncovered by Judicial Watch shows that the group of 20 is overwhelmingly leftist and likely to restrict conservative views. More than half of the members have ties to Soros, the philanthropist who dedicates huge sums to spreading a radical left agenda that includes targeting conservative politicians. Other Facebook oversight board members have publicly expressed their disdain for President Donald Trump or made political contributions to top Democrats such as Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton and Elizabeth Warren. As one New York newspaper

editorial determined this month, the new Facebook board is a [“recipe for left-wing censorship.”](#)

Among the standouts is András Sajó, the founding Dean of Legal Studies at Soros’ Central European University. Sajó was a judge at the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) for nearly a decade. He also served on the board of directors of OSF’s Justice Initiative. Sajó was one of the ECHR judges in an Italian case ([Latusi v. Italy](#)) that ruled unanimously that the display of a crucifix in public schools in Italy violates the European Convention on Human Rights. The decision was subsequently overturned. Sajó’s deep ties to Soros are also concerning. Through his OSF Soros funds a multitude of projects worldwide aimed at spreading a leftist agenda by, among other things, destabilizing legitimate governments, erasing national borders and identities, financing civil unrest and orchestrating refugee crises for political gain. Incredibly, there is a financial and staffing nexus between the U.S. government and Soros’ OSF. Read about it in a Judicial Watch [special report](#) documenting how Soros advances his leftist agenda at U.S. taxpayer expense.

At least 10 other members of the Facebook oversight board are connected to leftist groups tied to Soros that have benefitted from his generous donations, according to Judicial Watch’s research. Alan Rusbridger, a former British newspaper editor and principal at Oxford University, serves on the board of directors of the Committee to Protect Journalists, which received \$750,000 from OSF in 2018. Rusbridger also served as a governor at a global thinktank, Ditchley Foundation, that co-hosted a conference with OSF on change in the Middle East and North Africa as well as understanding political Islam. Afia Asantewaa Sariyev, a human rights attorney, is the program manager at Soros’ Open Society Initiative for West Africa. Her research includes critical race feminism and socio-economic rights of the poor. Sudhir Krishnaswamy, an Indian lawyer and civil society activist, runs a progressive nonprofit called Centre for Law and Policy Research that focuses on transgender rights, gender equality and public health. The group is a grantee of a justice foundation that received \$1.4 million from OSF between 2016 and 2018. Krishnaswamy’s Centre also received money from a radical pro-abortion group, Center for Reproductive Rights, generously funded by the OSF.

The list of Facebook judges connected to Soros and the organized left continues. Julie Owono is the executive director of a Paris-based nonprofit, Internet Sans Frontieres, that advocates for privacy and freedom of expression online. In 2018, Internet Sans Frontieres became a member of the Global Network Initiative, an internet oversight and policy consortium handsomely funded by Soros. Nighat Dad is a Pakistani attorney and the founder of the Digital Rights Foundation, a nonprofit organization based in Pakistan that has received \$114,000 in grants from OSF. Dad’s group also gets funding from Facebook Ireland. Ronaldo Lemos, a Brazilian law professor, served on the board of directors of the Mozilla Foundation, which collected \$350,000 from OSF in 2016 and was also a board member at another group, Access Now, that also got thousands of dollars from Soros. Tawakkol Karman, a journalist

and civil rights activist, sits on the advisory board of Transparency International, which gets significant funding from Soros' OSF.

Rounding out the Soros-affiliated field on the new Facebook censorship board are Helle Thorning-Schmidt, Catalina Botero-Marino and Maina Kiai. Thorning-Schmidt, Denmark's former prime minister, sits on the board of the European Council of Foreign Relations, which took in more \$3.6 million from OSF in 2016 and 2017. She is also a trustee at the International Crisis Group which has collected over \$8.2 million from OSF and includes George and Alexander Soros on its board. The former Danish prime minister is also a member of the Atlantic Council's International Advisory Board, which received approximately \$325,000 from OSF in the last few years and the European Advisory Board of the Center for Global Development, which got north of half a million dollars from OSF in 2018. Botero-Marino is the dean of a Colombian law school called Universidad de Los Andes that obtained more than \$1.3 million from OSF between 2016 and 2018, the records obtained by Judicial Watch show. Botero-Marino also sits on the panel of experts at Columbia University's Global Freedom Expression Project, which gets funding from OSF, and she was a board member at Article 19, a group that got about \$1.7 million from OSF between 2016 and 2018. Kiai is the director of the Global Alliances and Partnerships at Human Rights Watch, which accepted \$275,000 from OSF in 2018. He is also a member of OSF's Human Rights Initiative advisory board and was the founding executive director of the Kenya Human Rights Commission, which got \$615,000 from Soros in the last two years.

