

# **Decoding the Shadows: QAnon, 'B' Posts, and the Double-Edged Sword of Vagueness**

In the labyrinthine world of online conspiracy theories, few phenomena have captured public imagination—and scrutiny—like QAnon. Emerging in 2017 on anonymous imageboards, QAnon evolved from cryptic “drops” posted by an enigmatic figure known as “Q” into a sprawling movement blending far-right politics, unfounded claims of elite cabals, and calls for a “great awakening.” But beneath its surface lies a deeper story of deliberate ambiguity, hijacked identities, and parallels to age-old mechanisms of control like dogma and revelation. This article explores QAnon’s tactics through the lens of vagueness, contrasts it with a lesser-known entity called “B” from Q’s purported private board, and examines lessons in critical thinking amid persistent real-world impacts. Drawing on documented evidence, including tripcode hijackings and declassified materials, we unpack how these dynamics blur fact and fiction, fostering both delusion and potential discernment.

## **The Tactics of Vagueness in QAnon**

Vagueness is not inherently conspiratorial; it’s a tool that can clarify or obscure depending on intent. In QAnon-style posts, however, it’s often a deliberate strategy to evade falsification. Q’s messages—riddles, hints, and predictions—allow followers to project their own beliefs onto ambiguous clues, turning subjective interpretations into shared “truths.” This fosters group delusion rather than genuine introspection, masking baseless claims as profound reflection. For instance, Q’s repeated mantra, “Sometimes you can’t TELL the public the truth. YOU MUST SHOW THEM,” embodies this ethos, “showing” cryptic puzzles instead of providing verifiable evidence.

This vagueness relates profoundly to broader concepts like dogma, authority, revelation, and tradition. Dogma resists scrutiny by presenting absolutes; authority exploits ambiguity to maintain control; revelations thrive on unverifiability; and traditions endure through unquestioned repetition. In QAnon, vagueness hardens interpretations into unchallenged doctrines, much like religious or ideological systems where clarity is sacrificed for blind adherence. Critical thinking demands evidence and specificity to counter this, promoting understanding over delusion.

## **QAnon as an Augmented Reality Game: Engagement or Erosion?**

One compelling way to view QAnon’s design is as an “augmented reality game” (ARG), where vagueness and virality transform followers into active “players.” Participants

decode puzzles, connect dots, and “learn by seeing,” fostering a sense of engagement and perceived enlightenment. The movement’s viral spread—amplified by social media—turns audiences into characters in a narrative of hidden truths and heroic awakenings. Yet, unlike benign ARGs (e.g., marketing campaigns for games), QAnon’s version dangerously blurs the fiction-reality boundary. This erosion has led to tangible harms, including misinformation, extremism, and events like the January 6, 2021, Capitol riot, where QAnon believers played a prominent role. 4 The gamification prioritizes emotional investment over evidence, mirroring small-scale versions of mainstream dogmas where ambiguity fuels divisions and empowers predatory influencers for personal gain.

### **The ‘Alice in Wonderland’ Technique: Disorientation by Design**

QAnon’s vagueness draws striking parallels to the CIA’s “Alice in Wonderland” technique, a method for inducing confusion and psychological regression by disrupting expectations. In interrogations or influence operations, this tactic disorients subjects, making them more receptive to unverified narratives. Q posts often reference “Alice in Wonderland” directly, suggesting a self-aware nod to this disorientation strategy. By “showing” cryptic clues rather than telling outright, Q echoes the CIA method, drawing followers into an ARG-like delusion while claiming to reveal truths.

This mirrors how ambiguity in dogmas creates interpretive divisions, enriching influencers who exploit it. As QAnon entered mainstream politics—evident in 2024 election conspiracy theories and ongoing MAGA ties—the technique’s predatory nature persists, with silence on issues like Epstein files fueling speculation. 5 6 Critical thinking counters this by insisting on evidence, transforming potential delusion into informed scrutiny.

### **The Hijacking of Q: Fragmented Agendas and Identity Theft**

A pivotal revelation in understanding QAnon’s evolution is the hijacking of its tripcode—the unique identifier authenticating posts on platforms like 4chan and 8kun. Evidence indicates multiple individuals commandeered the “Q” persona over time, each with differing agendas. Early posts may have originated from figures like Paul Furber, but control shifted around 2018 to 8chan/8kun administrators Ron and Jim Watkins, who allegedly used it to drive site traffic and promote political narratives. 0 Incidents like Jim Watkins being duped by a fake Q tripcode in 2022 underscore the system’s vulnerabilities. 0

Linguistic inconsistencies and the HBO documentary *Q: Into the Storm* support this multi-author theory, showing how hijackings fragmented the narrative from esoteric

hints to overt Trump endorsements. This chaos amplified vagueness's harms, turning Q into a patchwork of self-serving motives—ideological, financial, or disruptive—rather than a unified “revelation.” As of 2025, QAnon endures, influencing elections and family dynamics, with figures like Kash Patel emerging as new “heroes” in the lore. 7 2

## **‘B’ as a Counterpoint: Consistency, Facts, and Discernment**

In contrast to Q's fractured identity stands “B,” a lesser-known poster on what users describe as Q's private, read-only board. A key “B” post from May 29, 2021—“Fact Vs. Fiction / We will not tell you which is which; the choice is yours. / Who gave you the Playbooks? / Who helps you answer Questions?”—presents a neutral challenge, urging discernment without overt manipulation. Unlike Q's riddles, “B” appears tied to a consistent small group, avoiding the hijackings that plagued Q.

Associated “playbooks” are curated collections of authentic, declassified U.S. military documents, such as PSYOP manuals (e.g., “PSYOP-TACTICAL.pdf”) and reports on information threats. These factual materials provide verifiable tools for analysis, emphasizing content over messengers. While subtle ambiguity exists in “B's” questions, the setup prioritizes evidence, encouraging viewers to choose paths of fact or fiction independently. This aligns with benign ARG elements, fostering learning without eroding reality boundaries.

Though obscure and lacking widespread analysis, “B” exemplifies how vagueness, when grounded in facts, can empower rather than delude—shifting focus from predatory authority to personal responsibility.

## **Lessons in Critical Thinking and Individual Responsibility**

At the heart of this dynamic is a core tension: vagueness invites misinterpretation, but fault lies primarily with the interpreter's maturity and reactivity, not the communicator—assuming good faith. Q's hijacked vagueness, however, carries ethical blame for foreseeable harms, exploiting biases in reactive audiences. “B's” approach, by contrast, supports discernment: analyze documents directly, verify contexts, and apply frameworks like Socrates' Triple Filter Test (truth, goodness, usefulness) to filter speculation.

In 2025, QAnon's persistence—woven into politics and culture—underscores the need for evidence-based awakening. 1 9 True introspection demands clarity over riddles, facts over fiction. By demanding specificity and holding individuals accountable, we can navigate these shadows toward genuine understanding, countering delusion with deliberate reflection.