Others on the Facebook board have slandered President Trump in social media posts and donated money to high-profile Democrats. Taiwanese communications professor Katherine Chen's Twitter account includes retweets of numerous anti-Trump and pro-Obama posts and articles. Nicolas Suzor, a law professor in Australia, retweeted a column implicitly comparing Trump to Hitler and Columbia University law professor Jamal Greene has made campaign contributions to Obama, Hillary Clinton and Warren. Pro-Trump impeachment Stanford law professor Pamela Karlan, who took a cheap shot at President Trump's teenage son during the Brett Kavanaugh impeachment hearings, has also contributed money to Obama, Hillary Clinton and Warren. The new board has only a few token conservatives such as Stanford law professor Michael McConnell, a senior fellow at the Hoover Institution. The overwhelming majority of those making Facebook's "final and binding decisions on whether specific content should be allowed or removed," are leftists. They represent a new model of content moderation that will uphold "freedom of expression within the framework of international norms of human rights." Facebook's economic, political or reputational interests will not interfere in the process, the company writes in its [introduction](#) to the new board. Eventually the board, which will begin hearing cases later this year, will double in size. "The cases we choose to hear may be contentious, and we will not please everyone with our decisions," Facebook warns.

<https://www.judicialwatch.org/corruption-chronicles/most-of-facebook-censorship-board-has-ties-to-leftwing-billionaire-george-soros/>

Facebook Insider Confesses All: Mark Zuckerberg's a Fraud Used by the CIA

The following anonymous document claims to be written by a Facebook insider who was Mark Zuckerberg's lover from their freshman year at Harvard. Mark's continuing indiscretions with his ongoing government contract keep getting him in trouble to this day. Mark was supposed to simply be the fake "boy genius" of Larry Summers' (Harvard's president) social media project funded by DARPA/In-Q-Tel (CIA)/IBM and the secretive international "public-private" group called The Highlands Group organized with the DoD Office of Net Assessment.

It was Summers and a group of government officials who fabricated, produced and directed Mark throughout the entire fraudulent creation of the Facebook propaganda story at Harvard. These claims are explosive and allege that the entire fraudulent social media network called Facebook was always controlled by the government through the people who were at Harvard directing Mark. The anonymous author of the letter below, who we will call "John", also points out why Facebook was created, how Mark was controlled by Eric Schmidt, James Beyer, Larry Summers, Sheryl Sandberg and the evil intellectual property thief Professor James Chandler.

Admittedly, this Zuckerberg "Dossier" has enough information in it to put Mark Zuckerberg behind bars, and therefore would not be touched by the Main Stream Media – according to the person who hand-delivered this letter to a member of the Anonymous Patriot's Conclave a few days ago.

[American Intelligence Media](#) has been able to quickly verify that many of the claims insinuated in this "Zuckerberg Dossier" are true and this leads us to conclude that the document is authentic and exactly what it appears to be. The true authorship of this Zuckerberg Dossier is evident to members of the Conclave, but that supposition is speculation and the Conclave does not deal in speculation. Though, if one were to listen carefully to the admission of guilt by Sean Parker (a long-time executive of Facebook) which he made repeatedly before the press, you will hear that Sean knew all about the true creation of the social media giant and its evil intents and fingers the culprits.

Therefore, it is not hard at all to figure out who may have written this expose on Mark Zuckerberg's Facebook evil. You can even see the true motivation for writing this "tell all" about Zuckerberg at this time in history, just as Facebook is facing all kinds of charges, including anti-trust violations.

Any person well-educated on the continuing scandals surrounding the creation of Facebook might have been able to piece together the many divergent claimants to the authorship of the source programming code used to make social media "scalable" – which was the universal problem of all of the major tech companies at the time, including the NSA's "LifeLog" project. Somehow, genius Mark Zuckerberg "solved"

the problem that no one else in the world could. Oh yes, and Mark did it “between a week and two weeks or so” while studying for finals and hosting a beer “kegger” for his friends. [1]

The author of this expose offers a quite different story and for the first time tells of the involvement of high-level government players who made a fortune off of the sky-rocketing overnight growth of Facebook stock on NASDAQ. The players mentioned by “John” (anonymous author) check out to be the people who made enormous amounts of money from Facebook stock. These insider traders then took their Facebook winnings and started other social media companies that, coincidentally, sky-rocketed beyond most companies in history.

Hmmm...do you own any Facebook stock? Might be cash-out time!

[American Intelligence Media](#) does not claim that the Zuckerberg Dossier is 100% correct, but we can state with absolute confidence that the source is real. We also believe that there may be other installments of what we are calling the Zuckerberg Dossier and will probably not be the last time we hear from this source as the trouble that Mark is having in the news keeps mounting.

The most convincing aspect of this “scorned lover tell-all” is found in what the author outlines as Mark’s true nature and what he believes is happening to Facebook right now in America. It was shocking to read these remarks and we found them to be, after much reflection, probably true and certainly not what we might have imagined to be the reality with the U. S. government’s threat to act against Facebook.



Sir Nick Clegg pictured in this meme.

Also, interesting is the major British intervention in Facebook through the former Deputy Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, Sir Nick Clegg, taking over the “face” of Facebook which Baron Richard Allen (another UK agent) had failed to do properly.



Mark, Nick, and Sheryl Sandberg hanging out, probably planning ultimate censorship of patriots and conservatives.

At this point, Facebook seems to be “dead in the water” unless the British Crown Agents, Clegg and Allen, can save Mark from his horrible mis-management.

Again, we do not claim that this anonymous “confession” and “indictment” is true in all its parts. But certainly, any intelligent reader will acknowledge that this version of Mark Zuckerberg’s rise to fame and fortune is much more likely that the nonsense stories we have been told by Mark since the early 2000’s when he first popped up Larry Summers’ Harvard.

Please circulate this wide and far. We need to turn the weapon that Zuckerberg is aiming at us – social media ... back on him.

[\[1\]](#) Tr. 41:7, Mark Zuckerberg Deposition, April 25, 2006, ConnectU LLC v. Zuckerberg et al, 1:04-cv-11923-DPW (D. Mass. 2004).

MARK’S DIARY WHERE HE PROCLAIMS “LET THE HACKING BEGIN” WAS ALSO PROVIDED TO US AND IS AVAILABLE IN THE LINK BELOW AS A PDF. WE DID NOT CONVERT IT TO A WORD FILE FOR OBVIOUS REASONS. ALSO NOTE THAT WE FORMATTED THE AUTHOR’S LETTER BELOW IN A WAY THAT YOU CAN EASILY READ IT, INSTEAD OF HOW IT WAS SENT WITH TINY FONT AND PACKED PARAGRAPHS. WE DID NOT CORRECT GRAMMAR OR SPELLING.

ZUCKERBERG-LET-HACKING_BEGIN-28-OCT-2003



To Every Facebook User,

Mark Zuckerberg, and all of us who were there from the beginning, are lying to you and using your personal life as a government-controlled experiment in brain-washing and mind-control – basically a weaponized system of the military (CIA especially) that got out of control. At this point, Mark Zuckerberg has lost control of a company that he never really owned or operated. Truly, anyone who has ever worked with Mark knows that his mind is a blank and that he is nothing more than a parrot for the government handlers who created him. Mark is incapable of running a McDonald's, let alone one of the most powerful companies in the world. Not even his name is real and his identity has always been covered up. Mark was chosen as child for a CIA training program because his relatives were some of the people creating the program.

I am not making excuses for Mark, but his choices have not been his own. Yes, he has become an evil sociopath who once believed in his heart-of-heart that if he decided he wanted to be president, all he had to do is say he wanted the job and "Facebook" would deliver the election to him. This is the level of brain-washing Mark is at – he is not in contact with reality.

You might think that a madman who could think he could become president – because he "said so" – would be discovered and accused as a fraud. Well, that has happened repeatedly with the other three teams that were working at Harvard, under Harvard president Larry Summers, to create what DARPA and In-Q-Tel wanted the most – a

cyber-weapon that could control the minds of anyone that could be lured into it. Facebook was always a military weapon – just like Eric Schmidt’s Google which was incubated in the same fashion that Facebook was. Mark was a patsy, but a ruthless, heartless, cold-blooded non-human patsy. He became this way through the brain-washing he received in his High School years by a DARPA program called TIA that needed a “boy-genius” to be the front man. This scam would make Mark into a global model of the young, cool, irreverent computer geniuses that “rule the world” and lead everyone to a cyber-god of artificial intelligence. Mark was just an unwitting puppet at first – I felt sorry for him.

I remember when I first became room-mates with Mark in our sophomore year at Harvard. We were in Kirkland House, on JFK Street and had to endure Dustin and Andrew. Mark hated them because they prevented us from sleeping together, even though we were in the same room. It was frustrating and kept our relationship secret. Little did I know that the thing that drew me to Mark, a certain openness for listening to anyone, also made him extremely promiscuous with both sexes.

Mark had no morals, conscience, or shame. He also chased women on Craig’s List and would sometimes just disappear to rendezvous with them. He was like a blank slate that simply echoes whatever was happening in his environment. I loved and hated this aspect of his personality but later found out that he, and his brother and cousin, were all the same way due to the brain-washing programs they were subjected to during high school. If certain people spoke to Mark in person or on the phone, he would drop everything and do whatever they told him to do. Certain people had more power and effect over him. I eventually found out, from Mark breaking down and crying, that the brain-washing was permanent and was all part of the “position” these people had promised to create for Mark. He didn’t even know what this “position” was or entailed.

But one thing Mark was sure of, he was only “placed” at Harvard “for a while” until his “position” became available to him. Mark was certain that this promise of a position included a great deal of money and power—aphrodisiacs to an incurable narcissist

I must admit that I came under the power of Mark’s surety that he didn’t need Harvard, a degree, or good grades. Mark eventually dropped out of Harvard at the end of our sophomore year and did become filthy rich and more powerful than he could have imagined. I also admit that I rode on Mark’s success to become quite wealthy myself. All four of the members of the club Mark eventually named – “The Fellowship” – became wealthy by no means of our own – we simply knew Mark’s secrets.

You see, Mark could never be faithful to anyone but he loved men more than women. He actually used to hate all women. So, Mark cheated and would want to bring the new “boy” home to me to join in. I was never into that like Mark was. He was abusive but would never admit it, especially to young boys. Eventually, there were three of us that remained lovers with Mark.

Mark always had panic attacks and would break down frequently due to the brain-washing – according to Mark. He would cry about his mother and the “torture” she let “them” do to him. At those times, Mark’s mouth ran on open and he would tell his bed-partners about all the pain and horrible plans these “evil people” did to him. Early on, his doubts and fears almost consumed him at night and he could hardly sleep due to nightmares. Once Mark became filthy rich, he simply used drugs to mask these fears. But if you get him upset by asking about the creation of Facebook, Mark will freak out and have a panic attack because he always messes up the story and looks like an idiot. He can’t stand questions about “how he made Facebook” – because he didn’t. I had to laugh as one of his stupid answers: “I saw that Harvard didn’t have a Facebook, so I made one”, or something close to that. The journalist let him get away with that lie, like they always have.

Mark Greenberg (Zuckerberg) did not write one single line of programming source code for Facebook. Those are lies and propaganda generated by his government, military handlers. Everyone knows that the Winkelvoss twins (Aaron and Cameron) won a \$65 million dollar lawsuit settlement against Mark because they knew that their little HarvardConnection (HC) piece was just adjunct code attached to the original stolen source code – which was given to Mark by Professor James Chandler and IBM. That \$65 million bit of dirty knowledge was pretty profitable for a couple of cute Harvard Crew rower jocks with no interest in me.

Mark simply had others adjust the code into what was a government-sponsored military weaponization of a cyber-warfare project directed by the President of Harvard, Larry Summers. Even Summer’s himself had his own budding student and staff directory being developed by the Harvard computer staff called “Facebook.” Mark didn’t even create the name!

The Winkelvoss twins had developed their own version in the competition for the government contract, HC, that they changed to ConnectU. Aaron Greenspan was developing HOUSE System, and Paul Ceglia was working with Mark to modify his StreetFax software into a Facebook too. Mark developed nothing. Absolutely nothing. Even the famous “hacking” of the Harvard systems was not done by Mark himself. Mark was the middleman for those who were the overseers of the “big project”, as it was called.

From the president of Harvard, to the “PayPal Mafia”, National Venture Capital Association, In-Q-Tel, DARPA, NSA, CIA, DIA, to the worst patent thieves in America: James Chandler, Hillary Clinton, David Kappos, Robert Mueller and the rest of the Big-Tech group. Mark is just like the other fake front-men chosen to represent the numerous other social media companies.

Eric Schmidt was the poster child for the Silicon Valley geniuses who ran corporations that are basically exempt from prosecution as the facade for military-weaponized companies that are always funded by the same evil bankers—Fidelity Investment, Vanguard, T. Rowe Price, BlackRock, JPMorgan, HSBC, Accel Partners, Kleiner Perkins and the rest of the Silicon Valley venture capitalist

who always make a killing from companies who get no-bid government contracts. These companies, like Facebook, are just an excuse for black-ops experiments to control the enemy – and Mark doesn't know who the enemy is. Mark's lack of a moral compass made him the perfect patsy for the new "military experiments on U. S. citizens."

I believe now, since Mark was well-aware of the evil intentions of the government, that he has committed crimes of many types with the clear, pre-meditated intention of harming every user of Facebook. That is why Mark let Facebook be used to manipulate elections, he has no moral core. I personally saw the "template" that Hillary ordered that uses Facebook to manipulate voters to win elections for her. Given the amount of election interference by Big-Tech in 2016, I became a reluctant believer in miracles.

I have seen the truth concerning the supposed "Russian Interference" and can tell you that it was all made up and, in fact, was the exact opposite of what the media reported. I have seen so many illegal actions of Facebook that I am indeed complicit with the crimes. That is one of the reasons I must remain anonymous. But I assure you, if I testified, Mark and I would be locked up along with the other members of the Fellowship as well as many, many other Facebook employees.

It is due to the truth that is currently coming out in the media that I feel I can reveal what I witnessed so that Mark and the "U. S. and British military controlled" Facebook can be charged with criminal activity instead of simply being hit with anti-trust charges that will only split Facebook into many subsidiaries – which would simply make Mark even more rich.

Then, the poor suckers who believed in Facebook will be left holding the bag – an empty bag of a gutted Facebook worth little or nothing. Mark will simply rebrand and go on with multiple companies that will be just as big as Facebook. He will escape unscathed, protected again by his military handlers who, by the way, were insider traders from the beginning of Facebook and will be allowed to buy into the new companies from the beginning also.

Once again, the use of taxpayer dollars goes to private corporations run by stooges and controlled by non-Americans. Yes, I just called Mark a stooge because he actually has no clue what he is doing – at all. Just ask him to write a simple program in any code he would like – he can't, he is a fraud and always was.

Though I will not tell you who the members of Mark Zuckerberg's "Fellowship" group were, I can point out that all of the original members of Facebook knew from the beginning that it was a military project for cyber warfare mind-control. Everything done from the beginning was an experiment to see just how far a social media platform could go to "conquer the enemy" through behavioral manipulation with electronic warfare. The idea that Mark wanted to connect all college students in

America was a novel idea that was far from the true intention of mind-control of every user in the world.

Free platforms like Google, Gmail, Facebook, and the rest were confidence tricks to get users to experiment on. My old buddy, Sean Parker, an early member of Facebook has “confessed all” to the media and specifically told the truth that Facebook was meant as a cyber-drug to create and control addicts – digital addicts. As Sean said, we knew from the beginning it was harming every user and that is why we never let our friends or our children use these systems – it harms them tremendously and was the original intent of the media. Mark and I were told by representatives of DARPA that that was the intent of Facebook from its inception.

The U. S. Patriot Act allows the military to consider every American a possible terrorist or enemy warfighter until proven otherwise. Every person on the Internet, which was also created by DARPA, is considered a cyber-terrorist and the military sees it as their job to create systems to surveil, target, disarm, and aggressively remote control the user. I hated the idea from the first time I heard of it. Personally, I have never used Facebook and don’t let anyone I love use it.

Mark would use patriot arguments, like the ones mentioned above, to justify his participation in this black-ops CIA operation to the Fellowship. We argued with him, but to no avail. Mark basically believed anything his “controllers” told him. We would sometimes convince him that the project was “dead wrong”, but all it took was one phone call from “above” and Mark went back to his scheming. It was truly pathetic to see that Mark had no freedom but was told what to do. He was also so poorly organized and such a muddled thinker that he couldn’t get anything done: homework, schoolwork, project work, nothing. So, there was always clean up to be done after Mark, especially when the company got big. Clean-up would include stupid stuff like paying others millions for “stealing” their code, making stupid statements every time he opened his mouth, or the lack of attention he gave to the running of the company.

Mark was always a mess and the Fellowship, as well as Larry Summers’ squeeze Sheryl Sandberg—those soul-less megalomaniacs deserve each other—helped the handlers control Mark, were always picking up the broken pieces and trying to glue them back together. But this time, Facebook and Mark cannot be fixed.

Many of the original Facebook players and the Fellowship have been paid off in huge bribes to keep us quiet. CIA secrecy agreements grow on every plant at Facebook, but the Facebook insiders are turning against Mark anyway for many good reasons. The board of directors wants him fired. Mark’s British controllers sent Baron Richard Allen to rein Mark in, but he failed miserably. Even Sir Nick Clegg, x-deputy prime minister of Britain was sent to shut Mark up, but to no avail. Even the second-in-charge of Britain couldn’t stop Mark and his non-stop stupidity. Mark opens his mouth, it cost the company billions. Mark testifies, and everyone finds out that he doesn’t know a single thing about “his” company.

Mark knows nothing because he doesn't do anything and hasn't really shown up for work since the beginning. Mark seems to be allergic to work and can't stand meetings unless he is "announcing" something. He is the worse manager in history, and everyone will tell you the same if asked. We all "play" like Mark runs the company, but that is not true. Mark can't run himself effectively, let alone Facebook. That is why he was failing at Harvard and was going to be kicked out for bad grades, even after I did much of his work for him.

I can honestly say that, at this point, there are no "insiders" who have any faith in Mark to run the company, or to even speak in public. We believe that even after Larry Summers, the father of Facebook, who planted Sheryl Sandberg at Facebook to shut Mark up and stop revealing that Facebook is the tool of the Democrat agenda for globalism, cannot fix the company. This is one of the points I am most angry about. Mark has become, over the years, no friend of America. In fact, he hates America and rants on about how proud he is to avoid U. S. taxes and to cheat the American people – whom he considers to be animals.

Mark believes he is a higher being – above human beings. He now believes it was all his work that made Facebook. He is completely deluded by his own propaganda, which is nothing but lies. It is because Mark is now a danger to himself and the world that I must tell the true story of how Facebook and social media have become the enemies of Americans and the world.

Mark was shocked when he received an acceptance letter from Harvard, before he had applied. No test scores, interviews, or pre-requisites were required. His government "programming" had made his acceptance a given. Harvard wanted Mark, and Mark did what he was told. So, when the president of Harvard, Larry Summers, called Mark into his office early in his freshman year, Mark was not so surprised. He knew he would have to pay the piper. Summers asked Mark to start a group to work on the social media project – a supposed competition among teachers and students to win a government contract.

The ostensible goal was to create a social directory and Harvard where people could share in small groups. The real intent was to create a social network to manipulate the world. Mark liked the idea but was too lazy to do anything about it. He stuck his nose into the others' camps to see what they were doing, but he himself just talked about it with good programmers and made them promises—thus, numerous lawsuits ensued from those promises.

Larry Summers continued to call Mark into his office for updates, so Mark just lied. Occasionally, others would be in the office with Larry Summers, but one person stood out and showed up at many more meetings in the future. This man was obviously the person in charge of this project. His name was a former Harvard Law Professor James Chandler. He boasted that he was one of the top idea people for DARPA and that he had actually developed lower level programming languages for the Army. He

pretended to be interested in me, but I could tell that was a political act. Guys like me can just sense these things.

Over time, it came out that Summers and Chandler had much bigger plans for the social media project and had some outside sources of help to complete the project. Mark found it odd that Summers, Chandler, and eventually Sheryl Sandberg did not put much pressure on Mark to produce but were interested in everything Mark was learning from spying on the other groups for almost two years.

One day, Mark was called to Summers office in Massachusetts Hall to meet a most unusual man. His name was Andrew Marshall and he was the head of the Naval Intelligence Net Assessment Office. Mark was terrified of Marshall from the beginning. Marshall had Mark sign a government secrecy agreement, and other security agreements before he told Mark the ultimate military nature of what the Harvard Facebook project entailed. Mark, and Harvard, were simply being used as incubation think tanks as a cover for a military project that needed a corporate face. Professor Chandler said he had discovered the source code that would accomplish the seemingly impossible task of making a social directory “scalable” to billions of people.

Chandler droned on as Harvard professors like to do about how Harvard academic elites were the best choices to do the early testing because of their superior intellects. He explained that this scalability dilemma was not being solved by the military’s usual Microsoft, IBM and Oracle go-to military intelligence suppliers for reasons that were over my head. He said they had found a company who had solved the problem but was not willing to be used by the military as a black-ops project against Americans and the rest of the world.

Chandler and Summers had selected Mark as their front-man to lie and claim that he had written the source code for scalability. Chandler explained that the government had seized the source code from an inventor and his company for use in the DARPA Harvard Facebook project. He explained in very flowery intellectual property theft language that Mark may get sued by the inventor, but that DARPA would shield him. Mark told them he was willing to take that chance.

Mark knew full-well that the people who had brain-washed him had a big plan and his part was simply to do as they told him to do. But now, Mark was getting scarred because James Chandler was a member of the president’s National Security Team, a top national security and patent lawyer, and a truly mean, ugly and frightening black man who could easily turn on you like a pit bull.

Larry Summers had those same elitist bully traits, and was the president of Harvard and an economic world leader. Mark felt he was being groomed and protected by some very powerful people. But it was Andrew Marshall, the one they called “Yoda”, who scared the pants off Mark. After Mark had been “read into” the plan by Summers and Chandler, their boss wanted to meet Mark to make sure that he could be trusted

to be part of this overarching evil plan to manipulate all of cyber space as if it were a war arena.

Andrew Marshall did not like Mark at all. I witnessed it myself when I was asked to attend one of Andrew Marshall's Highland Group forums as a major executive for Facebook, along with Mark. Every time Mark opened his mouth, Marshall would stare at him until Mark would shut up. Marshall indicated in this meeting that Mark himself was the biggest problem with the Facebook operation. Mark was so happy when Andrew Marshall died not long ago. Mark now takes his orders from Marshall understudies Dick O'Neil and James Baker who run Highlands Group. Chandler also worked for Highlands Group and directed numerous operations working directly with Andrew Marshall and James Baker.

The Facebook operation also coordinated their activities with Rose Law Firm in Little Rock, Arkansas, and the group that gathered around Hillary Clinton's patent thefts. Every Facebook insider, who was there from the beginning, know these things to be true but would never speak of it for fear of retaliation and possible death. We are speaking about a theft of literally many trillions of dollars in intellectual property, trade secrets, patents, designs and stolen programming source code.

Mark bragged for two years about being able to write the source code for the Facebook platform, but he did not produce a single line of code. For two years, all the Fellowship heard were promises of a break-through at any moment. We heard one excuse right after the other. We learned later it was because the inventor had run into some R&D roadblocks that needed sorted out first. Mark continued to spy on the other groups working on the Harvard Facebook student and staff directories, made many promises to everyone involved, but did not follow through. Mark kept promising he was going to just "sit down and write the code", as if it was no big deal.

His meetings with Summers, Chandler, Marshall and others continued and Mark always came back encouraged. Then, one day Mark got terribly excited about hacking a fellow student at Harvard because he had some part of the Facebook program. The particular student was an upper classman named Max McKibben who lived next door in Winthrop House, literally 100 feet from our Kirkland House front door. Mark got the best hacker to come to our room and use a special "school" computer to hack into McKibben's personal Harvard email account to steal several white papers on an invention just like the one Chandler had described. This white paper described EXACTLY what Mark had been talking about for two years and now a Harvard student had a full description of a program that could do the same thing.

It was on October 28, 2003 that Mark returned from Summers' office and announced: "Let the hacking begin." That hacking stole the white paper that had been sent to the son of Michael McKibben, the owner of Leader Technologies and the real inventor of scalable social media. Michael had sent his son Max the white papers written to describe the new invention. When Mark learned that Chandler was Michael's patent

attorney, the theft finally put a name to the target Chandler had talked about in vague terms.

Chandler had requested that Michael write up a detailed explanation of the system and how it worked. Once Mark showed the stolen white papers to Chandler, Chandler confessed that he already had a complete evaluation copy of the source code as Michael's patent attorney, that he was using a spy tactic called "strategic deception" in pretending to help Michael and Leader Technologies file patents, while he was secretly providing Michael's invention code to DARPA's IBM Eclipse Foundation cyber-warfare partners.

Chandler told Mark that IBM Eclipse was preparing Michael's program, as they spoke, to give to Mark for the Facebook launch at EclipseCON '04 in San Francisco right after the Harvard January Reading Period. He said the plan was to transfer all of the NSA's LifeLog data as soon as possible to the Facebook platform as well. He also told Mark that he would be moving to Silicon Valley after the term was over, and that the next phase of the plan for him would happen in California. Dustin and I went with him that summer, but I decided to return to Boston and graduate. That was a sad separation, but I was happy he got rid of his Craig's List girls. The few that I actually saw looked like sad street urchins.

Chandler had not seen Michael's white papers yet and was eager to have them. Mark sent him the hacked copies. Chandler said with the inventor's first-ever public write-up, and the source code, the Highlands Group and the IBM Eclipse Foundation now had what they needed to prepare the platform for Mark to launch thefacebook, later shortened to Facebook, at EclipseCON '04 in February.

I now realize that Chandler took the stolen source code from Michael McKibben and Leader Technologies and gave it to the IBM Eclipse Foundation who turned around gave it out as "open source", the most lucrative intellectual property in history, to all of the social media giants as open source code without charging a penny.

What I am telling you now is a composite understanding of what I knew early on in the Fellowship group of Mark Zuckerberg and what I have learned up to this time as a core insider of Facebook to this very day. It is not only Mark who needs to pay for his crimes, but many others also. IBM Eclipse Foundation plays like they are moral, honest, and philanthropically gave away intellectual property to other companies who essentially became monopolies with the stolen programming source code. This is laughable and I told Mark when he was being told this information by his handlers that the plan would never work because anyone can see through such stupidity. But to this day, beside Facebook insiders and the Fellowship group, no one has ever told me that they suspected the IBM Eclipse Foundation or the Highlands Forum are corrupt.

The bigger the lie, the easier it is to get people to believe it.

Mark Greenberg (Zuckerberg) did not create Facebook. Facebook is a governmental monopoly doing the most advanced virtual behavioral modification on the planet with stolen and modified patents, intellectual property (IP), and trade secrets from inventors who were not remunerated for their inventions. I personally knew this, even when it was happening. I felt sick about the whole thing and this led to many, many arguments between Mark and me. The other members of the Fellowship felt the same way I did. Eventually, Mark had to buy all of us off with large sums of money over the years.

We have not spoken up before now, but I personally cannot hold my silence any longer. I must speak out openly about the criminal surveillance Mark does through Facebook because it gets worse every day. Mark's handlers tell him to allow more surveillance even though security breaches, selling customer data, allowing for spying by CIA, NSA, DIA, GCHQ, MI6, Five Eyes, lying to Congress, meddling in elections, allowing everyone access to Facebook data, censoring conservatives, being a platform for the Democrat party, and many other charges have been brought against Facebook in other countries and America. Mark will not listen to me or anyone else about stopping the insanity. I believe he is unstable and not fit to run Facebook.

When I saw the \$1.5 billion from George Soros and the Atlantic Council bring in the AI system (some built by the Cambridge Digital Forensic Research Laboratory) used in Europe to stop free speech, I had had enough. It was then that I knew Mark was truly being used by evil forces and that even he couldn't stop it. He seemed to have a death wish to destroy Facebook and reveal some of its evil intent. This was ruining the company I was trying to help run. There were no other avenues that I could take the company down that would deter Mark from the total destruction of Facebook. Mark had been told to win the country for Hillary, or kill the company trying. He was making astounding mistakes that showed the truth of the evil foundations of Facebook.

Our secrets were gushing out like blood from a slaughtered pig. I kept talking to Mark, trying to change his mind, but he became more insane and impossible to talk to. Mark gave up control of the company to a crowd stumbling over themselves to take personal credit for Facebook's "turnaround", including Highlands Group, DHS, DoD, Naval Intelligence, SERCO, Crown Agents, IBM Eclipse Foundation, Clinton Foundation, Open Society Foundation, Google, Alphabet, Schmidt, Sandberg, Thiel, Hoffman, Breyer, Louie, Ketterson, Goldman Sachs, Blankfein, Dimon, Microsoft, Gates, Allen, Thompson, Balmer, Ozzi, Nadella, Milner, Obama, Pritzker, Hillary, Kutcher, Bono, Soros, Lamont, the Queen's men Richard Allan and Nick Clegg, and the rest of the gang who are eager to clean up Mark's messes. I could see that Facebook was on its last leg but I couldn't understand why Mark would kill the company.

Then, one day I realized what Mark was doing with the obvious crash-landing of Facebook. He was being told that he would get a "deal" with the government charges against the company and would not have to pay billions in fines. The deal would be like the government's deal with Standard Oil when they were charged with anti-trust, monopoly issues. They were made to break up into seven different companies – all of

which became as big or bigger than Standard Oil itself. Splitting up the monopoly made the owners seven times richer.

That is what Mark is doing. He wants Facebook to be broken up instead of answer to the crimes it has willingly committed. Corporations can simply go bankrupt, dissolve, crash and burn, or do what Google did when it created a new company called Alphabet who is now called the Mother of Google and is worth even more. How a child becomes the parent is a new one for me. Eric Schmidt showed Mark exactly what to do and please remember that Eric Schmidt was also Mark's mentor and the first person to invest hundreds of millions in Facebook before it went public. Eric Schmidt made billions off of his insider trader knowledge from the Highlands Forum investment in Facebook. Britain's offshore banks feed them all with endless money laundering and "deal flow" as long as the Queen gets her cut. All us insiders know this global money game is totally rigged to perpetuate this evil power. I don't want to go to my grave knowing that I didn't do something to atone for my sins in perpetuating these lies.

I believe that Mark is doing everything in his power to get President Donald Trump deposed, just as he did everything he could to try to help get Hillary elected. If Trump continues, the globalist lose. Mark is a true globalist; he is not an American anymore. Mark essentially does not have a plan for Facebook, he simply does what he is told and always has. Mark has made no decisions on his own – not one. This current decision to destroy Facebook from the inside out is nothing more than Mark's handlers using Mark in their last hours of power. Trump will win 2020 and Facebook will die. The only question left is whether Trump will charge Mark Zuckerberg with the crimes he committed.

I, for one, want Mark in jail along with his handlers. I have personally been threatened and intimidated by these Big-Tech monsters since I met Mark Zuckerberg (Greenberg) – a person who truly does not even know his own name or who he is and yet is one of the richest people on earth. Mark did not earn nor deserve a single penny he has been given. Mark is a card-board cut-out who has lost his way and is completely delusional at this point.

As a Facebook insider I demand Mark be fired and all assets taken from him due to his non-stop lying to stockholders and Facebook users. The Board of Directors, underwriters and institutional investors all know about the secret government contracts that have been propping up the company since the beginning, but most average shareholders do not. It is a government-owned and operated military psy-ops weapon that has gotten out of control and been used for treasonous purposes and for seditious actions against the American people.

After she got sick of the lies, Mark's former speech writer Katherine Losse described in her 2004 book *The Boy Kings* that Facebook has stolen personal data and sold it, created a "dark" profile on every user and sold it to everyone who would pay the price, created secret files of compromising photos, allowed all government agencies to access all user data, breached every user agreement, lied continuously to all users,

built in back-doors and zero-day programs for the military, and many other unethical, immoral and illegal activities. Did Mark Zuckerberg (Greenberg) willing and with intent allow these criminal activities to go on unchecked on Facebook? – You bet he did. And he is still doing it and getting worse every day. Like other Facebook insiders, I want no part of this squelching of free speech or illegal surveillance activities or the purposeful experimentation on users to develop new and better means to electronically control, manipulate, and imprison people.

I have stood against Mark's immoral and evil actions since our freshman year at Harvard. Nothing has changed, except that Mark has gotten worse and his handlers have become so demanding that they are condemning Facebook to the trash heap and creating the circumstances for Mark to become even richer and more insane. His next projects include a system much like what Eric Schmidt has created for China, a social credit system that controls the freedom of every American.

When Facebook is broken up, the new companies will have the Eric Schmidt "Dragonfly" social credit system built in. Mark wants to be like Eric and control the world from a digital Ivory Tower and oversee the depopulation of the earth. These maniacs believe they are "above the human race" and are actually higher beings sent to the earth to control the masses. From my experience, these attitudes are extremely prevalent with Silicon Valley tech giants — and they make me sick.

The time has come to simply end the fake social media experiments and call them governmental black-ops projects. I personally know most of these cyber tech-lords and I can testify that they do not possess the tech skills they claim founded their companies. They are simply tech thieves, like Mark Zuckerberg, who need to pay back those they stole from and be put in jail for their crimes. I personally am willing to testify without immunity and suffer whatever consequences I deserve for knowing these things and never bringing them forth until now. I know that the corruption is so great in Washington D. C. that I would not stand a chance of bringing forth this information without being squelched, killed, or silenced like I have seen done to others.

I suggest that the new Attorney General simply read this letter, investigate and then ask Mark Greensberg to program a single line of coherent code. When he cannot, lock him up.

<https://jamesfetzer.org/2019/06/anonymous-partiots-facebook-insider-confesses-all-mark-zuckerbergs-a-fraud-used-by-the-cia/>

Mark Zuckerberg let this truth slip that is bad news for Donald Trump in 2020



It's no secret Mark Zuckerberg uses Facebook's giant social network to rig elections and sway public opinion for his left-wing agenda.

But this time he went too far.

And what Zuckerberg accidentally admitted to could be bad news for President Trump in 2020.

In an interview at the Aspen Ideas Festival, Facebook's CEO was asked about what he is doing to comply with governments in regards to free speech and elections.

He stated that many countries don't have laws that pertain to this issue so he makes the determination on what the company will do.

Which is when he dropped this bombshell.

In 2018, Ireland had a referendum to legalize abortion in the entire country.

Facebook chose to ban ads from pro-life organizations so that legal abortion could pass.

Breitbart reports:

Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg admitted during a recent talk that the social media network banned a number of pro-life advertisements ahead of the Irish abortion referendum.

PJ Media reports that during a recent interview at this year's Aspen Ideas Festival, Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg began to explain how the social media firm is attempting to work with the governments of other countries

to determine what political speech should be allowed on the site. Zuckerberg gave an example of Facebook's interaction with the Irish government ahead of a 2018 referendum on the legalization of abortion in the country.

"We ended up not allowing the ads," Zuckerberg stated.

This is nothing new for Facebook.

The social media network was caught favoring radical left-wing candidates in the 2016 election and suppressing content from Republican candidates and causes.

Republicans in Congress demanded that he testify and defend these unfair practices.

Senator Ted Cruz grilled him and left Zuckerberg totally defenseless.

But that hasn't stopped him from exerting his influence around the globe.

This does not bode well for the 2020 election.

Facebook could double-down on their efforts and potentially sabotage Donald Trump's re-election campaign.

Other tech giants such as Google and Twitter have been caught doing the same thing.

But Facebook may be the most dangerous one of them all.

What do you think?

Should Facebook be punished for interfering with free speech and U.S. elections?

Let us know your thoughts in the comments below.

<https://culturewatchnews.com/mark-zuckerberg-let-this-truth-slip-that-is-bad-news-for-donald-trump-in-2020/>